618; this version posted April 15, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. (which was not certified by peer It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1 Understanding the effect of pulse width on activation depth in TENS: A 1 computational study 2 3 Alexander Guillen¹, Dennis O Truong¹, Yusuf O. Cakmak², Sheng Li³, Abhishek Datta^{1,4*} 4 5 ¹ Research and Development, Soterix Medical, Woodbridge, NJ, United States of America 6 7 ² Department of Anatomy, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand. 8 ³ Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, McGovern Medical School at The University of Texas 9 Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX, United States of America ⁴ Biomedical Engineering, City College of New York, New York, NY, United States of America 10 11 ¶*Authors 1 and 2 have equally contributed to the article* 12 13 *adatta@soterixmedical.com (AD) 14 15 16 Abstract 17 18 Background: Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) has been a commonly used modality to relieve 19 aches and pain for over 40 years. Commercially available devices provide multiple therapy modes involving a

20 21 different combination of frequency and pulse width with intensity. While frequency sets sensation, intensity helps 22 determine tolerability, longer pulse width is reported to induce a feeling of deeper stimulation. In fact, longer pulse width has been empirically shown to deliver current into deeper tissues, but in context of other electrical 23 24 stimulation modalities. The goal of this study was to unpack the relationship between pulse width and activation depth in TENS. 25

Methods: A highly realistic, anatomically-based, 3D finite element model of the forearm was used to simulate the 26 27 electric field (E-field) distribution, as the pulse width is varied. A typical titration-guided mechanism was used to obtain the strength-duration (S-D) curves of a sensory McIntyre-Richardson-Grill (MRG) axonal model 28 simulating the pain-transmitting A-delta fibers. The pulse widths tested ranged from 30 µs to 495 µs. 29

30 *Results:* As expected, shorter pulse widths required more current to achieve activation, resulting in a larger E-31 field. The S-D curve of the target median nerve indicates a rheobase of 1.75 mA and a chronaxie of 232 µsec. 32 When the applied currents are the same, shorter pulse widths result in a smaller volume of tissue activated (VTA) 33 compared to the longer pulse widths. A 21 fold difference in VTA was found between the longest and shortest 34 pulse widths considered. We observed a linear relationship between pulse width and activation depth for the 35 conditions tested in the study.

36 *Conclusion:* Our findings highlight the impact of pulse width on activation depth. While choice of a given therapy 37 mode is usually based on an *ad-hoc* desirable sensation basis, medical professionals may consider advocating a 38 certain therapy mode based on the depth of the intended target nerve.

39

41

40 Introduction

Pain is the body's response to injury or illness. Usually, the body heals and the pain goes away, but for 42 many people, the pain persists long after the cause has gone away. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 43 (CDC) estimates that 20.4% (50 million) of American adults suffer from chronic pain and, of which 8% (19.6 44 million) live with high-impact chronic pain [1]. Chronic pain is defined by the International Association for the 45 Study of Pain (IASP) to be pain persisting beyond typical tissue healing time, which is generally considered to be 46 47 3 months [2]. Common types of chronic pain include back, headache, joint, neck, hip, and osteoarthritis pain [3]. Although treatment typically includes pharmacological approaches, one non-pharmacological and non-invasive 48 option recommended by some clinicians for its convenience and effectiveness is Transcutaneous electrical nerve 49 stimulation (TENS) therapy [4]. 50

Studies suggest that TENS helps reduce pain via peripheral and central mechanisms. In the central system,
TENS activates the sites in the spinal cord and brainstem that use opioid, serotonin, and muscarinic receptors;
and through peripheral mechanisms — opioids and a-2-noradrenergic receptors that intervene in the induction of
analgesia [5]. Using small battery-powered devices, the modality typically delivers biphasic, symmetric or
asymmetric, rectangular or square pulses through cutaneous electrodes positioned near the painful area [6]. They

56 can be applied with varying frequencies, from low (< 10 Hz) to high (> 50 Hz), or mixed frequencies [7]. In 57 general, higher-frequency stimulation is delivered at sensory intensity, and low-frequency stimulation is delivered 58 at motor intensity [6]. At sensory intensity, patients may experience strong but comfortable sensations without 59 movement contractions, whereas at high intensity they can feel painless motor contraction.

The early evolution of TENS has been characterized by a faster rate of development of clinical applications 60 61 rather than determining optimal parameters [8]. This has been compounded by the fact that use for low back pain 62 was "grandfathered" in the United States. As TENS for low back pain was marketed prior to the 1976 medical 63 device regulation act, it was allowed to stay in commerce, and therefore TENS efficacy for low back pain was never "premarket approved". Newer devices could thereby, obtain marketing "clearance" based on demonstrating 64 equivalence to prior devices based on technology (stimulation parameter) comparison. As there was no motivation 65 66 for device manufacturers to develop proper clinical utility and generate high quality efficacy data, clinical evidence has continued to be debated [3,4]. Newer indications such as TENS for migraine and sinus pain have 67 however demonstrated definitive clinical utility [9-11]. TENS devices are considered medium risk (Class 2) 68 69 devices and are available for both prescription and OTC use.

Commercially available TENS devices for peripheral pain provide multiple therapy modes with each mode delivering a different combination of frequency and pulse width with intensity. Users are asked to screen through available modes and settle on the mode that provides the "most desirable sensation / comfort". While frequency selection allows to set desired sensory or motor contraction, intensity generally maps to tolerability, longer pulse width is suggested to induce a feeling of deeper stimulation.

Studies exploring the effects of pulse width / duration over the years, have mostly studied physiological responses and not the exact relationship to activation depth in TENS. Li and Bak 1976 [12] showed that isolated excitation of different nerve groups (motor, sensory, pain-conducting fibers) may be easier with a short duration pulse. Effects on pulse width on the arm have reproduced basic relationships between pulse duration and current intensity found in prior literature [13, 14]. Specifically, Alon et al., 1983 [8] demonstrated that pain thresholds mediated by pain-conducting fibers in healthy subjects resulted in reducing pain thresholds (350 mA - 30 mA) as

pulse duration was increased (5 µs - 1000 µs). Further, stimulus pulse width may also be used to selectively recruit fibers of different sizes [15]. Some efforts do however come close in context of related electrical stimulation modalities. Increasing pulse width was empirically shown to improve current penetration by reaching distant muscles from surface electrodes in neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) [16]. In the context of invasive DBS, increasing pulse width has been shown to lead to activation at greater distances from electrode center (or deeper stimulation) [17, 18]. Further, long pulse width stimulation has been shown to penetrate and activate deeper muscles in functional electrical stimulation [19, 20].

The goal of this computational study was to investigate the effect of the pulse width in TENS on the arm. A high anatomically realistic finite element model was used to simulate the induced electric field (E-field) distribution. The E-field is then coupled to a sensory neuron model given TENS's efficacy is predicated on providing pain relief by exciting sensory nerves. We evaluated strength-duration (S-D) curve and volume of tissue activated (VTA). The VTA map was related to pulse width to provide insight on the effect of pulse width on activation depth.

94

95 Methods

96

97 Geometry setup

The computational study was performed using Sim4Life (V7.0.1, Zurich MedTech, Zurich, Switzerland) 98 incorporating NEURON solver (v7.2.3.12730). Sim4Life is a simulation platform that combines human phantoms 99 with relevant physics solvers for analyzing real-world biological problems. The model geometry used in the study 100 corresponds to the right arm of the Yoon-sun V4-0 dataset [21]. The model incorporates high resolution data (0.1 101 x 0.1 x 0.2 mm) making it possible to resolve and thereby segment nerves, arteries, veins, and other small 102 structures. Importantly for this study, it includes all major nerve trajectories from the cranium and spinal cord to 103 internal organs and muscles and has been used in other peripheral nerve stimulation studies [22, 23]. The relevant 104 tissue properties for this study are presented in **Table 1** and based on the IT'IS material parameter database [24]. 105 Precisely, the model in Figure 1A consists of a pair of stimulation electrodes placed on the wrist with the goal of 106

- 107 targeting the medial nerve. The electrodes are placed along the length of the medial nerve and only serves as an 108 exemplary placement to study the effect of pulse width. The major underlying layers that comprise the model are 109 further indicated in Figures 1B and 1C. The electrode and interfacing gel combination have a radius of 3 mm 110 with a combined total thickness of 2 mm.
- 111
- Figure 1: Arm geometry and tissue composition. (A) indicates the position of the stimulation electrodes targeting the median nerve.
 (B) indicates the subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) layer. (C) indicates other underlying tissues such as muscle, nerves, bone, etc. Note:
 Refer to Table 1 for all tissues considered in the model and their corresponding electrical conductivities.
- 115

116 Table 1: Tissue electrical conductivities (S/m) used in the model

Tissue	Conductivity	Tissue	Conductivity
Skin	0.1482	Tendon/ligament	0.3675
Bone (Cancellous)	0.0804	Muscle	0.4610
Bone (Marrow)	0.1797	Air	0
Bone (Cortical)	0.0063	Blood	0.6624
Fat	0.0776	Nerve	0.3475
Subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT)	0.0776	Gel electrode	1.7

117

118 Nerve trajectories

The intended stimulation region and corresponding nerve anatomy is shown in Figure 2A. While the regions of 119 interest are areas in immediate proximity to the stimulation electrodes, overall visualization of anatomical details 120 in the considered geometry is helpful, to relate to induced E-field and VTA plots. As is known, five specific 121 nerves appear from the cords as the terminal branches of the brachial plexus: musculocutaneous, axillary, radial, 122 median and ulnar nerves. The musculocutaneous nerve provides motor innervation to the muscles of the anterior 123 compartment of the arm [25]. The median nerve (comprising C6-T1 spinal roots) predominantly provides motor 124 innervation to the flexor muscles of the forearm and hand [26]. The radial nerve innervates most of the skin of 125 the posterior forearm, the lateral dorsum of the hand, and the dorsal surface of the lateral three and a half digits. 126 Lastly, the ulnar nerve carries both sensory and motor fibers and supplies sensory cutaneous innervation to the 127 128 medial forearm, medial wrist, and medial one and one-half digits [25].

The longest trajectories of the ventral and dorsal roots of C6 and T1 were considered for analysis here (**Figure 2B**) due to the expected direct influence on the mid-forearm - based on electrode locations. The nerve depth from skin in contact with electrodes to the median nerve is about 5.5 mm, the radial nerve is about 13.1 mm, and the ulnar nerve is 14.9 mm. The three "point sensor" locations along the nerve trajectory used for collecting the simulation data (i.e. nodes 520, 550, and 580) are illustrated in **Figure 2C**. There were therefore 18 point sensors considered: 9 (ventral rami) and 9 (dorsal rami).

- 135
- 136

Figure 2. Nerve anatomy in the arm and locations evaluated. (A) Available terminal branches of the brachial plexus nerves (musculocutaneous, radial, median, and ulnar) highlighting anatomical detail in geometry. (B) The longest nerve trajectories were subsequently considered for simulation. For instance, (C6,6) refers to the nerve segment in the cervical section (C6) with 6 being the specific trajectory number. The ulnar nerve has 1,2,3,5,6 trajectories in the thoracic section but we consider the longest trajectory (6), or (T1,6). (C) Point sensor locations. The nodes (520,550,580) indicate the exact location of simulation data collection.

142

143 Injected current

The low-frequency electromagnetic (EM LF) - Ohmic Quasi-Static module, a rectilinear LF solver, was used to simulate TENS on the arm at multiple pulse widths (30, 88, 146, 262, and 495 μs) corresponding to the range typically available in commercial TENS stimulators. Dirichlet boundary conditions were applied as 2.38 V and -2.38 V at the anode and cathode corresponding to 5 mA of current flux calculated on the electrodes. Other external boundaries were electrically insulated (i.e. normal current density = 0). The Ohmic quasi-static field

- 149
- 150

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla \phi = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

151

152 was solved with the aforementioned boundary conditions for the electric potential distribution [27-29]. The 153 injected current was then re-calculated based on the titration factor (see section on Titration mechanism) to 154 visualize differences in the E-field between the different pulse widths.

155

156 Neuron model and additional simulation considerations

TENS is known to stimulate sensory nerves, suppressing the pain signals being sent to the brain to give user relief. 157 We therefore considered the sensory McIntvre-Richardson-Grill (MRG) neuron model [30,31], with a diameter 158 of 5 µm, to simulate the effects of pain-transmitting nerve fibers. The MRG model is based on a double-cable 159 representation of the axon that allows separating electrical representations of the myelin and underlying internodal 160 axolemma. The model has been used for neural predictions in a variety of applications [18, 32, 33]. For simulating 161 TENS using the titration mechanism, the modulation pulse type was set to bipolar with a unitless amplitude of 1 162 and an interphase interval of 0.1 ms while varying the pulse widths. The duration and time step were set to 3.5 163 ms and 0.0025 ms respectively. Running a simulation for the aforementioned nodes of interest at one pulse width 164 took approximately 4 hours using 64 threads on a workstation with the following specifications: AMD Ryzen 165 Threadripper 3970X 32-Core Processor, 3.70 GHz CPU speed, and 192 GB installed RAM. 166

167

168 Titration mechanism

169

Titration involves stimulating an axon with a series of pulses of *increasing* intensity to find the threshold at which a single action potential is generated in excitable cells. This method introduces an additional scaling factor that is titrated until a response can be detected within the stimulated region [34, 35]. Thus, the excitability threshold (I_T) is the product of the current applied to the cellular membrane of the axon, the aforementioned titration factor (T), and the modulating pulse (a(t)):

175

176
$$I_T(t) = I.T.a(t)$$
 (2.2)

The T parameter is considered as a scaling factor to indicate proportion or a multiple of the actual modulated current needed to generate an action potential. Potential and current can be used interchangeably here for measuring the stimulus strength.

181

182 Volume of Tissue Activated

The volume of tissue activated (VTA) was used to compare stimulation differences caused by changes in pulse width. The VTA around each electrode contact relied on the concept of activation function (AF), which was calculated from the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix [36]. Each eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix represents the second partial derivative of the electric potential along the respective eigenvector. A multi-step process was used to determine the VTA due to pulse width variation. This involved determining the excitability threshold (I_T), using the corresponding electric potential to calculate the AF, and subsequently utilizing the AF to determine the VTA.

190

191

192 **Results**

193

194 Electric Field (E-field)

The induced surface E-field plots due to the shortest and the longest pulse widths, calculated at their 195 corresponding titration factors (see Table 2) and plotted to the same scale, are included in Figure 3. The plots 196 reveal that the smaller pulse width (30 us) induces a larger E-field (max: 456 V/m) — covering a larger area of 197 the arm. On the other hand, the induced E-field due to 495 us is less diffuse, more focused, and has lower 198 magnitude from the same pair of electrodes. However, this is intuitively expected, as the plots are generated at 199 their respective stimulation threshold, so the 30 us E-field is the result of 19.7 mA and the 495 us E-field is the 200 result of injecting 1.75 mA. The overall spatial profile resembles a stretched ellipse with the major axis along the 201 line connecting the stimulation electrodes. The ulnar and radial nerves that are farther away from the electrode 202 sites receive less E-field (~ 0-132 V/m). With longer pulse width, the induced E-field profile in the immediate 203

- vicinity of the electrode sites indicates a restricted hot-spot with dramatic fall-off (~40-280 V/m) and approximately 0-23 V/m for the rest of the nerves.
- 206
- Figure 3. Surface plot of induced E-field on the arm due to the shortest and longest pulse widths considered. The E-field was
 calculated based on the current due to their corresponding titration factor: for 30µs, input current was 19.7 mA and for 495µs, 1.75mA.
 209
- 210 Titration Factor
- As previously stated, the titration technique was employed to find the threshold potential of membrane depolarization. **Table 2** notes the individual titration factors needed for the roots (C6 and T1). As expected, the titration factor is substantially smaller for the 495 μ s pulse in comparison to the 30 μ s pulse. While the titration factors of dorsal and ventral sections for the C6 roots are the same, they differ somewhat for the T1 roots. Further, as anticipated, minimum titration factors were needed in the branches of the median nerve due to the proximity to the electrode sites.
- 217
- 218
- Table 2. Titration factor at chosen sensor locations. Titration factor for the shortest (30µs) and longest (495µs) pulse duration at sensor nodes (520,550,580). As expected, minimum titration factors were needed for the superficial median nerve with the highest values needed for the ulnar nerve.
- 222

C6 dorsal		C6 ventral		T1 dorsal		T1 ventral	
Median (30 µs)	3.93	Median (30 µs)	3.93	Ulnar (30 µs)	81.55	Ulnar (30 µs)	76.57
Median (495 µs)	0.34	Median (495 µs)	0.34	Ulnar (495 µs)	11.31	Ulnar (495 µs)	10.87
Radial (30 µs)	35.57	Radial (30 µs)	35.57				
Radial (495 µs)	3.21	Radial (495 µs)	3.21				

224 **3.3 Strength-Duration Curve**

225	The resulting strength-duration (S-D) curve of the median nerve under electrical stimulation is shown in Figure
226	4. For the range of 30-495 μ s considered here, the rheobase was found to be ~1.75 mA with a chronaxie of ~232
227	μs. Consistent with the theory, the curve tends to flatten out with longer stimulus duration (or pulse width).
228	
229	Figure 4. Strength-Duration (S-D) curve of the target median nerve. A pulse width range of 30-495 µs was considered in the study.

230 The corresponding excitability threshold for each pulse width is noted along the curve.

231

232 **3.4 Volume of Tissue Activated (VTA)**

The VTA maps illustrate isosurface plots derived from the absolute value of Hessian matrix eigenvalues of the 233 electric potential. Since the Hessian matrix is essentially a matrix of the second partial derivative of the electric 234 235 potential, it enables determination of the classic activating function in 3D [37, 38]. To facilitate a direct comparison across the range of pulse widths considered, we plotted the VTA maps at one common current value-236 i.e. the average threshold current (10.73 mA) spanning the shortest and longest pulse widths (Figure 5). As 237 expected, the shortest pulse width requires the highest threshold to activate tissue near the input source and is 238 approximately a factor of 11 higher with respect to the longest pulse width (8.8 $e^5 / 7.82 e^4$). The estimated VTA 239 for the shortest and widest pulses were 118.72 mm³ and 2,586.24 mm³ respectively, indicating a VTA ratio of 240 21.2. 241

- 242
- Figure 5. Median nerve activating function (AF) and volume of tissue activated (VTA) at a fixed current amplitude (10.73 mA).
 Figures show the isosurface plots of the second spatial derivative of electric potential at the AF threshold for the median nerve. Each row is the result of a simulated pulse width in ascending order (30, 88, 146, 262, 495 μs).
- 246

The value of this study is exemplified by observing the shape and pattern of the VTA maps. While VTA investigation in invasive applications such as DBS reveal uniform "blobs' around the electrode contacts reflecting *one* brain region [17, 39], the maps here are scattered and irregular, due to varying complex anatomy. This is only

250	captured due to the realistic arm geometry considered here. The VTA maps also help visualize the influence of
251	pulse width on activation depth. The plots in the first column indicate that for pulse widths up to 146 μ s, it is not
252	possible to recruit the deeper radial and the ulnar nerves. At the longest pulse width, there is some activation at
253	the levels of the deeper nerves of the arm.

254

255 Influence of the pulse width with respect to activation depth

To understand the influence of pulse width on activation depth, we plotted predicted VTA with respect to the varying pulse widths considered (**Figure 6**). We note a linear relationship for the range of pulse widths considered here.

259

Figure 6. Volume of tissue activated (VTA) vs pulse width at a fixed current amplitude (10.73 mA). VTA is used as a surrogate
 for activation depth. For the range of pulse widths and conditions considered here, the relationship is linear.

262

264

263 **Discussion**

The central aim of this study was to unpack the relationship between pulse width and activation depth during TENS on the arm. Prior electrical stimulation studies using other modalities have empirically shown that wider pulses can recruit deeper targets. Using a highly detailed 3D model, we provide enhanced visualization of available geometry, induced E-field profile, VTA maps, and relationship to activation depth, for the first time.

The S-D curves for nerve stimulation have clearly established its shape over numerous investigations dating back to the 30's. Given the dominant electrical capacitance of the neural membrane, S-D curves expectedly follow a capacitor discharge curve. A TENS practitioner can therefore readily use the inverse relationship between intensity and pulse width to make an informed stimulation strategy choice. Now longer pulse widths at the same current would lead to more charge delivered across the membrane- presumably translating to deeper stimulation. However, the exact relationship has not been explored previously in TENS. Further for non-invasive electrical nerve stimulation applications, lowering pulse width in order to deliver higher current intensity is limited to the

intensity at which the user can comfortably receive stimulation [40]. This restriction is however not applicablefor invasive delivery [18] as stimulation does not have to navigate superficial cutaneous sensation.

The linear relationship between VTA and pulse width observed in our simulations indicates that longer 278 widths would lead to deeper activation. We note that our observations are restricted to only the pulse widths and 279 the concomitant geometry (arm) considered here. We expected the relationship to asymptote at higher pulse 280 widths as the excitability threshold reaches rheobase. While the excitability threshold in the S-D curve (Figure 281 4) follows a hyperbolic or exponential decay similar to classical equations (Weiss-Lapique and Lapique-Blair 282 [41, 42]), the VTA expands with a similar convexity resulting in a net linear VTA - pulse width relationship. We 283 suspect this is due to (1) volume being cubic and (2) the Hessian of voltage dropping exponentially away from 284 the electrodes. There are several limiting assumptions to the plot. We are considering only the magnitude of the 285 286 Hessian, which does not account for orientation / alignment with any possible axon. The AF thresholds were calibrated for the median nerve A-delta fiber running along the length of the arm; other nerve orientations and 287 fiber types would be expected to respond differently. Further, heterogeneous tissues cause spikes in E-field and 288 AF at material boundaries. 289

TENS efficacy is likely predicated upon a net effect of stimulating multiple underlying nerves of various 290 types and not just the A-delta fiber considered here. We also note that we simply used the median nerve in this 291 292 stud v as a test nerve to explore relationships between E-field, strength, duration, activation depth, etc. However, the choice of A-delta fiber is rational as nociceptors generally transmit noxious stimuli through A-delta and C-293 fiber nerves. Further, it is known that the C-fiber afferents carry slow sensations associated with aches, whereas 294 the A-delta afferents are associated with fast sensations such as sharp pain. We expect our main results to also 295 hold for C-fiber median nerves with differences in rheobase and chronaxie values. Given the type of pain felt 296 and if one were to know underlying nerve depth [43], one could potentially start with a suitable pulse width. In 297 reality however, pre-programmed therapy modes (combination of pre-set frequency and pulse width) are provided 298 in TENS devices, limiting full flexibility to the user in parameter selection. Therefore, the best approach continues 299 300 to be to try all modes first, and in each case, titrating intensity to the strongest possible but at a level that is

301 comfortable. If deeper pain relief is desired, patients should then pick the next mode with longer pulse width302 while maintaining the frequency and intensity from the prior mode.

The strength of our modeling process in simulating TENS on the arm is the usage of a highly realistic 303 model. Previous 3-D FEM approaches have either used idealized geometries such as a cylindrical arm [44-46] or 304 derived from 2-D anatomical images by extruding geometry [35] and limiting to certain cross sections [32]. The 305 modeling methodology applied here, from the geometry, applying EM simulations into a dynamic Neuron solver, 306 using the MRG model, and subsequently using titration analysis, mimics the one employed in the context of 307 magnetic stimulation (MS) [22]. The simulation setup used in the aforementioned MS study has been further 308 validated using clinical experiments. Specifically, numerically estimated latencies and waveforms were in 309 agreement with the empirical measurements on subjects undergoing MS on the arm [23]. The only difference to 310 our simulation is the application of electrical stimulation and thereby, related governing equation. However, the 311 governing equation is a standard equation used to predict induced current in volumetric media and has been 312 validated in other applications [47, 48]. Further, the MRG model has been shown to generate accurate predictions 313 for TENS specifically, compared to active cable and mammalian nerve models [27, 35]. Taken together, we expect 314 the main conclusions of this study to be robust. 315

There are practical limitations to increasing the pulse width at the same current intensity to increase 316 activation depth, namely battery life. The chronaxie is usually considered the most efficient pulse width choice 317 for conserving the pulse generator's battery life and is naturally a key factor in invasive applications [49]. With 318 modern day TENS devices powered by high capacity small rechargeable batteries, this is not much of a concern. 319 However, as mentioned above, we expect the linear relationship to ultimately change and plateau. Promising 320 solutions such as coupling TENS with a nerve cuff to facilitate activation of deeper nerves has been proposed 321 [35]. However, nerve cuff involves surgery and moreover, such solutions are still being developed and not 322 currently available to the practitioner or the patient. 323

Computational modeling and simulation such as the one reported here is now increasingly used across a range of stimulation modalities, from optimizing delivery, performing safety analysis, to supporting device design

/ development [29,39 50-53]. Furthermore, these predictions have helped in elucidating stimulation parameter
 choices, understanding mechanism of action, explaining stimulation outcome, and thereby advancing stimulation
 administration in general [11, 54-57]. We expect this study on the arm to guide researchers in performing future
 explorations on other body parts, determine ideal pulse width range for target nerve of interest, attempt validation
 using TENS similar to the one performed in MS [23], and investigate new TENS delivery approaches.

331

332 Author Contributions

AD developed the concept idea. AG and DQT performed the modeling and related post-processing. AG, DQT,

and AD analyzed the results and prepared the initial manuscript draft with important intellectual input from YC

and SL. All authors confirmed the overall methodology, contributed to the final manuscript text, and approved

the submitted version.

337

338 **Conflicts of Interest**

AG, DQT, and AD are employees of Soterix Medical. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

342

343 **References**

344

[1] Dahlhamer J, Lucas J, Zelaya, C, et al. Prevalence of Chronic Pain and High-Impact Chronic Pain Among
 Adults — United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2018;67:1001–1006. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6736a2

348

[2] Mills SEE, Nicolson KP, Smith BH. Chronic pain: a review of its epidemiology and associated factors in population-based studies. Br J Anaesth. 2019 Aug;123(2):e273-e283.

351

[3] Cohen SP, Vase L, Hooten WM. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new advances. Lancet.
2021 May 29;397(10289):2082-2097.

[4] Johnson, M. I., Paley, C. A., Jones, G., Mulvey, M. R., & amp; Wittkopf, P. G. (2022). Efficacy and safety of 355 transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute and chronic pain in adults: A systematic review and 356 meta-analysis of 381 studies (the meta-tens study). BMJ Open, 12(2). 357 358 [5] DeSantana, J. M., Walsh, D. M., Vance, C., Rakel, B. A., & amp; Sluka, K. A. (2008). Effectiveness of 359 transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of hyperalgesia and pain. Current Rheumatology 360 Reports, 10(6), 492-499. 361 362 [6] Vance, C. G., Dailey, D. L., Rakel, B. A., & amp; Sluka, K. A. (2014). Using TENS for pain control: The 363 State of the evidence. Pain Management, 4(3), 197–209. 364 365 [7] Vance, Carol G., Dailey, D. L., Chimenti, R. L., Van Gorp, B. J., Crofford, L. J., & amp; Sluka, K. A. (2022). 366 Using tens for pain control: Update on the state of the evidence. Medicina, 58(10), 1332. 367 368 [8] Alon G, Allin J, Inbar GF. Optimization of pulse duration and pulse charge during transcutaneous electrical 369 nerve stimulation. Aust J Physiother. 1983 Dec;29(6):195-201. 370 371 [9] Riederer F, Penning S, Schoenen J. Transcutaneous supraorbital nerve stimulation (T-sns) with the cefaly® 372 device for migraine prevention: a review of the available data. Pain Therapy. (2015) 4:135-47. 10.1007/s40122-373 015-0039-5 374 375 [10] Goldsobel AB, Prabhakar N, Gurfein BT. Prospective trial examining safety and efficacy of microcurrent 376 stimulation for the treatment of sinus pain and congestion. Bioelectron Med. 2019 Nov 20;5:18. 377 378 [11] Thomas C, Truong DO, Lee K, Deblieck C, Androulakis XM, Datta A. Determination of Current Flow 379 Induced by Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for the Treatment of Migraine: Potential for 380 Optimization. Front Pain Res (Lausanne). 2021 Dec 6 381 382 [12] Li CL, Bak A. Excitability characteristics of the A- and C-fibers in a peripheral nerve. Exp Neurol. 1976 383 Jan;50(1):67-79. 384 385 [13] Crago PE, Peckham PH, Mortimer JT, Van der Meulen JP. The choice of pulse duration for chronic electrical 386 stimulation via surface, nerve, and intramuscular electrodes. Ann Biomed Eng. 1974 Sep;2(3):252-64. 387 388 [14] Moreno-Aranda J, Seireg A. Electrical parameters for over-the-skin muscle stimulation. J Biomech. 389 1981;14(9):579-85. 390 391 [15] Szlavik RB, de Bruin H. The effect of stimulus current pulse width on nerve fiber size recruitment patterns. 392 Med Eng Phys. 1999 Jul-Sep;21(6-7):507-15 393 394 [16] Doucet BM, Lam A, Griffin L. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for skeletal muscle function. Yale J 395 Biol Med. 2012 Jun;85(2):201-15. Epub 2012 Jun 25. 396 397

- [17] Astrom M, Diczfalusy E, Martens H, Wardell K. Relationship between neural activation and electric field
 distribution during deep brain stimulation. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2015 Feb;62(2):664-672
- 400
- [18] Anderson CJ, Anderson DN, Pulst SM, Butson CR, Dorval AD. Neural selectivity, efficiency, and dose
 equivalence in deep brain stimulation through pulse width tuning and segmented electrodes. Brain Stimul. 2020
 Jul-Aug;13(4):1040-1050.
- 404
- [19] Kern H, Carraro U. Home-Based Functional Electrical Stimulation of Human Permanent Denervated
 Muscles: A Narrative Review on Diagnostics, Managements, Results and Byproducts Revisited 2020. Diagnostics
 (Basel). 2020 Jul 29;10(8):529.
- 408
- [20] Gorgey AS, Khalil RE, Alrubaye M, Gill R, Rivers J, Goetz LL, Cifu DX, Castillo T, Caruso D, Lavis TD,
 Lesnefsky EJ, Cardozo CC, Adler RA. Testosterone and long pulse width stimulation (TLPS) for denervated
 muscles after spinal cord injury: a study protocol of randomised clinical trial. BMJ Open. 2022 Oct
 5;12(10):e064748.
- 413
- [21] Gosselin, M.-C., et al. (2014). Development of a new generation of high-resolution anatomical models for
 medical device evaluation: The virtual population 3.0. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 59(18), 5287–5303.
- [22] Colella M, Liberti M, Apollonio F, Bonmassar G. A Miniaturized Ultra-Focal Magnetic Stimulator and Its
 Preliminary Application to the Peripheral Nervous System. 2020 Aug 6. In: Makarov SN, Noetscher GM,
 Nummenmaa A, editors. Brain and Human Body Modeling 2020: Computational Human Models Presented at
 EMBC 2019 and the BRAIN Initiative® 2019 Meeting [Internet]. Cham (CH): Springer; 2021.
- [23] Colella M, Press DZ, Laher RM, McIlduff CE, Rutkove SB, Cassarà AM, Apollonio F, Pascual-Leone A,
 Liberti M, Bonmassar G. A study of flex miniaturized coils for focal nerve magnetic stimulation. Med Phys. 2023
 Mar;50(3):1779-1792
- 425

- [24] Hasgall PA, Di Gennaro F, Baumgartner C, Neufeld E, Lloyd B, Gosselin MC, Payne D, Klingenböck A,
 Kuster N, "IT'IS Database for thermal and electromagnetic parameters of biological tissues," Version 4.1, Feb
 22, 2022, DOI: 10.13099/VIP21000-04-1.
- 429
- [25] Desai SS, Arbor TC, Varacallo M. Anatomy, Shoulder and Upper Limb, Musculocutaneous Nerve. [Updated
 2023 Sep 4]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available from:
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534199/
- 433
- 434 [26] Bayot ML, Nassereddin A, Varacallo M. Anatomy, Shoulder and Upper Limb, Brachial Plexus. [Updated
 435 2023 Jul 24]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available from:
 436 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK500016/
- 437
- [27] Kuhn A, Keller T, Micera S, Morari M. Array electrode design for transcutaneous electrical stimulation: a
 simulation study. Med Eng Phys. 2009 Oct;31(8):945-51.
- 440

441 442 442	[28] Ge Y, Ye S, Zhu K, Guo T, Su D, Zhang D, Chen Y, Chai X, Sui X. Mediating different-diameter Aβ nerve fibers using a biomimetic 3D TENS computational model. J Neurosci Methods. 2020 Dec 1;346:108891.
443 444 445 446 447	[29] Datta A, Bansal V, Diaz J, Patel J, Reato D, Bikson M. Gyri-precise head model of transcranial direct current stimulation: improved spatial focality using a ring electrode versus conventional rectangular pad. Brain Stimul. 2009 Oct;2(4):201-7, 207.e1
448 449 450	[30] McIntyre CC, Richardson AG, Grill WM. Modeling the excitability of mammalian nerve fibers: influence of afterpotentials on the recovery cycle. J Neurophysiol. 2002a Feb;87(2):995-1006.
451 452 453	[31] McIntyre , C. C., & Grill, W. M. (2002b). Extracellular stimulation of central neurons: Influence of stimulus waveform and frequency on neuronal output. Journal of Neurophysiology, 88(4), 1592–1604.
454 455 456	[32] Gaines JL, Finn KE, Slopsema JP, Heyboer LA, Polasek KH. A model of motor and sensory axon activation in the median nerve using surface electrical stimulation. J Comput Neurosci. 2018 Aug;45(1):29-43.
457 458 459	[33] Pelot NA, Behrend CE, Grill WM. Modeling the response of small myelinated axons in a compound nerve to kilohertz frequency signals. J Neural Eng. 2017 Aug;14(4):046022.
460 461 462	[34] Samoudi AM, Kampusch S, Tanghe E, Széles JC, Martens L, Kaniusas E, Joseph W. Sensitivity Analysis of a Numerical Model for Percutaneous Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation. <i>Applied Sciences</i> . 2019; 9(3):540.
463 464 465 466	[35] Roointan S, Tovbis D, Elder C, Yoo PB. Enhanced transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation achieved by a localized virtual bipole: a computational study of human tibial nerve stimulation. J Neural Eng. 2020 May 4;17(2):026041.
467 468 469	[36]Anderson DN, Duffley G, Vorwerk J, Dorval AD, Butson CR. Anodic stimulation misunderstood: preferential activation of fiber orientations with anodic waveforms in deep brain stimulation. J Neural Eng. 2019 Feb;16(1):016026.
470 471 472 473	[37] Rattay F. Analysis of models for external stimulation of axons. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1986 Oct;33(10):974-7.
474 475 476	[38] Duffley G, Anderson DN, Vorwerk J, Dorval AD, Butson CR. Evaluation of methodologies for computing the deep brain stimulation volume of tissue activated. J Neural Eng. 2019 Oct 29;16(6):066024.
477 478 479	[39] Butson CR, Cooper SE, Henderson JM, McIntyre CC. Patient-specific analysis of the volume of tissue activated during deep brain stimulation. Neuroimage. 2007 Jan 15;34(2):661-70.
480 481 482 483	[40] Badran BW, Yu AB, Adair D, Mappin G, DeVries WH, Jenkins DD, George MS, Bikson M. Laboratory Administration of Transcutaneous Auricular Vagus Nerve Stimulation (taVNS): Technique, Targeting, and Considerations. J Vis Exp. 2019 Jan 7;(143):10.3791/58984.

- [41] Blair HA. On the intensity-time relations for stimulation by electric currents. I. J Gen Physiol. 1932 Jul 20;15(6):709-29.
- 486
- [42] Rattay F, Paredes LP, Leao RN. Strength-duration relationship for intra- versus extracellular stimulation
 with microelectrodes. Neuroscience. 2012 Jul 12;214(5):1-13.
- 489
- [43] Niimi Y, Gomez-Tames J, Wasaka T, Hirata A. Selective stimulation of nociceptive small fibers during
 intraepidermal electrical stimulation: Experiment and computational analysis. Front Neurosci. 2023 Jan 13;16:1045942.
- 493
- [44] Kuhn A, Keller T, Lawrence M, Morari M. A model for transcutaneous current stimulation: simulations and
 experiments. Med Biol Eng Comput. 2009 Mar;47(3):279-89.
- 496

- [45] Goffredo, M., Schmid, M., Conforto, S., Bilotti, F., Palma, C., Vegni, L., & D'Alessio, T. (2014). A twostep model to optimise transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the human upper arm. Compel-the International
 Journal for Computation and Mathematics in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 33(4), 1329–1345.
- [46] Zhu K, Li L, Wei X, Sui X. A 3D Computational Model of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for
 Estimating Aβ Tactile Nerve Fiber Excitability. Front Neurosci. 2017 May 16;11:250.
- [47] Datta A, Zhou X, Su Y, Parra LC, Bikson M. Validation of finite element model of transcranial electrical stimulation using scalp potentials: implications for clinical dose. J Neural Eng. 2013 Jun;10(3):036018.
 506
- 48] Huang Y, Liu AA, Lafon B, Friedman D, Dayan M, Wang X, Bikson M, Doyle WK, Devinsky O, Parra LC.
 Measurements and models of electric fields in the *in vivo* human brain during transcranial electric stimulation.
 Elife. 2017 Feb 7;6:e18834.
- 510
- [49] Coates S, Thwaites B. The strength-duration curve and its importance in pacing efficiency: a study of 325
 pacing leads in 229 patients. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. 2000 Aug;23(8):1273-7.
- 513
- [50] Deng ZD, Lisanby SH, Peterchev AV. Electric field depth-focality tradeoff in transcranial magnetic
 stimulation: simulation comparison of 50 coil designs. Brain Stimul. 2013;6:1–13.
- 516
 517 [51] Haberbosch L, Datta A, Thomas C, et al. Safety aspects, tolerability and modeling of retinofugal alternating
 518 current stimulation. Front Neurosci. 2019;13:783.
- 519
- [52] Truong DQ, Thomas C, Hampstead BM, Datta A. Comparison of Transcranial Focused Ultrasound and
 Transcranial Pulse Stimulation for Neuromodulation: A Computational Study. Neuromodulation. 2022
 Jun;25(4):606-613.
- 523
- [53] Guillen A, Abbott CC, Deng ZD, Huang Y, Pascoal-Faria P, Truong DQ, Datta A. Impact of modeled field
 of view in electroconvulsive therapy current flow simulations. Front Psychiatry. 2023 May 18;14:1168672.

- [54] Roth Y, Amir A, Levkovitz Y, Zangen A. Three-dimensional distribution of the electric field induced in the
 brain by transcranial magnetic stimulation using figure-8 and deep H-coils. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2007;24:31–38.
- [55] Datta A, Dmochowski JP, Guleyupoglu B, Bikson M, Fregni F. Cranial electrotherapy stimulation and transcranial pulsed current stimulation: a computer based high-resolution modeling study. Neuroimage. 2013 Jan 15;65:280-7.
- 533
- [56] Cakmak YO, Nazim K, Thomas C, Datta A. Optimized Electrode Placements for Non-invasive Electrical
 Stimulation of the Olfactory Bulb and Olfactory Mucosa. Front Neurosci. 2020 Nov 12;14:581503.
- 536
- 537 [57] Truong DQ, Guillen A, Nooristani M, Maheu M, Champoux F, Datta A. Impact of galvanic vestibular
 538 stimulation electrode current density on brain current flow patterns: Does electrode size matter? PLoS One. 2023
 539 Feb 3;18(2):e0273883.
- 540
- 541











Figure 4



Pulse duration = 495µs Activation threshold= 7.82e⁴ V/m² VTA = 2586.24 mm³

