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Purpose: To quantify relevant fundus autofluorescence (FAF) image features crosssectionally and longitudinally in a large cohort of inherited retinal diseases (IRDs) patients.
Design: Retrospective study of imaging data ( 55 -degree blue-FAF on Heidelberg Spectralis) from patients.
Participants: Patients with a clinical and molecularly confirmed diagnosis of IRD who have undergone FAF 55-degree imaging at Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH) and the Royal Liverpool Hospital (RLH) between 2004 and 2019.
Methods: Five FAF features of interest were defined: vessels, optic disc, perimacular ring of increased signal (ring), relative hypo-autofluorescence (hypo-AF) and hyperautofluorescence (hyper-AF). Features were manually annotated by six graders in a subset of patients based on a defined grading protocol to produce segmentation masks to train an Al model, AIRDetect, which was then applied to the entire imaging dataset.
Main Outcome Measures: Quantitative FAF imaging features including area in $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ and vessel metrics, were analysed cross-sectionally by gene and age, and longitudinally to determine rate of progression. AIRDetect feature segmentation and detection were validated with Dice score and precision/recall, respectively.
Results: A total of 45,749 FAF images from 3,606 IRD patients from MEH covering 170 genes were automatically segmented using AIRDetect. Model-grader Dice scores for disc, hypo-AF, hyper-AF, ring and vessels were respectively $0.86,0.72,0.69,0.68$ and 0.65 . The five genes with the largest hypo-AF areas were CHM, ABCC6, ABCA4, RDH12, and RPE65, with mean per-patient areas of $41.5,30.0,21.9,21.4$, and $15.1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. The five genes with the largest hyper-AF areas were BEST1, CDH23, RDH12, MYO7A, and NR2E3, with mean areas of $0.49,0.45,0.44,0.39$, and $0.34 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ respectively. The five genes with largest ring areas were CDH23, NR2E3, CRX, EYS and MYO7A, with mean areas of $3.63,3.32,2.84$, 2.39, and $2.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. Vessel density was found to be highest in EFEMP1, BEST1, TIMP3, RS1, and PRPH2 ( $10.6 \%, 10.3 \%, 9.8 \%, 9.7 \%, 8.9 \%$ ) and was lower in Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP) and Leber Congenital Amaurosis genes. Longitudinal analysis of decreasing ring area in four RP genes (RPGR, USH2A, RHO, EYS) found EYS to be the fastest progressor at $-0.18 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ /year.
Conclusions: We have conducted the first large-scale cross-sectional and longitudinal quantitative analysis of FAF features across a diverse range of IRDs using a novel AI approach.

## 67 Introduction

Inherited retinal diseases (IRDs) are clinically and genetically heterogeneous disorders that affect the retina, and represent the leading cause of certifiable blindness among working-age adults in England and Wales and the second commonest cause in childhood ${ }^{1}$. This group of disorders can be caused by genetic mutations in any one of over 270 genes ${ }^{2-4}$.

Many IRDs are associated with structural changes within the retina, which can be detected with retinal imaging using different imaging modalities such as colour fundus, infraredreflectance (IR), spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT), or fundus autofluorescence (FAF). FAF is of particular importance in the context of IRDs, as it allows the detection of patterns of fluorophores, often at the level of the photoreceptors and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), which can be indicative of pathological changes such as loss of overlying photoreceptors ${ }^{5,6}$. Some of these FAF signal changes are highly characteristic of specific IRDs and can indicate features such as areas of RPE atrophy or lipofuscin deposits. FAF is listed as a primary or secondary outcome in multiple clinical trials, and it has become a useful retinal biomarker for diagnostic and prognostication purposes in a wide variety of IRDs ${ }^{3,7,8}$.

The identification and quantification of features of degeneration within retinal imaging is critical for diagnosis, monitoring disease progression, providing prognostic information and assessing treatments in IRDs. The first steps in quantifying retinal imaging-based biomarkers of disease involves identification and segmentation of these features. Manual segmentation performed by human annotators is time consuming and requires expert annotators, which makes this process subjective and not feasible on a large scale. Automated identification and segmentation of IRD features in a reliable way is important for enabling the routine use of these data quantitatively in clinical practice and to help further our understanding of these diseases.

Existing studies that have used deep learning to segment IRD features from retinal images have so far focused on specific IRD phenotypes such as retinitis pigmentosa (RP), Stargardt (STGD1), and choroideremia (CHM) ${ }^{9,10}$.

To support our analysis on a broad range of different IRD phenotypes, we develop AIRDetect, a deep learning model that can automatically identify and segment relevant features from FAF images. We apply AIRDetect to the entire cohort of IRD patients with molecularly confirmed diagnoses at Moorfields Eye Hospital, to identify gene-phenotype associations, as well as quantify disease progression.

## Methods

## Dataset Curation

Patients' genotypes were extracted from the Genetics database of Moorfields Eye Hospital (MEH, London, UK) ${ }^{2,11}$. Patients' images were exported from the Heidelberg Imaging (Heyex) database (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) based on their hospital number, for records between 2004-06-17 and 2019-10-22. All 55-degree FAF images were 488nm blue-FAF images captured by the Heidelberg Spectralis imaging platform.

A dataset of 736 blue-FAF images (55-degree) from 573 patients from MEH were annotated with four different image features, optic disc, regions of hyper- and hypo-autofluorescence (AF), and perimacular ring of increased signal, and a further set of 206 blue-FAF images (55-degree) from 127 patients from the Royal Liverpool Hospital (RLH) were annotated with the retina vessel tree. A grading protocol was defined for IRD retinal feature annotations (Table 1) ${ }^{12}$. The Dice similarity coefficient score was used to assess inter-grader agreement ${ }^{13}$. Manual grading was completed over an 18-month period from June 2022 to December 2023 by four graders, with two additional graders carrying out the vessel segmentation at RLH. Manual grading was performed using the Moorfields Grading Portal online platform (grading.readingcentre.org). A full breakdown of the manually annotated dataset is given in Supplementary Table 1.

| Name | Shorthand | Includes | Excludes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Optic Disc | disc | The optic nerve head. Includes both the <br> optic cup and rim. | Peripapillary atrophy not included <br> in annotation. |
| Hypo- <br> autofluorescence | hypo-AF | Areas distinctly darker than physiological <br> normal area with 50\% grader confidence. <br> The level of hypo-AF should be at least 90- <br> $100 \%$ as dark as the optic disc. Note this is <br> relative AF rather than absolute AF. | Excludes peripapillary atrophy. <br> Areas of ambiguous (not definitely <br> decreased) regions in the <br> periphery are not annotated as <br> hypo-AF. |
| Hyper- <br> autofluorescence | hyper-AF | Regions brighter than physiological normal <br> area with 50\% grader confidence. Note this <br> is relative AF rather than absolute AF. | Excludes macular ring. Excludes <br> flecks. |
| Perimacular ring of <br> increased signal | ring | Ring shaped area of hyper-AF within the <br> vascular arcades at the macula. | Must be >50\% complete circle. |
| Veins and Arteries | vessels | All visible retinal vessels stemming from the <br> optic disc. | Only annotated over atrophy if the <br> grader is more than 50\% certain <br> of the location of the vessel. |

Table 1: Features and definitions used during the annotation process of five features by the graders.

## Training and Test Datasets

The annotated dataset was compiled, and any images without confirmation for all features from at least one grader at the time of model development were discarded, and, to avoid bias, the annotation from a single grader was randomly selected where grader annotations were available for a single image. After this process there were 554 images from 464 patients from MEH. The MEH training set consisted of 506 images from 424 patients from. The MEH hold-out test set consisted of 48 images from 40 patients. The RLH training set consisted of 72 images from 52 patients from RLH. The RLH hold-out test set consisted of 23 images from 22 patients. Training sets were split into five separate sets for use with 5 -fold cross validation, ensuring a balanced representation of each class across folds. Assignment to the training and test sets was done at patient-level to avoid any potential data leakage. The data flowchart is fully described in Supplementary Figure 1.

## Development of AIRDetect Segmentation Model

For training the AIRDetect segmentation model, we selected the nnU-Net (no-new-UNet) framework for its adaptability and performance in automatic medical image segmentation tasks ${ }^{14}$. At its core, nnU-Net leverages a fully convolutional network design inspired by the U-Net architecture, renowned for its efficacy in medical imaging tasks ${ }^{15-17}$. The overlying
nnU-Net framework then automatically configures its network architecture, preprocessing, and training strategy based on the dataset's characteristics, optimising for performance, without requiring manual hyperparameter tuning or architecture modifications from the user.

For the five different image features, we trained two separate nnU-net models. A single multi-class model for disc, hyper-AF and hypo-AF, and ring, and a separate single-class model for vessels. As with common practice for nn-Unet each model consisted of an ensemble of five U-nets with identical architectures, but different weights, trained independently and then ensembled at inference, taking the unweighted average of the probability scores across networks.

The model was trained using a sum of Dice and cross-entropy loss functions to optimise for multi-class segmentation accuracy. Hyperparameters, such as learning rate and batch size, were selected by the nnU-Net based on its analysis of the dataset. Training was curtailed at 200 epochs as this was sufficient to achieve convergence in most cases.

## Validation of AIRDetect Segmentation Model

Model validation was assessed using the Dice coefficient between the model predictions and the corresponding grader annotation on the hold-out test set. Where images were double graded, we took the mean of the model-grader Dice for each grading. We also analysed the accuracy of the model-grader agreement for simple presence/absence detection where we counted cases as positive for which the model/annotator marked at least some part of the image for the given feature, and negative otherwise, from which we derived presence/absence detection accuracy, precision and recall.

## Automatic Annotations on Real World IRD Dataset

The trained models were applied to automatically segment 45,749 FAF images (55-degree) from 3,606 IRD patients with a molecularly confirmed diagnosis from MEH covering 170 genes ${ }^{2,11}$. Images where the optic disc was not segmented by the model were removed, as these images were of poor quality or not centred on the macula (Supplementary Figure 2). Results were analysed from 33,042 FAF images from 3,496 patients, after filtering.

For each of the generated masks we extracted: a) if the feature was present or absent; b) the area, number of pixels in the segmented mask multiplied by the resolution; c) the number of connected components, found using watershed clustering ${ }^{18}$; d) feature brightness, mean intensity of pixels from the region covered by the segmented mask. For vessels, we
calculated a selection of metrics defined in Supplementary Table 2, using the provided code from the reti-py library as used in the AutoMorph repository ${ }^{19}$. Features were also analysed based on their distance from the fovea.

To calculate rate of progression for a given feature, a linear regression was fit to each patient-eye, taking time since the first appointment (in years) as the independent variable, and taking the calculated areas of the segmented feature at each time-point as the measured variable. The slope of the regression was then averaged across eyes per-patient to give a rate of progression. Where multiple scans per eye were present for a given date, we took the most recent scan with the rationale that good quality scans were less likely to lead to further imaging by the operator.

## Results

## AIRDetect Model Validation

Examples of AIRDetect segmentation output are presented in Figure 1. Model-grader Dice scores for disc, hypo-AF, hyper-AF, ring and vessels were respectively $0.86,0.72,0.69,0.68$

and 0.65 , with intergrader Dice scores of $0.82,0.75,0.72,0.80,0.95$, respectively. Model detection accuracy ranged from $77 \%$ to $83 \%$ (excluding anatomical features) (Table 2). Features which were the most challenging to detect were hyper-AF and ring as those had the lowest precision scores at 0.53 and 0.60 respectively.
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Figure 1: Examples of manually and automatically segmented masks for the five features: vessels, disc, ring, hyper- and hypo-autofluorescence. The vessel dataset was separate to the rest of the data, so vessel visualisation is separate from other features.

Table 2: Segmentation model training data and results. Dice score quantifies the model's segmentation performance and presence/absence quantifies its feature detection performance. Total $=$ number of annotated images. Incidence $=$ percent of images with gradable feature. Dice inter-grader = inter-grader agreement of double-graded images (repeated from Table 2 for reference). Dice modelgrader = Dice score between model and graders, with mean scores used when images were doublegraded.

| Feature | Train set |  | Test Set |  | Segmentation (Dice) |  | Detection <br> (Presence/Absence) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Incidence | Total | Incidence | Intergrader | Modelgrader | Accuracy | Precision | Recall |
| disc | 506 | 98\% | 48 | 98\% | 0.82 | 0.86 |  |  |  |
| hypo-AF | 506 | 70\% | 48 | 44\% | 0.75 | 0.72 | 83.3\% | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| hyper-AF | 506 | 18\% | 48 | 23\% | 0.72 | 0.69 | 79.2\% | 0.53 | 0.82 |
| ring | 506 | 32\% | 48 | 31\% | 0.80 | 0.68 | 77.1\% | 0.60 | 0.80 |
| vessels | 72 | 100\% | 23 | 100\% | 0.94 | 0.65 | - | - |  |

## Gene-phenotype Associations

Analysing associations between identified features and genes across most common genes (Supplementary Table 3), the five genes with the largest hypo-AF areas were $C H M$, ABCC6, ABCA4, RDH12, and RPE65, with mean per-patient areas of 41.5, 30.0, 21.9, 21.4, and $15.1 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ (Figure 2a). The five genes with the largest hyper-AF areas were BEST1, CDH23, RDH12, MYO7A, and NR2E3, with mean areas of $0.49,0.45,0.44,0.39$, and 0.34 $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ respectively (Figure 2b). The five genes with largest ring areas were CDH23, NR2E3, $C R X, E Y S$ and MYO7A, with mean areas of 3.63, 3.32, 2.84, 2.39, and $2.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ (Figure 2c). At the gene variant level, considering the p.(Gly1961Glu) ABCA4 variant showed a higher ring area than the other common ABCA4 variants (Supplementary Figure 4). Vessel density was found to be highest in EFEMP1, BEST1, TIMP3, RS1, and PRPH2 (10.6\%, $10.3 \%, 9.8 \%, 9.7 \%, 8.9 \%$ ) and was lower in Retinitis Pigmentosa (RP) and Leber Congenital Amaurosis associated genes (Figure 2d). A full breakdown of features across the 30 most common genes is given in Supplementary Table 3, for all genes in Supplementary Table 4 and for vessels in Supplementary Table 5.


Figure 2: Mean a) extent of hypo-AF, b) extent of hyper-AF, c) extent of ring, and, d) Vessel density (ratio between area of vessels and total image area) across the 30 most common genes (RPE65 included for reference). Error bars denote standard error. Values were first averaged by patient before averaging by gene to minimise correlations due to multiple contributions from individual patients. Genes are grouped into approximate phenotype groupings denoted by bar styling.

We analysed how features vary with distance from the fovea by looking at the prevalence of each feature in each 0.5 mm annulus moving away from the fovea. Figure 3 compares prevalence of hyper- and hypo-AF at different distances from the fovea in five different genes (see Supplementary Figure 7 for scale). The two genes associated largely with maculopathy or cone-rod dystrophy $(A B C A 4, P R P H 2)$ show increased hypo-AF at the fovea (Figure 3.a and Figure 2.a) but reducing proportions of the retina displaying hypo-AF moving away from the fovea. The two RP associated genes (USH2A, RPGR) show less hypo-AF across the whole retina compared with the cone-rod genes, but with a bimodal profile, with the greatest relative proportion of hypo-AF at the fovea followed by $4-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the fovea, just within the vascular arcade. For $C H M$, unlike the other genes, there was the least hypo-AF at the fovea, but substantially increased hypo-AF away from the fovea. For hyper-AF there is an increased proportion of hyper-AF at the fovea in all genes except $A B C A 4$ that reduces further from the fovea (Figure 3b). In the two RP associated genes ( $U S H 2 A, R P G R$ ) there is an increase in hyper-AF at $1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the fovea.


Figure 3: Autofluorescence (AF) as a proportion of total altered AF area in the image compared with distance from fovea for patients with variants in ABCA4, RPGR, USH2A, RPGR, and CHM for a) hypo-AF and b) hyper-AF.

In Figure 4 the area of hyper-AF within 1.5 mm of the fovea is compared against patient age for five different IRD genes. Most genes showed an increase with age, with the exception of PRPH2, which remained fairly stationary, and BEST1, which demonstrated a sharp decrease with patient age - although there was a considerable variability across ages within all genes.


Figure 4: hyper-AF area within 1.5 mm of the fovea (corresponding to inner 3mm ETDRs ring) compared with patient age. Least-squares regression line in red. Significant increase in hyper-AF with age for $A B C A 4$ ( $\beta=691 \mu^{2} / \mathrm{yr}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), USH2A ( $\beta=4090 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) and $R P G R$ ( $\beta=2520 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}, \mathrm{p}<0.029$ ). Significant decrease for $B E S T 1$ ( $\beta=-6500 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$, $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). No significant changes of hyper-AF with age were found for PRPH2.

## Disease Progression

We applied AIRDetect longitudinally to monitor progression within individual patients across multiple visits. Figure 5 shows an example using AIRDetect to visualise the decrease in ring area in individual patients with RP associated with variants in four different genes, namely USH2A, PRPH2, RHO and EYS. Comparing these four RP genes in the entire MEH IRD cohort, average rate of decrease in total ring area was greater in patients with RP associated with EYS ( $-0.178 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year $)$, USH2A ( $-0.066 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year $)$, and RPGR ( $-0.046 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year $)$, when compared to RHO ( $-0.040 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year $)$.

We also applied AIRDetect to monitor progression in patients belonging to three subgroups of $A B C A 4$ (Figure 6). Patients were classified into three groups ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ) based on increasing severity of genetic variants as defined by Cornelis et al. ${ }^{20,21}$. Patients in group $A$ had two severe variants, while group $C$ had a mild variant in trans with any other variant. Patients with variants of known severity whose combination do not fit the other two groups were placed into group B. The average increase in hypo-AF area per year was compared between groups (Supplementary Figure 3). In keeping with previous studies ${ }^{22-26}$, the mean per-patient rate of increase in hypo-AF area was highest in the highest severity classification (group A), at $3.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year, followed by $1.59 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year for the intermediate severity group (B), and finally $0.87 \mathrm{~mm}^{2} /$ year in the lowest severity group (C) (Supplementary Table 6).


Figure 5: Automatic monitoring of lesion size for disease progression. Decreasing area of ring for four patients with disease-causing variants in: a) RPGR, b) USH2A, c) RHO, and d) EYS. In these genes, the macular ring is expected to shrink in diameter over time as the disease progresses.


Figure 6: Increasing area of hypo-AF for two patients of each of the three $A B C A 4$ severity groups: a) group A, b) group B and c) group C. Here we see the expected patterns of progression reported in Supplementary Table 6 with A being the fast progressors, followed by B and C.

## Discussion

The results of our cross-sectional analysis match known genotype-phenotype associations demonstrating the validity of our approach, as well yielding novel insights. For example in Figure 2.a, CHM and ABCA4 both exhibited higher levels of hypo-AF, consistent with the large areas of atrophy that spare the fovea in choroideremia, as well as the macular atrophy typically seen in STGD1 disease (ABCA4) ${ }^{7,27-29}$. Of interest however, $A B C C 6$ which is associated with pseudoxanthoma elasticum was identified to have second largest areas of hypo-AF. On further inspection, can be explained by the large angioid streaks characteristic of this condition which can appear as hypo-AF on FAF $^{30}$. For hyper-AF, BEST1 exhibited the largest areas of hyper-AF, which can be attributed to the vitelliform lesion(s) that are characteristically observed in autosomal dominant and recessive forms of the disease ${ }^{31-33}$ (Figure 2.b). For ring the presence of a macular ring typically corresponds to a demarcation between diseased and non-diseased retina, and is usually seen in RP and cone rod dystrophies, in keeping with our findings herein ${ }^{3}$ (Figure 2.c). The lower vessel density observed in RP and LCA genes was also in keeping with the vessel attenuation commonly associated with these genes ${ }^{34,35}$ (Figure 2.d). As well as gene-phenotype associations, we also found associations at the individual variant level confirming known association between the p.(Gly1961Glu) variant in ABCA4 and presence of a macular ring ${ }^{36-39}$ (Supplementary Figure 3). When considering feature prevalence from the fovea, we found, as expected, that genes usually associated with cone-rod degeneration showed a decrease in hypo-AF extent moving away from the fovea, but with an opposite trend for the RP genes and CHM (Figure 3). Hyper-AF was mainly concentrated at the fovea, but with a distinctive peak at $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the fovea which may be attributed to partial macular rings classified as hyper-AF by our model (Figure 3.b). PRPH2 also had a higher coverage of hyper-AF in the fovea when compared to ABCA4 which is consistent with the pattern/macular dystrophy and adult vitelliform phenotypes associated with PRPH2 ${ }^{40}$ (Figure 3.b).

In our longitudinal analysis we were able to replicate the findings of Fakin et al. 2016 in
Figure 7 and Table 4, where we found that growth of areas of hypo-AF was much more rapid in the group associated with more severe ABCA4 genetic variants ${ }^{22}$. Our estimates for rate of progression were higher than that previously reported, which may be due to the use of 55-degree as opposed to 30-degree imaging in our dataset and hence a larger area of hypo-AF ${ }^{25,41}$. Comparing hyper-AF across patient age in Figure 4, the hyper-AF within 1.5 mm of the fovea increased for $A B C A 4, U S H 2 A$ and $R P G R$, consistent with lesions developing with disease progression over time. However, there were some noteworthy exceptions for individual genes. In particular, BEST1 is associated with "yolk-like" regions of hyper-AF, typically within $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ of the perifovea, which change over time through pre-
vitelliform, vitelliform, pseudohypopyon, vitelliruptive stages and finally to the atrophic stage ${ }^{3,33}$. The highest hyper-AF signal would be associated with the vitelliform stage, progressively reducing in intensity to become a region of hypo-AF by the atrophic stage, which matches what we see as a decrease in foveal hyper-AF with age. No significant progression of hyper-AF with age was detected for PRPH2 which is likely due to the later onset of the condition in most patients (typically after 45 years of age) and hence the limited age range in our cohort, as well as the milder pattern of dystrophy ${ }^{42}$

We also identified increased rate of decrease in area of macular ring in $E Y S, U S H 2 A$ and $R P G R$ compared to RHO (Figure 6). Monitoring the rate in which the macular ring narrows down is common practice in generalised retinal dystrophies such as RP ${ }^{5}$. A more rapid encroachment of the macular ring in autosomal recessive (USH2A, EYS) and X-linked ( $R P G R$ ) genes compared to the autosomal dominant $R H O$, is consistent with the latter having a slower disease progression compared to the others ${ }^{43}$.

To date, deep learning AI models to analyse FAF images from IRD patients have been limited. There have been studies developing classification models of FAF images based on IRD phenotypes ${ }^{44-47}$. But as to segmentation approaches, areas of hypo-AF have been measured either manually or semi-automatically using RegionFinder on HEYEX2 software to study the progression rate of the area of atrophy in STGD1 disease ${ }^{48-51}$. These approaches compared to deep-learning approaches would be challenging to scale accurately to our real-world dataset as they require considerable parameter tuning compared to deep-learning based approaches such as AIRDetect. Previous deep-learning based segmentation approaches have mostly focused on STGD1 to segment for hypo-AF ${ }^{52}$ or flecks ${ }^{10}$. Hence our AIRDetect approach represents the first to be developed and applied to a wide range of IRDs covering 170 genes.

One limitation of our approach is that the gene associations described in our study are limited by the variation in phenotypes which can occur with different variants in the same gene or different modes of inheritance. For example, $C R X$ can be associated with a mild CORD but also quite severe LCA ${ }^{53-55}$. RPGR can be associated with RP, LCA, macular dystrophy and CORD ${ }^{56,57}$. We conducted a sub analysis in ABCA4 (Supplementary Figure 4) but have not yet conducted this analysis across all gene variants and modes of inheritance.

Another limitation is the large variance in imaging quality in our real-world dataset, which affects the reliability of some of the features in lower quality images. While automatic image quality assessment tools exist for colour fundus retinal imaging ${ }^{58}$, none have been
developed for FAF imaging. Assessing image quality can also be particularly challenging for IRDs as they are associated with a wide range of pathologies, many of which can affect perceived image quality, as well as make it more challenging for the operator to acquire good quality images. We plan to develop an IRD FAF image quality assessment model in future, which should help to improve the consistency of our segmented masks and reduce noise in our analysis.

We anticipate that AIRDetect can be used to validate further clinically relevant findings, as well as identifying new potential associations between different feature patterns and certain genes or variants. Our approach could also be applied to identifying structure-function association (Supplementary Figure 5) as well as cross-modality image registration tasks by using vessel-based segmentation to align images (Supplementary Figure 6). Besides IRDs, the diverse nature of IRD-associated pathologies might make AIRDetect useful to improve robustness for segmentation of FAF imaging for other non-IRD conditions or provide a good starting point for developing models for specific conditions where data is more scarce or to other imaging modalities such as ultra-widefield imaging, via transfer learning.

In conclusion, we have conducted, to our knowledge, the largest quantitative cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis of FAF features across a diverse range of IRDs in a real world dataset, enabled by our novel automatic segmentation AI model, AIRDetect.
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Supplementary Figure 1: Data flowchart with number of images, patients, eyes, and genes at each stage of AIRDetect model development.
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Supplementary Figure 2: Examples of images with no Disc segmentation from the model. These are either poor quality, have significant atrophy, or are improperly centred.


Supplementary Table 1: Overview of annotated dataset for the manually segmented features, considering each feature individually. Not all features were gradable within all images, with some images only annotated for some features. Images for vessel annotations were selected by clinicians and were all gradable. Incidence includes ungradable

| Feature | Graded | Double graded | Partially Gradable | UnGradable | Num Patients | Num Genes | Present | Incidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| disc | 736 | 207 | 74 | 32 | 573 | 63 | 716 | 97.3\% |
| hypo-AF | 736 | 204 | 75 | 32 | 573 | 63 | 482 | 65.5\% |
| hyper-AF | 730 | 191 | 77 | 32 | 570 | 63 | 106 | 14.5\% |
| ring | 729 | 195 | 76 | 32 | 571 | 63 | 212 | 29.1\% |
| vessels | 206 | 13 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 127 | 33 | 206 | 100\% |

Supplementary Table 2: Vessel metrics and their description.

| Vessel Metric | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| Fractal Dimension | Method that represents geometric complexity of the vascular branching <br> pattern observed in the retina. Essentially how close are the vessels to <br> being "space-filling". |
| Vessel Density | Ratio between area of vessels and total image area. |
| Average Width | Average width of vessels. <br> Distance TortuosityDistance tortuosity is a measure of the tortuosity of a path based on the ratio <br> of the actual path length to the straight-line distance between the start and <br> end points of the path ${ }^{59}$ |
| Squared Curvature | Squared curvature tortuosity is a more sophisticated measure of tortuosity <br> that takes into account the curvature along the path, providing a detailed <br> view of its winding nature ${ }^{59}$ |
| Tortuosity Density | Assesses vessel tortuosity by aggregating local contributions, examining the <br> degree to which each turn curve deviates from a smooth curve ${ }^{60}$ |

Supplementary Table 3: Feature statistics by gene for selected genes. Results are mean across all images. \%=Incidence, $A=A$ Average Area ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Num}$ Components, I = Intensity (pixel brightness), <3mm=Proportion of feature area within 3 mm of the fovea (corresponding to outer 6 mm ETRDS ring), D=vessel density, $F$ =fractal dimension. The table cells have been shaded with lower values in red, intermediate values in white and larger values in green.


Supplementary Table 4: Feature statistics for all genes. Phenotypes: pheno = most common phenotype presentation according to literature. $\mathrm{ACHM}=$ achromatopsia, $\mathrm{ALB}=$ albinism, $\mathrm{BEST}=$ best disease, $\mathrm{CD}=$ cone-dystrophy, $\mathrm{CHM}=$ choroidemia, $\mathrm{CR}=$ cone-rod, $\mathrm{CSNB}=$ congenital stationary night blindness, DR = diabetic retinopathy, FEVR = Familial exudative vitreoretinopathy, GA = Gyrate atrophy, LCA = Leber's congenital amaurosis, MAC = Microphthalmia, anophthalmia, coloboma, MD = macular dystrophy, OA = optic atrophy, PD = pattern dystrophy, PXE = pseudoxanthoma elasticum, $\mathrm{RP}=$ retinitis pigmentosa. pat = number of patients. img = number of FAF images. Feature metrics are averaged across all images per gene. Features: $\%=$ average incidence in percent, $\mathrm{A}=$ averge area in $\mathrm{mm}^{2}, \mathrm{C}=$ average number of clusters, I $=$ average pixel intensity in percentage, $\%<6 \mathrm{~mm}=$ average incidence within 6 mm area in percent, $\mathrm{FD}=$ vessel fractal dimensions, $\mathrm{D}=$ average vessel density, $\mathrm{W}=$ average vessel width, $\mathrm{DTM}=$ distance tortuosity mean, $\mathrm{SCTM}=$ squared curvature tortuosity mean, TDM = tortuosity density mean.

| gene | pheno | pat | img | disc |  |  |  | hypo-AF |  |  |  |  | hyper-AF |  |  |  |  | ring |  |  |  |  | vessels |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | A | C | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ <6 \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | \% | A | C | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ <6 \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | \% | A | C | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ <6 \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | \% | A | C | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ <6 \mathrm{~mm} \end{gathered}$ | FD | D | W | DTM | SCTM | TDM |
| ABCA4 | CR | 873 | 7926 | 2.19 | 1.01 | 9.25\% | 13.74\% | 80.29\% | 19.81 | 1.45 | 11.13\% | 61.34\% | 18.04\% | 0.05 | 0.33 | 66.40\% | 48.28\% | 33.46\% | 0.57 | 1.58 | 59.62\% | 81.76\% | 1.33 | 0.08 | 184.81 | 4.81 | 61.64 | 0.71 |
| ABCC6 | PXE | 17 | 268 | 1.53 | 1.03 | 16.85\% | 17.58\% | 69.03\% | 21.44 | 2.08 | 14.31\% | 32.63\% | 54.85\% | 0.23 | 1.20 | 62.52\% | 23.36\% | 8.21\% | 0.03 | 0.15 | 43.31\% | 38.00\% | 1.37 | 0.08 | 196.68 | 6.17 | 80.72 | 0.73 |
| ABHD12 | RP | 4 | 44 | 2.50 | 1.00 | 11.17\% | 3.83\% | 77.27\% | 15.90 | 2.48 | 11.45\% | 49.64\% | 27.27\% | 0.02 | 0.27 | 35.63\% | 66.67\% | 2.27\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 47.94\% | 100.00\% | 1.19 | 0.06 | 179.19 | 5.24 | 36.36 | 0.68 |
| ADAMTSL4 |  | 1 | 12 | 2.27 | 1.00 | 11.05\% | 0.00\% | 25.00\% | 0.02 | 0.33 | 9.49\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 16.67\% | 0.03 | 0.17 | 42.55\% | 100.00\% | 1.35 | 0.07 | 213.39 | 4.03 | 53.32 | 0.69 |
| ADGRV1 | RP | 12 | 102 | 2.54 | 1.00 | 17.39\% | 1.46\% | 63.73\% | 4.88 | 1.19 | 17.73\% | 46.66\% | 8.82\% | 0.08 | 0.12 | 64.25\% | 86.49\% | 86.27\% | 1.09 | 3.35 | 52.19\% | 99.03\% | 1.13 | 0.02 | 182.36 | 8.66 | 140.31 | 0.67 |
| AGBL5 | RP | 1 | 10 | 0.54 | 1.60 | 9.81\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 9.49 | 4.80 | 2.21\% | 5.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.31 | 0.04 | 206.99 | 8.55 | 91.48 | 0.72 |
| AHI1 | RP | 6 | 49 | 2.85 | 1.00 | 10.99\% | 5.88\% | 65.31\% | 12.24 | 0.86 | 12.82\% | 53.64\% | 10.20\% | 0.01 | 0.06 | 42.02\% | 60.00\% | 42.86\% | 0.50 | 0.96 | 54.65\% | 85.91\% | 1.14 | 0.03 | 180.38 | 5.46 | 58.98 | 0.70 |
| AIPL1 | CR | 2 | 25 | 2.48 | 1.00 | 9.60\% | 0.06\% | 8.00\% | 0.11 | 0.40 | 2.85\% | 2.66\% | 32.00\% | 0.03 | 0.24 | 47.47\% | 0.00\% | 80.00\% | 8.66 | 2.12 | 40.42\% | 41.64\% | 1.12 | 0.04 | 229.54 | 5.70 | 40.85 | 0.71 |
| ALMS1 | CR | 1 | 11 | 2.36 | 1.00 | 13.89\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.10 | 0.04 | 186.00 | 5.01 | 67.32 | 0.70 |
| AMACR | RP | 2 | 12 | 1.69 | 1.00 | 18.99\% | 0.00\% | 41.67\% | 0.10 | 0.42 | 13.05\% | 77.46\% | 66.67\% | 0.10 | 0.67 | 56.90\% | 3.57\% | 25.00\% | 0.09 | 0.33 | 43.51\% | 75.00\% | 1.15 | 0.04 | 191.50 | 7.62 | 110.12 | 0.65 |
| ARHGEF18 | RP | 3 | 39 | 2.34 | 1.00 | 15.63\% | 1.72\% | 46.15\% | 2.88 | 1.13 | 11.33\% | 6.43\% | 33.33\% | 0.12 | 0.69 | 41.63\% | 58.53\% | 56.41\% | 0.99 | 2.08 | 48.92\% | 48.09\% | 1.22 | 0.05 | 201.54 | 8.35 | 48.53 | 0.64 |
| ARL3 |  | 1 | 10 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 7.28\% | 0.00\% | 40.00\% | 0.00 | 0.20 | 7.68\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.45 | 0.12 | 198.62 | 4.48 | 27.12 | 0.74 |
| ARL6 | RP | 2 | 14 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 21.11\% | 22.91\% | 92.86\% | 35.47 | 1.43 | 16.59\% | 46.02\% | 50.00\% | 0.23 | 0.57 | 43.28\% | 85.71\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.89 | 0.00 | 123.00 | 25.43 | 1151.60 | 0.55 |
| ATF6 | ACHM | 2 | 15 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 17.23\% | 0.55\% | 53.33\% | 2.88 | 0.53 | 13.46\% | 81.15\% | 46.67\% | 0.03 | 0.53 | 55.29\% | 38.45\% | 13.33\% | 0.02 | 0.13 | 29.13\% | 100.00\% | 1.28 | 0.06 | 229.27 | 4.11 | 47.41 | 0.75 |
| ATXN7 | CR | 1 | 9 | 1.44 | 1.00 | 18.74\% | 0.00\% | 22.22\% | 0.14 | 0.78 | 0.50\% | 100.00\% | 55.56\% | 0.05 | 1.22 | 64.87\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.36 | 0.08 | 243.18 | 10.45 | 149.14 | 0.73 |
| BBS1 | RP | 31 | 286 | 2.59 | 1.02 | 10.41\% | 7.52\% | 67.48\% | 8.35 | 1.29 | 11.91\% | 56.94\% | 25.87\% | 0.15 | 0.38 | 47.26\% | 86.85\% | 32.52\% | 0.84 | 1.03 | 56.50\% | 72.71\% | 1.18 | 0.05 | 186.86 | 9.66 | 214.92 | 0.70 |
| BBS10 | RP | 4 | 35 | 2.47 | 1.09 | 12.38\% | 30.85\% | 91.43\% | 8.64 | 1.37 | 11.18\% | 41.65\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.96 | 0.01 | 172.29 | 13.56 | 349.29 | 0.68 |
| BBS12 | RP | 2 | 22 | 2.19 | 1.05 | 13.20\% | 16.11\% | 95.45\% | 22.14 | 2.45 | 7.56\% | 44.37\% | 27.27\% | 0.05 | 0.36 | 57.05\% | 57.80\% | 45.45\% | 0.34 | 0.91 | 42.80\% | 55.42\% | 1.22 | 0.04 | 193.66 | 8.94 | 93.01 | 0.74 |
| BBS2 | RP | 2 | 14 | 2.96 | 1.00 | 7.20\% | 19.31\% | 100.00\% | 4.91 | 1.50 | 14.15\% | 76.73\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 57.14\% | 1.85 | 1.43 | 63.87\% | 89.38\% | 1.29 | 0.04 | 134.42 | 6.12 | 201.98 | 0.74 |
| BBS5 | RP | 2 | 19 | 3.50 | 1.00 | 12.69\% | 3.59\% | 5.26\% | 0.00 | 0.05 | 23.42\% | 0.00\% | 31.58\% | 0.08 | 0.53 | 46.02\% | 82.37\% | 84.21\% | 0.93 | 3.95 | 47.36\% | 80.33\% | 1.38 | 0.08 | 206.60 | 11.55 | 199.06 | 0.76 |
| BEST1 | BEST | 133 | 1461 | 1.84 | 1.01 | 11.59\% | 4.14\% | 40.45\% | 2.58 | 0.90 | 11.50\% | 59.70\% | 57.49\% | 0.73 | 1.09 | 61.18\% | 59.81\% | 57.49\% | 1.28 | 1.83 | 56.31\% | 77.22\% | 1.38 | 0.10 | 203.18 | 4.46 | 49.43 | 0.71 |
| C1QTNF5 | RP | 10 | 73 | 1.85 | 1.00 | 13.85\% | 3.18\% | 78.08\% | 27.18 | 1.93 | 15.97\% | 23.12\% | 8.22\% | 0.01 | 0.07 | 59.98\% | 50.00\% | 1.37\% | 0.02 | 0.07 | 51.84\% | 100.00\% | 1.35 | 0.08 | 199.82 | 4.78 | 43.26 | 0.73 |
| C210RF2 | RP | 5 | 44 | 2.56 | 1.00 | 11.52\% | 0.37\% | 20.45\% | 0.76 | 0.25 | 32.97\% | 17.62\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 52.27\% | 1.00 | 1.23 | 56.98\% | 45.38\% | 1.28 | 0.07 | 191.13 | 4.31 | 52.74 | 0.73 |
| C2ORF71 | RP | 10 | 85 | 2.38 | 1.01 | 13.39\% | 6.76\% | 96.47\% | 19.08 | 1.75 | 12.65\% | 65.48\% | 7.06\% | 0.00 | 0.07 | 55.40\% | 83.33\% | 23.53\% | 0.63 | 0.86 | 62.86\% | 62.54\% | 1.05 | 0.03 | 177.08 | 13.59 | 252.66 | 0.63 |
| CABP4 | ACHM | 4 | 29 | 1.33 | 1.03 | 14.68\% | 3.45\% | 20.69\% | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.03\% | 33.33\% | 17.24\% | 0.00 | 0.21 | 53.22\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.11 | 0.03 | 224.24 | 2.22 | 20.98 | 0.71 |
| CACNA1F | ACHM | 30 | 265 | 2.04 | 1.01 | 14.76\% | 0.01\% | 5.66\% | 0.91 | 0.20 | 7.13\% | 42.39\% | 12.83\% | 0.01 | 0.15 | 59.85\% | 2.47\% | 3.77\% | 0.01 | 0.06 | 53.57\% | 79.82\% | 1.21 | 0.05 | 218.03 | 9.24 | 83.77 | 0.73 |
| CACNA2D4 | CR | 1 | 16 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 17.29\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.09 | 4.06 | 0.11\% | 80.36\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.49 | 0.10 | 210.24 | 3.97 | 30.23 | 0.69 |
| CDH23 | RP | 17 | 260 | 2.05 | 1.01 | 14.31\% | 6.45\% | 16.15\% | 0.71 | 0.47 | 10.44\% | 41.97\% | 19.62\% | 0.23 | 0.50 | 57.64\% | 34.17\% | 77.31\% | 2.46 | 2.73 | 47.34\% | 67.30\% | 1.05 | 0.02 | 198.91 | 7.97 | 177.84 | 0.68 |
| CDH3 | MD | 3 | 21 | 1.65 | 1.19 | 15.05\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 25.42 | 2.24 | 11.58\% | 48.30\% | 42.86\% | 0.82 | 1.43 | 45.35\% | 0.00\% | 28.57\% | 0.11 | 1.19 | 43.43\% | 0.00\% | 1.27 | 0.05 | 219.90 | 3.19 | 17.80 | 0.63 |


| CDHR1 | RP | 18 | 144 | 2.48 | 1.04 | 12.55\% | 5.10\% | 80.56\% | 7.39 | 1.71 | 11.82\% | 66.14\% | 22.92\% | 0.18 | 0.26 | 49.52\% | 75.30\% | 36.81\% | 1.11 | 1.25 | 53.78\% | 70.56\% | 1.22 | 0.04 | 180.64 | 7.80 | 77.75 | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CEP290 | ACH | 16 | 166 | 2.22 | 0.99 | 14.25\% | 3.10\% | 19.88\% | 0.90 | 0.69 | 6.43\% | 48.94\% | 13.25\% | 0.10 | 0.25 | 62.19\% | 13.64\% | 70.48\% | 1.40 | 2.10 | 54.86\% | 80.08\% | 1.16 | 0.04 | 186.46 | 10.90 | 281.31 | 0.71 |
| CEP78 | CR | 1 | 5 | 1.47 | 1.00 | 20.86\% | 12.22\% | 100.00\% | 37.38 | 3.80 | 22.08\% | 9.46\% | 100.00\% | 0.56 | 1.80 | 53.42\% | 18.37\% | 100.00\% | 3.94 | 2.00 | 51.21\% | 87.14\% | 1.01 | 0.01 | 213.43 | 3.91 | 40.37 | 0.77 |
| CERKL | RP | 22 | 249 | 2.63 | 1.00 | 12.26\% | 9.15\% | 82.33\% | 10.33 | 1.43 | 12.08\% | 51.71\% | 17.67\% | 0.02 | 0.21 | 46.03\% | 88.64\% | 29.72\% | 1.04 | 0.95 | 58.07\% | 65.30\% | 1.16 | 0.04 | 179.55 | 7.20 | 95.84 | 0.67 |
| CHM | CHM | 109 | 1731 | 1.45 | 1.06 | 20.61\% | 2.84\% | 82.61\% | 51.77 | 3.28 | 19.89\% | 20.95\% | 48.76\% | 0.26 | 0.83 | 54.55\% | 37.86\% | 5.55\% | 0.03 | 0.08 | 43.19\% | 32.21\% | 1.30 | 0.06 | 190.34 | 6.14 | 104.36 | 0.69 |
| CLCC1 | RP | 1 | 12 | 2.37 | 1.00 | 22.89\% | 0.00\% | 25.00\% | 0.01 | 0.33 | 12.78\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 33.33\% | 0.54 | 0.33 | 54.51\% | 100.00\% | 0.79 | 0.00 | 137.72 | 2.37 | 1.88 | 0.29 |
| CLN3 | RP | 10 | 147 | 2.23 | 1.03 | 16.06\% | 8.51\% | 34.01\% | 1.75 | 1.17 | 13.96\% | 37.43\% | 2.72\% | 0.00 | 0.06 | 57.99\% | 25.00\% | 47.62\% | 0.52 | 1.97 | 49.10\% | 92.66\% | 1.29 | 0.06 | 217.27 | 7.86 | 143.39 | 0.73 |
| CLRN1 | RP | 10 | 80 | 1.40 | 1.04 | 19.90\% | 4.06\% | 60.00\% | 9.38 | 1.71 | 15.71\% | 23.13\% | 23.75\% | 0.06 | 0.41 | 53.97\% | 63.16\% | 51.25\% | 0.97 | 1.44 | 52.49\% | 94.12\% | 1.02 | 0.01 | 143.88 | 15.66 | 221.72 | 0.67 |
| CNGA1 | RP | 8 | 54 | 2.39 | 1.00 | 18.34\% | 2.16\% | 16.67\% | 2.91 | 0.20 | 20.70\% | 75.96\% | 3.70\% | 0.22 | 0.15 | 59.32\% | 21.66\% | 22.22\% | 1.32 | 0.89 | 60.53\% | 65.29\% | 1.30 | 0.07 | 206.51 | 7.38 | 89.46 | 0.70 |
| CNGA3 | ACHM | 36 | 289 | 1.74 | 1.01 | 13.81\% | 14.49\% | 31.14\% | 1.48 | 0.96 | 7.06\% | 56.25\% | 7.96\% | 0.05 | 0.17 | 53.96\% | 31.76\% | 35.64\% | 0.32 | 0.75 | 50.03\% | 74.89\% | 1.24 | 0.05 | 209.19 | 5.17 | 70.94 | 0.70 |
| CNGB1 | RP | 28 | 198 | 1.59 | 1.03 | 13.07\% | 1.74\% | 79.80\% | 19.98 | 2.03 | 10.08\% | 25.60\% | 46.46\% | 0.57 | 0.95 | 54.04\% | 50.81\% | 70.71\% | 3.63 | 2.64 | 54.52\% | 64.45\% | 1.17 | 0.03 | 160.01 | 7.17 | 90.08 | 0.68 |
| CNGB3 | ACHM | 39 | 288 | 1.91 | 0.99 | 14.86\% | 12.72\% | 12.50\% | 0.16 | 0.38 | 6.73\% | 73.57\% | 10.42\% | 0.01 | 0.12 | 52.72\% | 63.89\% | 32.64\% | 0.20 | 0.63 | 54.64\% | 92.23\% | 1.24 | 0.06 | 205.53 | 6.42 | 67.09 | 0.70 |
| COL11A1 |  | 1 | 8 | 2.64 | 1.00 | 6.77\% | 2.55\% | 100.00\% | 0.73 | 2.75 | 6.21\% | 61.71\% | 37.50\% | 0.01 | 0.38 | 34.48\% | 33.33\% | 12.50\% | 0.04 | 0.38 | 40.40\% | 100.00\% | 1.13 | 0.04 | 178.64 | 3.60 | 29.13 | 0.58 |
| COL18A1 |  | 2 | 2 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 13.17\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 34.50 | 3.00 | 19.81\% | 14.65\% | 50.00\% | 0.25 | 0.50 | 55.09\% | 1.23\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.01 | 0.02 | 205.69 | 2.51 | 5.27 | 0.86 |
| COL2A1 |  | 1 | 8 | 1.71 | 1.38 | 19.62\% | 13.38\% | 100.00\% | 27.44 | 2.63 | 8.53\% | 6.64\% | 75.00\% | 0.56 | 0.88 | 63.15\% | 0.00\% | 25.00\% | 0.07 | 0.25 | 46.61\% | 27.85\% | 1.15 | 0.03 | 188.28 | 3.09 | 21.79 | 0.69 |
| COL4A1 |  | 1 | 7 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 16.31\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.50 | 0.14 | 188.66 | 3.98 | 37.88 | 0.70 |
| COL4A5 |  | 1 | 10 | 1.61 | 1.00 | 12.84\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 11.30 | 4.10 | 57.72\% | 80.63\% | 1.20 | 0.03 | 194.98 | 8.70 | 85.90 | 0.81 |
| CRB1 | LCA | 47 | 455 | 1.97 | 1.02 | 14.70\% | 3.63\% | 55.16\% | 8.30 | 1.22 | 15.60\% | 40.44\% | 43.96\% | 0.41 | 0.89 | 46.39\% | 54.00\% | 43.74\% | 1.73 | 1.35 | 48.91\% | 59.21\% | 1.11 | 0.04 | 178.82 | 7.20 | 83.21 | 0.69 |
| CRX | CR | 26 | 236 | 2.11 | 1.00 | 15.62\% | 5.73\% | 70.34\% | 5.51 | 1.39 | 15.62\% | 62.69\% | 16.95\% | 0.05 | 0.26 | 50.74\% | 29.92\% | 59.32\% | 2.23 | 4.22 | 52.94\% | 65.95\% | 1.33 | 0.07 | 192.40 | 9.58 | 119.49 | 0.72 |
| CTNNA1 | MD | 4 | 10 | 1.51 | 1.30 | 18.54\% | 0.00\% | 40.00\% | 1.50 | 2.20 | 1.60\% | 13.10\% | 60.00\% | 0.23 | 1.20 | 56.33\% | 99.44\% | 50.00\% | 0.04 | 0.80 | 56.60\% | 80.51\% | 1.42 | 0.09 | 192.93 | 4.01 | 26.94 | 0.71 |
| CWC27 | RP | 1 | 1 | 0.30 | 1.00 | 21.28\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.56 | 0.00 | 80.80 | 3.13 | 1.54 | 0.41 |
| CYP4V2 | RP | 17 | 106 | 1.18 | 1.06 | 22.53\% | 3.07\% | 86.79\% | 35.05 | 3.20 | 16.02\% | 33.68\% | 15.09\% | 0.02 | 0.20 | 49.14\% | 61.88\% | 3.77\% | 0.01 | 0.04 | 32.83\% | 50.00\% | 1.00 | 0.02 | 144.85 | 4.90 | 28.37 | 0.63 |
| DRAM2 | CR | 8 | 70 | 2.46 | 1.00 | 14.67\% | 9.78\% | 90.00\% | 5.97 | 1.50 | 17.68\% | 89.28\% | 2.86\% | 0.00 | 0.03 | 29.53\% | 100.00\% | 34.29\% | 0.29 | 0.96 | 59.39\% | 73.82\% | 1.37 | 0.08 | 190.91 | 5.03 | 65.16 | 0.73 |
| DYNC2H1 | RP | 1 | 3 | 3.05 | 1.00 | 33.60\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.30 | 0.33 | 35.71\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 66.67\% | 0.76 | 1.67 | 61.57\% | 100.00\% | 1.07 | 0.02 | 155.01 | 7.69 | 157.23 | 0.49 |
| EFEMP1 | Drusen | 30 | 249 | 1.77 | 1.00 | 15.28\% | 5.98\% | 78.71\% | 5.15 | 1.84 | 14.24\% | 82.36\% | 77.91\% | 0.24 | 1.55 | 61.84\% | 79.37\% | 24.10\% | 0.18 | 0.66 | 60.03\% | 82.55\% | 1.43 | 0.10 | 208.55 | 4.31 | 39.45 | 0.72 |
| EYS | RP | 70 | 628 | 2.36 | 1.02 | 15.16\% | 2.88\% | 65.92\% | 9.55 | 1.64 | 13.27\% | 27.28\% | 23.41\% | 0.23 | 0.40 | 57.64\% | 42.90\% | 72.45\% | 2.08 | 2.76 | 52.59\% | 76.78\% | 1.16 | 0.03 | 172.31 | 7.39 | 108.29 | 0.69 |
| FAM161A | RP | 8 | 62 | 2.12 | 1.05 | 14.58\% | 14.56\% | 66.13\% | 11.60 | 2.02 | 13.90\% | 40.33\% | 19.35\% | 0.27 | 0.35 | 46.78\% | 58.46\% | 83.87\% | 1.83 | 2.77 | 51.04\% | 90.53\% | 1.10 | 0.02 | 168.97 | 5.66 | 45.07 | 0.65 |
| FLVCR1 | RP | 4 | 27 | 1.01 | 0.93 | 16.45\% | 3.53\% | 48.15\% | 2.58 | 1.85 | 5.93\% | 41.36\% | 18.52\% | 0.15 | 0.37 | 75.14\% | 20.00\% | 74.07\% | 3.76 | 1.78 | 49.80\% | 78.55\% | 1.06 | 0.02 | 176.65 | 9.58 | 88.25 | 0.70 |
| FZD4 | FEVR | 2 | 9 | 2.78 | 1.11 | 9.17\% | 16.13\% | 66.67\% | 2.59 | 1.11 | 9.48\% | 58.83\% | 44.44\% | 0.12 | 0.56 | 78.35\% | 0.00\% | 33.33\% | 0.02 | 0.56 | 71.29\% | 0.00\% | 1.40 | 0.10 | 211.97 | 4.37 | 35.44 | 0.66 |
| GNAT2 | ACHM | 2 | 31 | 2.03 | 1.00 | 15.64\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 9.68\% | 0.01 | 0.10 | 52.77\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.35 | 0.08 | 230.33 | 4.50 | 55.07 | 0.73 |
| GPR143 | ALB | 6 | 28 | 2.31 | 1.00 | 13.46\% | 0.74\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.43 | 0.11 | 209.76 | 5.46 | 61.22 | 0.71 |
| GPR179 | CSNB | 2 | 12 | 2.71 | 1.00 | 13.40\% | 3.57\% | 50.00\% | 0.95 | 0.67 | 21.58\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 1.97 | 1.33 | 51.76\% | 100.00\% | 1.30 | 0.06 | 221.14 | 9.26 | 157.48 | 0.75 |
| GRK1 | CSNB | 1 | 4 | 3.41 | 1.00 | 22.44\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2.02 | 1.00 | 31.29\% | 97.92\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 1.88 | 2.25 | 54.96\% | 100.00\% | 1.28 | 0.04 | 185.11 | 8.14 | 150.90 | 0.76 |
| GRM6 | CSNB | 5 | 17 | 1.69 | 1.12 | 14.61\% | 0.00\% | 41.18\% | 0.42 | 0.82 | 8.63\% | 9.56\% | 23.53\% | 0.04 | 0.24 | 60.87\% | 0.00\% | 11.76\% | 0.02 | 0.18 | 73.19\% | 0.00\% | 1.19 | 0.06 | 162.84 | 3.47 | 23.22 | 0.66 |
| GUCA1A | CD | 11 | 79 | 2.25 | 1.01 | 16.55\% | 9.69\% | 41.77\% | 2.57 | 0.80 | 17.77\% | 58.47\% | 25.32\% | 0.02 | 0.24 | 57.05\% | 65.00\% | 69.62\% | 1.14 | 1.89 | 60.80\% | 93.77\% | 1.21 | 0.07 | 175.35 | 4.35 | 34.07 | 0.61 |
| GUCY2D | LCA | 28 | 256 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 14.65\% | 6.51\% | 48.05\% | 5.25 | 0.86 | 17.50\% | 71.23\% | 17.58\% | 0.22 | 0.30 | 61.24\% | 35.99\% | 60.94\% | 1.51 | 2.94 | 53.11\% | 74.98\% | 1.29 | 0.07 | 197.87 | 5.41 | 64.41 | 0.72 |
| HGSNAT | RP | 11 | 150 | 2.04 | 1.01 | 19.73\% | 7.15\% | 87.33\% | 25.58 | 2.53 | 19.35\% | 23.44\% | 47.33\% | 0.61 | 0.89 | 59.42\% | 60.81\% | 76.00\% | 3.46 | 2.91 | 50.43\% | 84.38\% | 1.16 | 0.04 | 173.35 | 6.01 | 52.57 | 0.68 |
| HPS6 | ALB | 1 | 2 | 1.98 | 1.00 | 10.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 0.01 | 0.50 | 60.38\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.66 | 1.50 | 67.26\% | 91.78\% | 1.43 | 0.12 | 224.18 | 5.33 | 53.82 | 0.70 |
| IFT140 |  | 8 | 74 | 2.45 | 1.01 | 13.92\% | 2.45\% | 72.97\% | 26.72 | 1.93 | 14.83\% | 41.25\% | 28.38\% | 0.04 | 0.34 | 51.37\% | 54.63\% | 27.03\% | 0.57 | 0.77 | 47.30\% | 63.40\% | 1.05 | 0.03 | 178.13 | 8.60 | 175.65 | 0.64 |
| IMPDH1 | RP | 6 | 102 | 1.03 | 1.15 | 20.24\% | 1.41\% | 16.67\% | 0.74 | 0.49 | 7.60\% | 6.21\% | 70.59\% | 0.69 | 1.00 | 78.22\% | 1.37\% | 74.51\% | 5.84 | 1.47 | 43.96\% | 42.58\% | 1.10 | 0.03 | 186.45 | 11.70 | 355.53 | 0.72 |
| IMPG1 | RP | 2 | 10 | 2.57 | 1.00 | 13.09\% | 10.11\% | 70.00\% | 0.63 | 0.60 | 10.29\% | 100.00\% | 30.00\% | 0.16 | 0.30 | 62.18\% | 66.67\% | 70.00\% | 0.25 | 1.60 | 61.95\% | 53.47\% | 1.41 | 0.10 | 206.75 | 9.02 | 147.19 | 0.72 |
| IMPG2 | RP | 12 | 101 | 2.61 | 1.02 | 14.77\% | 3.69\% | 58.42\% | 4.78 | 1.06 | 13.69\% | 30.79\% | 9.90\% | 0.05 | 0.21 | 57.06\% | 57.45\% | 27.72\% | 0.26 | 0.71 | 44.02\% | 68.09\% | 1.13 | 0.03 | 197.91 | 7.92 | 135.19 | 0.68 |


| INPP5E |  | 4 | 27 | 1.88 | 1.04 | 9.15\% | 3.72\% | 37.04\% | 0.95 | 1.85 | 1.51\% | 35.60\% | 7.41\% | 0.00 | 0.07 | 42.62\% | 100.00\% | 92.59\% | 3.00 | 4.00 | 58.85\% | 80.90\% | 1.38 | 0.07 | 197.45 | 4.24 | 56.23 | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IQCB1 |  | 8 | 48 | 2.33 | 1.00 | 10.80\% | 18.66\% | 18.75\% | 1.36 | 0.27 | 14.41\% | 34.34\% | 14.58\% | 0.10 | 0.19 | 41.52\% | 51.81\% | 85.42\% | 3.57 | 3.42 | 38.49\% | 71.76\% | 1.19 | 0.04 | 214.53 | 4.42 | 35.61 | 0.71 |
| JAG1 | ODT | 1 | 10 | 2.85 | 1.00 | 12.21\% | 52.78\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.41 | 0.09 | 195.27 | 6.02 | 179.10 | 0.77 |
| KCNJ13 | LCA | 1 | 1 | 0.04 | 1.00 | 6.74\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 24.14 | 1.00 | 7.38\% | 51.12\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.78 | 0.00 | 132.76 | 11.98 | 34.13 | 0.51 |
| KCNV2 | CD | 24 | 159 | 2.06 | 1.01 | 12.71\% | 5.01\% | 35.85\% | 1.77 | 0.75 | 13.62\% | 84.52\% | 9.43\% | 0.01 | 0.08 | 53.98\% | 67.72\% | 52.20\% | 0.92 | 2.87 | 51.85\% | 82.53\% | 1.27 | 0.07 | 199.15 | 6.01 | 79.68 | 0.73 |
| KIF11 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.55 | 1.00 | 16.73\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.83 | 0.00 | 158.06 | 1.13 | 1.25 | 0.67 |
| KIZ | RP | 1 | 2 | 2.77 | 1.00 | 8.86\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 2.97 | 9.50 | 46.16\% | 100.00\% | 1.41 | 0.10 | 108.94 | 8.33 | 73.84 | 0.77 |
| KLHL7 | RP | 8 | 75 | 2.40 | 0.99 | 9.63\% | 0.22\% | 70.67\% | 13.00 | 1.92 | 13.58\% | 30.31\% | 24.00\% | 0.29 | 0.32 | 55.77\% | 93.11\% | 84.00\% | 3.32 | 5.05 | 49.44\% | 89.12\% | 1.08 | 0.02 | 155.27 | 4.88 | 46.52 | 0.68 |
| LAMA1 |  | 3 | 64 | 1.82 | 1.03 | 15.16\% | 11.46\% | 73.44\% | 14.25 | 1.59 | 11.84\% | 3.88\% | 62.50\% | 0.68 | 1.02 | 51.90\% | 29.27\% | 68.75\% | 1.70 | 3.20 | 49.59\% | 34.35\% | 1.28 | 0.05 | 230.37 | 2.95 | 26.37 | 0.79 |
| LCA3 | LCA | 1 | 2 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 8.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 1.05 | 4.00 | 23.52\% | 90.24\% | 0.89 | 0.00 | 173.64 | 2.87 | 41.26 | 0.69 |
| LCA5 | LCA | 3 | 22 | 1.68 | 0.95 | 12.37\% | 1.64\% | 77.27\% | 21.91 | 0.95 | 12.25\% | 36.27\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.91 | 0.01 | 163.08 | 2.27 | 11.30 | 0.61 |
| LHON | OA | 3 | 9 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 16.85\% | 11.09\% | 22.22\% | 0.26 | 0.44 | 13.18\% | 0.00\% | 22.22\% | 0.01 | 0.22 | 30.78\% | 0.00\% | 22.22\% | 0.05 | 0.44 | 30.19\% | 0.00\% | 1.29 | 0.07 | 200.32 | 11.21 | 156.87 | 0.75 |
| LRAT |  | 1 | 6 | 1.27 | 1.00 | 47.65\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 83.33\% | 0.18 | 1.00 | 65.92\% | 38.33\% | 50.00\% | 0.10 | 0.50 | 67.11\% | 67.84\% | 0.93 | 0.01 | 198.61 | 64.14 | 3810.75 | 0.84 |
| MAK | RP | 1 | 6 | 1.97 | 1.00 | 22.73\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2.96 | 3.17 | 15.83\% | 19.65\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 0.48 | 2.17 | 47.06\% | 97.53\% | 1.12 | 0.01 | 163.64 | 8.17 | 284.51 | 0.70 |
| MERTK |  | 16 | 199 | 2.32 | 1.01 | 16.10\% | 2.09\% | 50.25\% | 4.65 | 0.78 | 13.72\% | 67.63\% | 40.70\% | 0.09 | 0.58 | 50.57\% | 74.20\% | 49.25\% | 0.26 | 1.11 | 52.78\% | 86.12\% | 1.12 | 0.03 | 203.52 | 7.47 | 143.86 | 0.70 |
| MFRP | RP | 5 | 95 | 1.52 | 1.05 | 9.39\% | 16.23\% | 63.16\% | 4.52 | 1.24 | 5.81\% | 12.76\% | 49.47\% | 0.14 | 0.84 | 66.66\% | 11.94\% | 10.53\% | 0.11 | 0.38 | 35.89\% | 90.00\% | 1.45 | 0.12 | 219.12 | 4.58 | 59.51 | 0.72 |
| MFSD8 |  | 12 | 132 | 2.50 | 1.02 | 16.29\% | 11.16\% | 90.91\% | 9.35 | 1.48 | 16.31\% | 77.20\% | 4.55\% | 0.00 | 0.06 | 53.64\% | 83.33\% | 29.55\% | 0.71 | 1.06 | 53.56\% | 79.47\% | 1.16 | 0.05 | 180.60 | 4.76 | 37.14 | 0.68 |
| MKKS | RP | 2 | 41 | 2.79 | 1.07 | 10.91\% | 23.12\% | 73.17\% | 0.82 | 1.10 | 13.35\% | 61.34\% | 58.54\% | 0.13 | 0.59 | 41.18\% | 57.57\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.99 | 0.01 | 199.05 | 17.37 | 849.58 | 0.71 |
| MT-ATP6 | RP | 2 | 30 | 1.87 | 1.00 | 8.18\% | 0.02\% | 100.00\% | 14.49 | 2.27 | 11.39\% | 38.65\% | 76.67\% | 0.64 | 1.57 | 38.90\% | 71.42\% | 50.00\% | 0.25 | 0.57 | 37.80\% | 83.24\% | 0.90 | 0.01 | 142.39 | 15.17 | 584.20 | 0.73 |
| MT-ND1 |  | 2 | 11 | 1.94 | 1.00 | 22.93\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.40 | 0.10 | 204.03 | 6.87 | 77.56 | 0.74 |
| MT-TL1 |  | 18 | 121 | 2.45 | 1.00 | 15.52\% | 9.29\% | 99.17\% | 27.19 | 1.83 | 17.96\% | 60.58\% | 8.26\% | 0.01 | 0.09 | 72.61\% | 53.87\% | 2.48\% | 0.03 | 0.05 | 57.33\% | 41.27\% | 1.38 | 0.08 | 200.53 | 4.72 | 56.76 | 0.73 |
| MYO7A | RP | 49 | 489 | 2.07 | 0.99 | 15.77\% | 2.33\% | 42.33\% | 2.37 | 0.95 | 18.00\% | 32.93\% | 28.02\% | 0.41 | 0.97 | 53.69\% | 21.82\% | 73.62\% | 3.24 | 3.26 | 47.00\% | 57.83\% | 1.09 | 0.03 | 182.09 | 11.00 | 296.77 | 0.70 |
| NDP | FEVR | 1 | 4 | 2.60 | 1.00 | 6.09\% | 25.00\% | 50.00\% | 10.17 | 2.50 | 6.10\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.05 | 0.50 | 68.53\% | 50.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.31 | 0.09 | 193.26 | 4.32 | 60.09 | 0.72 |
| NEK1 | RP | 1 | 2 | 2.26 | 1.00 | 9.98\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 0.01 | 0.50 | 50.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.44 | 0.11 | 213.59 | 2.76 | 17.96 | 0.72 |
| NHS | MAC | 1 | 5 | 2.52 | 1.00 | 13.94\% | 2.33\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.39 | 0.09 | 214.62 | 5.06 | 34.29 | 0.75 |
| NMNAT1 | LCA | 1 | 6 | 2.15 | 1.00 | 22.95\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 6.93 | 2.33 | 25.50\% | 11.76\% | 100.00\% | 2.91 | 2.67 | 70.54\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2.20 | 2.67 | 60.04\% | 11.90\% | 1.16 | 0.04 | 208.21 | 9.04 | 31.13 | 0.83 |
| NPHP4 |  | 1 | 9 | 2.20 | 1.00 | 19.19\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 55.56\% | 0.04 | 0.44 | 64.42\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 6.05 | 1.67 | 57.05\% | 60.07\% | 1.24 | 0.04 | 225.33 | 7.56 | 204.69 | 0.70 |
| NR2E3 |  | 27 | 384 | 1.94 | 1.02 | 10.88\% | 7.39\% | 31.51\% | 1.42 | 0.76 | 10.23\% | 12.89\% | 21.35\% | 0.37 | 0.50 | 56.46\% | 17.86\% | 39.58\% | 1.72 | 1.40 | 52.18\% | 24.68\% | 1.32 | 0.08 | 202.83 | 5.85 | 77.48 | 0.72 |
| NR2F1 | OA | 1 | 5 | 1.87 | 1.00 | 11.70\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.32 | 0.08 | 209.49 | 15.72 | 110.93 | 0.65 |
| NRL | RP | 6 | 26 | 2.01 | 1.04 | 16.78\% | 8.27\% | 69.23\% | 8.00 | 2.19 | 13.37\% | 41.91\% | 26.92\% | 0.12 | 0.31 | 56.64\% | 88.18\% | 69.23\% | 2.80 | 1.85 | 48.05\% | 90.56\% | 1.16 | 0.03 | 201.74 | 8.27 | 54.69 | 0.68 |
| NYX | CSNB | 7 | 46 | 2.39 | 1.00 | 13.23\% | 1.03\% | 4.35\% | 0.20 | 0.26 | 2.38\% | 57.84\% | 4.35\% | 0.00 | 0.04 | 65.70\% | 0.00\% | 13.04\% | 0.36 | 0.28 | 59.71\% | 40.38\% | 1.22 | 0.07 | 202.06 | 5.87 | 44.63 | 0.72 |
| OAT | GA | 8 | 143 | 1.93 | 1.14 | 11.96\% | 1.96\% | 74.83\% | 47.65 | 2.17 | 9.98\% | 12.27\% | 61.54\% | 0.22 | 1.01 | 51.11\% | 20.33\% | 18.88\% | 0.12 | 0.31 | 35.56\% | 12.35\% | 1.13 | 0.03 | 191.12 | 7.10 | 85.81 | 0.67 |
| OCA1 | ALB | 1 | 1 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 10.58\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.93 | 0.02 | 189.77 | 2.07 | 30.82 | 0.52 |
| OCA2 | ALB | 8 | 79 | 1.64 | 1.01 | 24.24\% | 1.33\% | 7.59\% | 0.99 | 0.16 | 27.76\% | 3.03\% | 3.80\% | 0.00 | 0.04 | 75.84\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.05 | 0.02 | 202.58 | 6.34 | 42.42 | 0.65 |
| OPA1 | OA | 26 | 127 | 1.89 | 1.00 | 17.48\% | 5.24\% | 1.57\% | 0.01 | 0.02 | 12.34\% | 0.00\% | 16.54\% | 0.01 | 0.30 | 57.81\% | 8.61\% | 0.79\% | 0.00 | 0.01 | 68.94\% | 0.00\% | 1.40 | 0.10 | 210.36 | 5.59 | 71.17 | 0.73 |
| OPN1LW |  | 1 | 2 | 2.17 | 1.00 | 10.64\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 0.25 | 1.00 | 55.91\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.46 | 0.12 | 181.40 | 3.60 | 39.21 | 0.74 |
| PAX2 |  | 1 | 10 | 2.06 | 1.00 | 30.18\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 1.97 | 0.10 | 24.27\% | 23.62\% | 10.00\% | 0.01 | 0.10 | 77.32\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.00 | 0.10 | 74.14\% | 0.00\% | 1.12 | 0.03 | 207.97 | 4.36 | 28.24 | 0.53 |
| PAX6 |  | 7 | 27 | 1.78 | 1.00 | 15.30\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 7.41\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 70.69\% |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.08 | 0.04 | 195.64 | 7.60 | 43.89 | 0.69 |
| PCDH15 | RP | 8 | 103 | 2.17 | 1.04 | 14.76\% | 0.00\% | 74.76\% | 9.68 | 2.16 | 10.91\% | 24.24\% | 33.01\% | 0.15 | 0.47 | 56.83\% | 62.04\% | 87.38\% | 4.50 | 3.33 | 51.23\% | 65.06\% | 1.12 | 0.02 | 162.39 | 6.92 | 98.70 | 0.67 |
| PDE6A | RP | 13 | 108 | 2.61 | 1.02 | 16.48\% | 0.02\% | 52.78\% | 12.80 | 1.38 | 17.06\% | 23.69\% | 35.19\% | 0.19 | 0.51 | 49.45\% | 67.39\% | 65.74\% | 2.14 | 3.56 | 49.36\% | 95.54\% | 1.09 | 0.03 | 197.01 | 10.30 | 197.25 | 0.71 |
| PDE6B | RP,CSNB | 24 | 272 | 2.62 | 1.01 | 14.75\% | 3.35\% | 52.21\% | 4.48 | 0.93 | 17.05\% | 49.30\% | 21.32\% | 0.08 | 0.28 | 55.09\% | 56.89\% | 86.03\% | 3.37 | 4.06 | 47.35\% | 86.55\% | 1.12 | 0.03 | 181.70 | 7.22 | 113.36 | 0.71 |
| PDE6C |  | 7 | 66 | 2.17 | 1.00 | 14.32\% | 6.53\% | 40.91\% | 1.21 | 0.52 | 11.44\% | 79.35\% | 4.55\% | 0.00 | 0.03 | 47.63\% | 100.00\% | 45.45\% | 1.25 | 2.65 | 45.12\% | 68.89\% | 1.14 | 0.03 | 209.81 | 10.06 | 141.35 | 0.66 |


| PDE6G | RP | 2 | 17 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 14.42\% | 0.00\% | 94.12\% | 24.60 | 2.06 | 8.28\% | 2.59\% | 5.88\% | 0.06 | 0.06 | 42.42\% | 100.00\% | 58.82\% | 0.89 | 0.88 | 52.89\% | 100.00\% | 0.93 | 0.01 | 146.18 | 8.57 | 97.44 | 0.63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEX1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.12 | 1.00 | 16.41\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.96 | 0.01 | 169.76 | 5.39 | 20.10 | 0.79 |
| PHYH | RP | 3 | 23 | 1.69 | 1.04 | 16.25\% | 7.65\% | 73.91\% | 15.09 | 2.00 | 16.61\% | 49.14\% | 17.39\% | 0.13 | 0.22 | 48.62\% | 70.92\% | 56.52\% | 2.32 | 1.57 | 52.79\% | 55.29\% | 1.02 | 0.02 | 211.91 | 19.76 | 587.68 | 0.70 |
| PMM2 |  | 1 | 8 | 3.17 | 1.00 | 9.85\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.51 | 0.50 | 16.16\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 50.00\% | 1.49 | 1.50 | 43.02\% | 100.00\% | 1.32 | 0.07 | 189.42 | 3.90 | 34.53 | 0.72 |
| PNPLA6 |  | 4 | 44 | 1.09 | 0.95 | 17.85\% | 37.45\% | 90.91\% | 76.83 | 2.05 | 16.65\% | 41.66\% | 11.36\% | 0.03 | 0.14 | 32.67\% | 60.43\% | 20.45\% | 1.52 | 3.11 | 45.99\% | 77.18\% | 1.11 | 0.03 | 212.07 | 12.77 | 169.49 | 0.70 |
| POC1B | CR | 4 | 39 | 2.01 | 1.00 | 15.65\% | 6.44\% | 12.82\% | 0.80 | 0.13 | 24.00\% | 99.92\% | 2.56\% | 0.00 | 0.03 | 83.77\% | 0.00\% | 7.69\% | 0.17 | 0.41 | 58.99\% | 71.49\% | 1.33 | 0.08 | 213.24 | 8.42 | 149.54 | 0.73 |
| PROM1 | CR | 52 | 461 | 2.31 | 1.01 | 12.44\% | 6.86\% | 80.69\% | 12.22 | 1.73 | 12.48\% | 72.05\% | 20.61\% | 0.03 | 0.25 | 52.15\% | 72.15\% | 34.49\% | 0.91 | 2.02 | 53.90\% | 82.54\% | 1.26 | 0.06 | 180.39 | 6.32 | 113.25 | 0.72 |
| PRPF3 | RP | 6 | 68 | 2.19 | 0.99 | 19.71\% | 4.63\% | 73.53\% | 3.76 | 1.07 | 13.84\% | 67.07\% | 45.59\% | 0.61 | 0.50 | 56.20\% | 86.15\% | 76.47\% | 3.17 | 2.24 | 46.35\% | 72.56\% | 1.03 | 0.02 | 181.57 | 9.51 | 132.07 | 0.72 |
| PRPF31 | RP | 57 | 592 | 2.20 | 1.03 | 15.53\% | 1.24\% | 48.14\% | 6.78 | 1.56 | 11.97\% | 41.34\% | 18.75\% | 0.12 | 0.34 | 45.89\% | 68.33\% | 64.02\% | 2.17 | 2.85 | 52.53\% | 86.92\% | 1.21 | 0.04 | 178.26 | 7.11 | 146.19 | 0.70 |
| PRPF6 | RP | 4 | 13 | 2.41 | 1.00 | 21.36\% | 13.61\% | 76.92\% | 7.04 | 1.15 | 19.73\% | 16.43\% | 30.77\% | 0.11 | 0.69 | 46.10\% | 81.05\% | 53.85\% | 4.38 | 0.54 | 44.78\% | 40.87\% | 1.20 | 0.04 | 189.15 | 6.06 | 67.83 | 0.72 |
| PRPF8 | RP | 18 | 172 | 2.33 | 1.02 | 14.57\% | 2.46\% | 29.65\% | 1.73 | 0.65 | 10.35\% | 26.14\% | 13.95\% | 0.02 | 0.15 | 62.66\% | 44.31\% | 86.05\% | 2.78 | 2.72 | 52.30\% | 88.57\% | 1.12 | 0.03 | 167.83 | 10.67 | 145.07 | 0.69 |
| PRPH2 | CR | 148 | 1218 | 2.05 | 1.01 | 12.34\% | 4.47\% | 68.72\% | 10.28 | 1.89 | 10.10\% | 62.00\% | 36.37\% | 0.07 | 0.58 | 59.10\% | 77.08\% | 26.27\% | 0.69 | 0.85 | 55.77\% | 69.43\% | 1.36 | 0.08 | 184.62 | 4.76 | 52.09 | 0.71 |
| PRSS56 | MAC | 1 | 2 | 1.98 | 1.00 | 22.54\% | 11.52\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.42 | 0.13 | 262.82 | 2.82 | 18.30 | 0.72 |
| PYGM | PD | 3 | 14 | 2.21 | 1.00 | 17.53\% | 0.00\% | 21.43\% | 3.02 | 0.21 | 10.63\% | 82.58\% | 71.43\% | 0.09 | 1.14 | 62.44\% | 81.32\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.42 | 0.11 | 145.64 | 3.71 | 29.34 | 0.74 |
| RAB28 | CR | 1 | 32 | 2.63 | 1.00 | 11.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 46.88\% | 0.13 | 0.47 | 72.50\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 1.32 | 1.41 | 69.75\% | 100.00\% | 1.32 | 0.06 | 218.28 | 4.60 | 118.18 | 0.69 |
| RAX2 |  | 3 | 40 | 2.58 | 1.00 | 20.76\% | 19.89\% | 90.00\% | 6.76 | 1.05 | 22.01\% | 52.94\% | 7.50\% | 0.02 | 0.10 | 62.30\% | 34.82\% | 32.50\% | 0.07 | 0.63 | 48.86\% | 77.67\% | 1.26 | 0.05 | 208.85 | 10.22 | 118.59 | 0.70 |
| RBP3 | RP | 2 | 17 | 2.06 | 1.00 | 15.14\% | 1.73\% | 70.59\% | 7.06 | 1.35 | 13.79\% | 34.18\% | 52.94\% | 0.67 | 1.53 | 45.94\% | 22.22\% | 11.76\% | 0.01 | 0.12 | 51.40\% | 50.00\% | 1.05 | 0.02 | 164.58 | 8.97 | 70.22 | 0.67 |
| RDH12 | LCA | 29 | 279 | 1.92 | 1.01 | 18.02\% | 11.77\% | 58.06\% | 22.35 | 1.10 | 19.00\% | 37.32\% | 33.69\% | 0.37 | 0.52 | 49.05\% | 22.06\% | 34.05\% | 1.51 | 2.52 | 57.88\% | 84.76\% | 0.99 | 0.03 | 179.48 | 3.48 | 20.59 | 0.72 |
| RDH5 |  | 9 | 76 | 1.21 | 1.04 | 27.31\% | 0.00\% | 22.37\% | 2.90 | 0.39 | 16.27\% | 17.58\% | 23.68\% | 0.14 | 0.38 | 44.14\% | 8.08\% | 19.74\% | 0.25 | 0.58 | 41.17\% | 40.00\% | 1.01 | 0.02 | 193.80 | 5.48 | 69.47 | 0.70 |
| REEP6 | RP | 3 | 43 | 2.45 | 1.02 | 18.13\% | 0.00\% | 44.19\% | 1.78 | 1.02 | 15.53\% | 15.83\% | 9.30\% | 0.01 | 0.12 | 61.28\% | 50.00\% | 90.70\% | 2.38 | 5.53 | 57.55\% | 97.92\% | 1.18 | 0.02 | 184.26 | 11.54 | 415.76 | 0.75 |
| RGR | RP | 1 | 10 | 1.95 | 1.00 | 31.35\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 111.10 | 3.50 | 33.96\% | 17.84\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.14 | 0.02 | 218.95 | 6.17 | 66.37 | 0.73 |
| RHO | RP | 107 | 968 | 2.17 | 1.03 | 15.53\% | 1.53\% | 61.88\% | 9.74 | 1.72 | 13.64\% | 34.76\% | 18.49\% | 0.11 | 0.24 | 52.95\% | 55.98\% | 70.56\% | 2.25 | 2.99 | 53.62\% | 82.80\% | 1.22 | 0.04 | 175.72 | 6.49 | 86.13 | 0.69 |
| RLBP1 |  | 6 | 42 | 1.62 | 1.00 | 19.39\% | 0.30\% | 69.05\% | 7.51 | 1.90 | 16.27\% | 27.24\% | 33.33\% | 0.04 | 0.38 | 45.37\% | 63.77\% | 9.52\% | 0.04 | 0.12 | 40.72\% | 100.00\% | 1.11 | 0.03 | 209.37 | 19.39 | 327.98 | 0.69 |
| RP1 | RP | 115 | 951 | 2.19 | 1.01 | 13.69\% | 5.55\% | 62.99\% | 9.32 | 1.76 | 13.07\% | 40.06\% | 19.14\% | 0.18 | 0.27 | 55.90\% | 66.70\% | 64.98\% | 2.03 | 2.98 | 54.56\% | 74.81\% | 1.23 | 0.05 | 174.37 | 6.93 | 139.44 | 0.70 |
| RP1L1 |  | 18 | 169 | 1.82 | 1.04 | 16.11\% | 7.92\% | 48.52\% | 3.52 | 1.76 | 4.43\% | 29.00\% | 8.28\% | 0.00 | 0.06 | 56.38\% | 44.95\% | 30.18\% | 0.79 | 1.15 | 54.11\% | 79.65\% | 1.30 | 0.08 | 200.64 | 4.74 | 46.77 | 0.66 |
| RP2 | RP | 28 | 332 | 2.48 | 1.05 | 9.87\% | 13.25\% | 47.59\% | 3.10 | 1.19 | 10.55\% | 48.28\% | 6.93\% | 0.02 | 0.08 | 54.74\% | 84.40\% | 12.95\% | 0.26 | 0.38 | 58.97\% | 67.79\% | 1.19 | 0.04 | 181.19 | 6.83 | 109.26 | 0.69 |
| RP9 | RP | 9 | 103 | 2.10 | 1.02 | 15.49\% | 1.94\% | 40.78\% | 3.50 | 1.51 | 11.09\% | 13.82\% | 7.77\% | 0.01 | 0.07 | 48.98\% | 50.03\% | 67.96\% | 3.34 | 1.70 | 54.39\% | 71.25\% | 1.24 | 0.05 | 171.05 | 6.24 | 121.52 | 0.69 |
| RPE65 | LCA | 18 | 82 | 1.15 | 1.06 | 19.28\% | 7.57\% | 52.44\% | 40.13 | 2.65 | 15.03\% | 29.14\% | 37.80\% | 0.24 | 0.65 | 56.35\% | 61.75\% | 4.88\% | 0.19 | 0.05 | 43.10\% | 97.60\% | 0.97 | 0.02 | 164.35 | 6.64 | 110.77 | 0.65 |
| RPGR | RP | 161 | 1429 | 2.31 | 1.01 | 13.72\% | 3.67\% | 54.23\% | 7.78 | 1.27 | 14.36\% | 36.54\% | 22.60\% | 0.13 | 0.31 | 54.42\% | 58.64\% | 61.30\% | 2.13 | 2.09 | 55.94\% | 81.99\% | 1.19 | 0.04 | 182.93 | 8.03 | 126.10 | 0.70 |
| RPGRIP1 |  | 7 | 93 | 2.73 | 1.00 | 13.28\% | 0.00\% | 4.30\% | 0.00 | 0.04 | 7.57\% | 25.00\% | 15.05\% | 0.02 | 0.15 | 51.47\% | 0.00\% | 70.97\% | 1.08 | 3.42 | 43.87\% | 85.01\% | 1.16 | 0.03 | 206.41 | 9.28 | 284.07 | 0.71 |
| RS1 | RS | 100 | 1186 | 1.93 | 1.00 | 11.86\% | 3.50\% | 26.81\% | 2.41 | 0.58 | 10.68\% | 36.67\% | 24.79\% | 0.10 | 0.37 | 44.28\% | 73.17\% | 16.95\% | 0.35 | 0.59 | 53.00\% | 66.36\% | 1.34 | 0.09 | 207.50 | 5.45 | 62.27 | 0.72 |
| SAG |  | 4 | 47 | 2.99 | 1.04 | 13.28\% | 0.00\% | 31.91\% | 1.19 | 0.53 | 20.98\% | 63.99\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 14.89\% | 0.42 | 0.47 | 54.36\% | 89.84\% | 1.20 | 0.04 | 228.97 | 9.02 | 87.09 | 0.69 |
| SDCCAG8 |  | 1 | 11 | 3.19 | 1.00 | 9.09\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 1.43 | 3.91 | 51.65\% | 100.00\% | 1.40 | 0.08 | 182.97 | 7.54 | 83.15 | 0.76 |
| SGSH | RP | 1 | 2 | 3.23 | 1.00 | 6.49\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 2.46 | 2.50 | 6.39\% | 0.15\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 1.38 | 3.00 | 40.38\% | 100.00\% | 1.32 | 0.05 | 188.58 | 6.61 | 32.76 | 0.73 |
| SLC24A1 | CSNB | 1 | 4 | 2.67 | 1.00 | 13.92\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 75.00\% | 0.92 | 3.75 | 67.49\% | 0.00\% | 75.00\% | 1.77 | 1.75 | 50.43\% | 0.00\% | 1.46 | 0.11 | 179.34 | 4.31 | 51.46 | 0.73 |
| SLC24A5 | ALB | 1 | 5 | 1.75 | 1.00 | 13.56\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.36 | 0.10 | 242.22 | 10.66 | 162.99 | 0.76 |
| SLC25A46 | OA | 1 | 4 | 2.15 | 1.00 | 17.71\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.44 | 0.11 | 195.15 | 6.97 | 144.31 | 0.71 |
| SNRNP200 | RP | 12 | 122 | 2.26 | 1.00 | 19.33\% | 0.20\% | 73.77\% | 18.05 | 2.05 | 15.78\% | 29.08\% | 30.33\% | 0.21 | 0.52 | 51.82\% | 69.42\% | 74.59\% | 2.13 | 3.57 | 49.38\% | 96.55\% | 1.13 | 0.03 | 166.44 | 6.64 | 88.10 | 0.69 |
| SPATA7 |  | 2 | 20 | 2.54 | 1.15 | 13.78\% | 4.94\% | 5.00\% | 0.13 | 0.10 | 28.36\% | 0.00\% | 35.00\% | 0.05 | 0.35 | 62.23\% | 13.51\% | 90.00\% | 1.83 | 3.60 | 40.23\% | 90.97\% | 1.14 | 0.03 | 197.80 | 8.37 | 98.86 | 0.70 |
| SSBP1 | OA | 3 | 35 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 16.74\% | 18.76\% | 77.14\% | 0.62 | 2.49 | 8.56\% | 49.16\% | 20.00\% | 0.01 | 0.26 | 76.85\% | 0.00\% | 40.00\% | 1.41 | 1.86 | 54.33\% | 69.36\% | 1.20 | 0.04 | 165.92 | 5.26 | 92.93 | 0.73 |
| TIMP3 | PD | 36 | 322 | 1.71 | 1.01 | 17.51\% | 9.49\% | 62.11\% | 16.35 | 1.84 | 15.26\% | 46.54\% | 30.75\% | 0.14 | 0.51 | 58.46\% | 32.56\% | 27.02\% | 0.47 | 1.00 | 55.24\% | 35.32\% | 1.39 | 0.09 | 198.27 | 4.99 | 71.86 | 0.71 |
| TOPORS | RP | 6 | 83 | 2.16 | 0.99 | 13.72\% | 0.00\% | 75.90\% | 2.58 | 1.88 | 17.39\% | 80.33\% | 4.82\% | 0.01 | 0.05 | 70.07\% | 25.00\% | 93.98\% | 3.05 | 4.57 | 56.99\% | 81.22\% | 1.29 | 0.06 | 187.25 | 6.93 | 80.81 | 0.71 |


| TRNT1 | RP | 1 | 10 | 2.62 | 1.00 | 15.62\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.96\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 100.00\% | 5.69 | 3.60 | 70.63\% | 94.80\% | 1.15 | 0.02 | 184.94 | 10.53 | 269.64 | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRPM1 | CSNB | 6 | 27 | 2.65 | 1.00 | 14.22\% | 0.67\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.24 | 0.06 | 207.28 | 7.28 | 63.60 | 0.66 |
| TSPAN12 | FEVR | 1 | 1 | 2.16 | 1.00 | 9.87\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.47 | 0.13 | 205.51 | 4.79 | 64.06 | 0.71 |
| TTLL5 | CD | 8 | 56 | 2.34 | 1.00 | 14.86\% | 1.59\% | 85.71\% | 3.36 | 1.68 | 17.98\% | 95.01\% | 30.36\% | 0.03 | 0.27 | 37.89\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 3.07 | 5.32 | 50.65\% | 88.34\% | 1.32 | 0.06 | 213.57 | 8.00 | 121.73 | 0.76 |
| TULP1 |  | 16 | 165 | 2.57 | 1.05 | 13.77\% | 2.78\% | 26.06\% | 0.53 | 0.41 | 15.25\% | 63.18\% | 21.82\% | 0.07 | 0.40 | 52.38\% | 27.71\% | 78.18\% | 2.56 | 2.96 | 47.46\% | 75.10\% | 1.15 | 0.04 | 199.61 | 12.24 | 251.64 | 0.70 |
| TYR | ALB | 12 | 91 | 1.63 | 1.00 | 12.26\% | 12.36\% | 3.30\% | 0.01 | 0.14 | 17.37\% | 16.77\% | 9.89\% | 0.01 | 0.20 | 63.87\% | 26.92\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.37 | 0.09 | 217.99 | 5.12 | 69.35 | 0.72 |
| TYRP1 | ALB | 1 | 4 | 1.87 | 1.00 | 12.90\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.46 | 0.13 | 208.11 | 3.89 | 32.71 | 0.73 |
| USH1C | RP | 14 | 99 | 2.05 | 1.01 | 19.20\% | 2.54\% | 66.67\% | 7.01 | 1.63 | 11.29\% | 34.47\% | 29.29\% | 0.60 | 0.56 | 52.39\% | 41.64\% | 46.46\% | 1.83 | 1.59 | 46.45\% | 62.73\% | 1.01 | 0.02 | 167.35 | 14.95 | 516.55 | 0.67 |
| USH1G | RP | 2 | 12 | 1.89 | 1.00 | 13.40\% | 11.93\% | 33.33\% | 0.20 | 0.42 | 9.90\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% | 0.33 | 1.08 | 56.63\% | 0.00\% | 58.33\% | 2.89 | 5.00 | 58.58\% | 1.79\% | 1.23 | 0.04 | 234.70 | 8.28 | 94.58 | 0.73 |
| USH2A | RP | 306 | 2858 | 2.17 | 1.02 | 15.63\% | 2.32\% | 61.30\% | 8.47 | 1.76 | 12.99\% | 36.45\% | 19.84\% | 0.15 | 0.31 | 53.46\% | 66.47\% | 72.08\% | 1.98 | 3.20 | 53.47\% | 87.51\% | 1.17 | 0.03 | 170.22 | 7.26 | 101.60 | 0.69 |
| USH2C | RP | 5 | 59 | 1.69 | 0.98 | 16.12\% | 3.42\% | 88.14\% | 10.99 | 2.12 | 11.67\% | 20.83\% | 32.20\% | 0.24 | 0.47 | 58.05\% | 90.79\% | 79.66\% | 2.09 | 2.98 | 52.11\% | 88.24\% | 1.07 | 0.02 | 156.45 | 6.12 | 82.23 | 0.70 |
| VHL | VHL | 1 | 2 | 2.78 | 1.00 | 20.14\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.40 | 0.10 | 223.00 | 6.09 | 43.62 | 0.71 |
| VPS13B | RP | 3 | 37 | 2.10 | 1.00 | 25.51\% | 0.00\% | 48.65\% | 1.62 | 0.57 | 19.57\% | 85.24\% | 18.92\% | 0.04 | 0.22 | 43.57\% | 4.28\% | 70.27\% | 1.74 | 1.30 | 49.23\% | 94.07\% | 0.85 | 0.01 | 172.54 | 9.77 | 192.76 | 0.76 |
| WDR19 | RP | 2 | 27 | 2.57 | 1.00 | 12.46\% | 0.00\% | 22.22\% | 2.93 | 0.41 | 14.56\% | 92.55\% | 11.11\% | 0.00 | 0.11 | 30.38\% | 92.59\% | 96.30\% | 1.55 | 2.37 | 57.59\% | 20.26\% | 1.37 | 0.07 | 162.48 | 3.79 | 22.94 | 0.75 |
| WFS1 | DR | 4 | 21 | 1.87 | 1.00 | 24.03\% | 1.16\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 19.05\% | 0.01 | 1.10 | 62.50\% | 21.98\% | 0.00\% | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  | 1.40 | 0.10 | 208.53 | 4.40 | 52.44 | 0.74 |

Supplementary Table 5: All vessel metrics across genes. Definitions of metrics are given in Supplementary Table 5. The table cells have been shaded with lower values in red, intermediate values in white and larger values in green.

| gene | Fractal Dimension | Vessel Density | Average Width | Distance Tortuosity Mean | Squared <br> Curvature <br> Tortuosity Mean | Tortuosity Density Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABCA4 | 1.33 | 7.65\% | 184.81 | 4.81 | 61.6 | 0.71 |
| ABCC6 | 1.37 | 8.07\% | 196.68 | 6.17 | 80.7 | 0.73 |
| BBS1 | 1.18 | 4.56\% | 186.86 | 9.66 | 214.9 | 0.7 |
| BEST1 | 1.38 | 9.62\% | 203.18 | 4.46 | 49.4 | 0.71 |
| CACNA1F | 1.21 | 5.35\% | 218.03 | 9.24 | 83.8 | 0.73 |
| CDH23 | 1.05 | 2.46\% | 198.91 | 7.97 | 177.8 | 0.68 |
| CERKL | 1.16 | 3.51\% | 179.55 | 7.2 | 95.8 | 0.67 |
| CHM | 1.3 | 6.20\% | 190.34 | 6.14 | 104.4 | 0.69 |
| CNGA3 | 1.24 | 5.36\% | 209.19 | 5.17 | 70.9 | 0.7 |
| CNGB3 | 1.24 | 5.92\% | 205.53 | 6.42 | 67.1 | 0.7 |
| CRB1 | 1.11 | 4.27\% | 178.82 | 7.2 | 83.2 | 0.69 |
| CRX | 1.33 | 7.30\% | 192.4 | 9.58 | 119.5 | 0.72 |
| EFEMP1 | 1.43 | 10.06\% | 208.55 | 4.31 | 39.4 | 0.72 |
| EYS | 1.16 | 3.07\% | 172.31 | 7.39 | 108.3 | 0.69 |
| GUCY2D | 1.29 | 6.91\% | 197.87 | 5.41 | 64.4 | 0.72 |
| MYO7A | 1.09 | 2.70\% | 182.09 | 11 | 296.8 | 0.7 |
| NR2E3 | 1.32 | 8.33\% | 202.83 | 5.85 | 77.5 | 0.72 |
| PDE6B | 1.12 | 3.00\% | 181.7 | 7.22 | 113.4 | 0.71 |
| PROM1 | 1.26 | 6.10\% | 179.6 | 6.5 | 116.9 | 0.72 |
| PRPF31 | 1.21 | 4.10\% | 178.26 | 7.11 | 146.2 | 0.7 |
| PRPH2 | 1.36 | 8.00\% | 184.62 | 4.76 | 52.1 | 0.71 |
| RDH12 | 0.99 | 2.93\% | 179.48 | 3.48 | 20.6 | 0.72 |
| RHO | 1.22 | 4.47\% | 175.72 | 6.49 | 86.1 | 0.69 |
| RP1 | 1.23 | 4.59\% | 174.37 | 6.93 | 139.4 | 0.7 |
| RP2 | 1.19 | 3.65\% | 181.19 | 6.83 | 109.3 | 0.69 |
| RPE65 | 0.97 | 2.04\% | 164.35 | 6.64 | 110.8 | 0.65 |
| RPGR | 1.19 | 4.06\% | 182.93 | 8.03 | 126.1 | 0.7 |
| RS1 | 1.34 | 8.69\% | 207.5 | 5.45 | 62.3 | 0.72 |
| TIMP3 | 1.39 | 9.15\% | 198.27 | 4.99 | 71.9 | 0.71 |
| USH2A | 1.17 | 3.42\% | 170.22 | 7.26 | 101.6 | 0.69 |
| All | 1.25 | 5.75\% | 186.52 | 6.45 | 98 | 0.7 |

Supplementary Table 6: Average increase in hypo-AF area stratified by ABCA4 variant severity. ABCA4 patients are grouped based on the severity of their genetic variants as proposed by Cornelis et al. 2022 into groups A, B and C ${ }^{20}$.

| ABCA4 severity <br> classification | Number of <br> Patients | Variant combination | Average increase in hypo- <br> AF area per year (mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 69 | Severe/Severe | 3.11 |
| B | 75 | Intermediate/Intermediate or <br> Severe/Intermediate | 1.59 |
| C | 184 | Mild $/{ }^{*}$ | 0.87 |



Supplementary Figure 3: Rate of progression of hypo-AF in $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ per year for patients in the three severity classification groups of $A B C A 4$. Note that Group A has a higher mean rate of progression than groups B and C , as it corresponds to the group with the highest severity. Error bars denote standard error.


Supplementary Figure 4: Comparison of the mean per-patient extent of macular ring present for patients with different variants (i.e. patients with at least one copy of the given variant) in $A B C A 4$. Axes are truncated to exclude 99th percentile outliers. Most variants of $A B C A 4$ are not associated with a macular ring of raised AF, apart from p.(Gly 1961 Glu ) which we see reflected in the different distributions of ring area in our data.


Supplementary Figure 5: hypo-AF area within 1.5 mm of the fovea compared to LogMAR best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) where higher values corresponds to poorer acuity. Axes rescaled to 90th pct of data for legibility. Each circle represents a single patient with mean value across images. Least-squares regression line in red ( $\beta=0.083, p<0.001$ ). Mean values for select genes are indicated by red crosses. Comparing hypo-AF
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area within 1.5 mm of the fovea and LogMAR best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) showed a positive statistical association ( $\beta=0.083, p<0.001$ ). However, some genes demonstrated a different relationship from the main trend. For example, in ABCA4 a worse BCVA was observed than might be expected from hypo-AF coverage, likely because ABCA4-associated retinopathy usually initially affects the fovea/central macula. By contrast, CHM typically exhibits a spared foveal island despite having significant areas of atrophy, thus accounting for the relatively preserved BCVA.


Supplementary Figure 6: Example showing how vessel tree segmentation improves cross-modality image registration. First row shows the individual and overlaid images, and second rows shows corresponding segmented vessel masks. For the overlaid images, the IR image is rendered in red, while the FAF image is rendered in green, enabling overlap to be assessed by looking at the correspondence between the two-colour channels. Vessel trees were extracted using AIRDetect for both the IR and the FAF image. Results of automatic registration directly on the raw images (scans column) and registration on the vessel trees (vessels column) are shown. In both cases this registration was performed using the SimpleElastix package. As shown by the final column, registering using vessel trees results in better overlap than registering using images alone.
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Supplementary Figure 7: 55-degree FAF image with $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 3 mm radial distances shown (corresponding to $1 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 6 mm diameter ETDRS regions), and scale bar with 1 mm gradations.
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