1	Mixed Methods Family Centered Study of Pain Experience in Non-Hispanic White and
2	Black Children
3	Julia Kumar ^{1,2} , BS [*] Dylan Atkinson ^{1,3*} , BS, Adaora Chima ¹ , MBBS, MPH, Laura McLaughlin ¹ ,
4	CRC III, Rajvi Parikh ¹ , MHA, CCRC III, Peter Mende-Siedlecki ⁴ , PhD, Monica Mitchell ⁵ , PhD.,
5	MBA, Vidya Chidambaran ¹ , MD, MS
6	·
7	¹ Department of Anesthesiology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH
8	² University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH
9	³ Ohio University, Athens, OH
10	⁴ University of Delaware, Newark, DE
11	⁵ Division of Behavioral Medicine and Clinical Psychology, Cincinnati Childrens Hospital
12	Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH
13	*Co-First authors
14	
15	Corresponding author:
16	Vidya Chidambaran, MD, MS, FASA
17	Professor, Department of Anesthesia,
18	MLC2001, 3333 Burnet Ave,
19	Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH
20	Ph: 5136368021; Email: vidya.chidambaran@cchmc.org
21	The Diblob of Diff. Elimin <u>ridy and industries contine forg</u>
22	Short title: Mixed methods pain study in Black and White children
23	Shore the mined monous pain study in Diaon and mine emarch
24	Conflicts of interest: None
25	
26	Funding: Center for Clinical & Translational Science & Training Integrating Special
27	Populations Health Grant.
28	
29	Role of Funder/Sponsor (if any): The funder/sponsor facilitated community engagement but
30	did not directly participate in the work.
31	
32	Abbreviations: NHW: Non-Hispanic White; NHB: Non-Hispanic Black
33	
34	Article Summary
35	This mixed-methods family-centered study identifies common themes, systems-related barriers
36	and pain coping efficacy differences underlying pain experiences of Black and White children.
37	and pair coping entered and entered and entrying pair experiences of Drack and white enhance.
38	What's Known on This Subject: Inequities exist in post-surgical pain and pain management of
39	Black and White children.
40	
41	What This Study Adds: Family perspectives regarding pain experience of NHB and NHW
42	children highlight inter-group differences in stressors, barriers, pain coping and parent responses
43	to child's pain. Findings underscore the importance of family engagement and addressing
44	systemic barriers to improve pain equity.
45	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
46	

47 **Contributors Statement:**

- 48 Chidambaran conceptualized and designed the study, conducted focus groups, coordinated and
- 49 supervised data collection, analyses, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript for
- 50 important intellectual content.
- 51 Mitchell conceptualized and designed the study, critically reviewed and revised the manuscript
- 52 for important intellectual content.
- 53 Kumar and Atkinson conducted subject recruitment, collected data, drafted the initial
- 54 manuscript, critically reviewed and revised the manuscript, and designed the data collection
- instruments in REDCap, and analyzed the data.
- 56 McLaughlin, Parikh and Chima participated in conduct of focus groups and maintained
- regulatory aspects of the study, critically reviewed and revised the manuscript
- 58 Mende-Siedlecki helped design the study, critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.
- All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all
- 60 aspects of the work.
- 61

62 Word count: 3000

63

64 Data Sharing Statement:

Deidentified individual participant data (including data dictionaries) will be made available, in addition to study protocols, the statistical analysis plan, and the informed consent form. The data

- 67 will be made available upon publication to researchers who provide a methodologically sound
- proposal for use in achieving the goals of the approved proposal. Proposals should be submitted
- 69 to vidya.chidambaran@cchmc.org.
- 70
- 71

- 73
- 74
- 75 76
- 77
- 78
- 79
- 80
- 81
- 82
- 83
- 84 85
- 86
- 87
- 88
- 89
- 90
- 91

92 Abstract

- 93 Background and Objectives: Although racial disparities in pediatric pain have been described,
- 94 family-centered research is lacking. This mixed-methods study aimed to understand race-specific
- factors impacting acute pain experiences in Non-Hispanic White (NHW) and Black (NHB)
 children.
- 97 **Methods**: NHW and NHB children (aged 8-17) (n=19 each) with a recent acute pain experience,
- 98 and their caregivers were recruited. The following domains were assessed in children
- 99 (deprivation, ethnic identity, pain, psychosocial, pain coping, resilience) and caregivers
- 100 (education, income, Racial and Ethnic Microaggressions Scale (REMS), Adverse Childhood
- 101 Events (ACEs), Adult Response to Child's Symptoms). Questionnaire measures were compared
- 102 between groups using simple statistical tests. Fifteen dyads participated in focus groups.
- 103 Thematic codes underlying pain experiences were identified.
- 104 **Results**: NHB children had similar pain/psychosocial characteristics but differed in ethnic
- 105 identity (2.7 (0.5) vs. 2.2 (0.5); P=.002), deprivation index (0.4 (IQR 0.3-0.5) vs. 0.3 (IQR 0.2,
- 106 0.3), P=.007) and pain coping efficacy (8.6 (0.4) vs. 9.8 (0.5), P=.045) from NHW children.
- 107 NHB caregivers scored higher on REMS sub-scales, ACEs (0 (0,1) vs 0 (0,0); P=.02) and
- 108 Protection (1.9 (0.6) vs. 1.6 (0.5); P=.03) behaviors in response to child pain. NHB and NHW
- 109 participants endorsed satisfaction with pain experiences, opioid avoidance, and stoicism. Unlike
- 110 NHW participants, NHB reported barriers related to trust, discrimination, and access.
- 111 **Conclusions**: Racial differences in acute pain experiences suggest healthcare providers need to
- reinforce trust and consider underlying cultural and pain coping differences when treating pain in
- 113 NHB children. Findings emphasize that family-centered and systems-based approaches are
- 114 important for equity in pediatric pain.

115 Introduction

Despite advances in our understanding of pain and pain management, pain continues to be 116 prevalent, underrecognized, and frequently undertreated in pediatric populations.¹ Importantly, 117 118 pain does not impact everyone equally. Differences in risk and susceptibility to pain have been attributed to race and ethnicity. This has been shown to occur in various settings, both pediatric 119 and adult, whether it is related to chronic pain-related conditions,² pain management in the 120 emergency department,³ or opioid requirements after surgery.^{4, 5} Further, stereotyping and dogma 121 about risky health behaviors also impact racial disparities in treatment. 122 123 In 2003, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) report "Unequal Treatment," laid out a broad 124 theoretical framework to address the root causes of health care disparities within three 125 categories: the patient, the health process, and the health system.⁶ The American Academy of 126 127 Pediatrics, the National Institutes of Health and others have prioritized Diversity, Inclusion and Equity in Medicine and Research in recent years.^{7,8} However, research in areas such as pediatric 128 129 pain are scarce despite evidence that postsurgical pain and disabilities disproportionately affect

130 children from marginalized racial and ethnic populations.² Since childhood and adolescence are

sensitive periods during which daily life challenges continuously shape brain circuitry and

adaptive health behaviors, environmental exposure to higher stress, including adverse childhood

133 experiences and low socioeconomic status are expected to impact pain experiences several years

134 after the onset of events.⁹ Cultural identity and beliefs, parental attitudes, and pain coping styles

^{10, 11} may also influence the pain experiences of children and adolescents. Therefore, family-

136 centric approaches are critically needed¹² to enable targeted strategies to reduce bias and inform
137 future actions.¹³ The purpose of this novel mixed-methods study was to gain a more empathic

138	understanding of acute pain experiences in Non-Hispanic Black (NHB) and White (NHW)
139	cohorts, by engaging the family. Goals were to describe common and race-specific
140	characteristics and themes in pain-related domains (satisfaction, pain assessment and
141	management, stressors, barriers, caregiver responses, pain coping and resilience).
142	
143	
144	
145	
146	
147	
148	
149	
150	
151	
152	
153	
154	

155 Methods

This nested, mixed methods study was conducted in a tertiary pediatric institution, as part of a
larger study recruiting NHB, NHW, Asian and Hispanic families. The study was approved by
Cincinnati Children's Hospital institutional review board. Written informed consent was
obtained from caregivers (parents or legal guardians for minor subjects) and written assent from
children aged 11 and above.

161

162 **Participant Recruitment**

163 English-speaking children (8-17 years of age) who self-identified as Non-Hispanic Black or 164 White with a recent acute pain experience were recruited. Acute pain experience was defined and satisfied as follows; pain from injury, surgery, or other etiology that lasted <14 days, for which 165 166 they required pain management at a medical facility within the previous two years. Primary caregivers who directly cared for the child during this experience were also recruited. Potential 167 168 participants were identified from electronic medical records or via dissemination of information 169 through flyers and at community events. Those that responded to flyers/community outreach that did not meet recruitment criteria were excluded. Children with developmental delays, 170 chronic/cancer pain and currently hospitalized, were excluded. Participants (children and 171 172 caregivers) were not included if they indicated inability or unwillingness to provide feedback on the recent pain experience. Prior to consenting participants, study team members discussed 173 details of the study over the phone and provided the option of only answering questionnaires if 174 175 unable to participate in focus groups. The dyad was compensated with \$40 if they only answered questionnaires and \$100 if they participated in focus groups. 176

177

178 Questionnaire administration

179	Following informed consent/assent, participants were sent questionnaires to the caregivers'		
180	and/or child's email (as preferred by participants) through REDCap database. Both caregivers		
181	and children were responsible for independently completing their assigned questionnaires.		
182	Caregivers were instructed to notify the study team if their child had difficulty completing their		
183	questionnaires. Questionnaires did not need to be completed prior to participating in a focus		
184	group; however, completion of caregiver and child questionnaires was required before		
185	participants could be compensated. Demographic information, details of the pain episode		
186	(reason, nature of pain, treatment facility), and residential address were obtained. ¹⁴		
187	All the questionnaires and the corresponding domains assessed are described in Table 1. Child		
187 188	All the questionnaires and the corresponding domains assessed are described in Table 1. Child domains assessed were multigroup ethnic identity measure (MEIM), ¹⁴ pain, ¹⁵ functional		
188	domains assessed were multigroup ethnic identity measure (MEIM), ¹⁴ pain, ¹⁵ functional		
188 189	domains assessed were multigroup ethnic identity measure (MEIM), ¹⁴ pain, ¹⁵ functional disability, ¹⁶ quality of life, ¹⁷ psychosocial measures ¹⁸ (anxiety, depression, family relationships,		
188 189 190	domains assessed were multigroup ethnic identity measure (MEIM), ¹⁴ pain, ¹⁵ functional disability, ¹⁶ quality of life, ¹⁷ psychosocial measures ¹⁸ (anxiety, depression, family relationships, psychological stress), pain coping, ¹⁹ exposure to traumatic events and Adverse Childhood		
188 189 190 191	domains assessed were multigroup ethnic identity measure (MEIM), ¹⁴ pain, ¹⁵ functional disability, ¹⁶ quality of life, ¹⁷ psychosocial measures ¹⁸ (anxiety, depression, family relationships, psychological stress), pain coping, ¹⁹ exposure to traumatic events and Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs), ²⁰ mindfulness, ²¹ and resilience. ²² Caregivers were asked about their		

195

196 Focus Groups

Focus groups were conducted in accordance with COREQ criteria for qualitative research.²¹
Each group consisted of 4-6 race-homogenous, child-caregiver dyads. Virtual sessions were
hosted via Zoom meeting software, lasting up to 90 minutes. They were led by two trained

200	members of the project team using a standardized interview script to assess several domains of
201	interest (Supplementary Figure 1). After introductions, statement of rules for the FG, discussions
202	first engaged children (about 40 minutes) followed by caregivers (40 minutes). This sequence
203	was followed so the children could be relieved earlier. Caregivers were advised not to answer for
204	their children but were allowed to prompt or explain the question if need be.
205	
206	Data Analysis
207	We calculated summary statistics of variables and questionnaire scores and compared NHB and
208	NHW cohorts, using simple statistical tests. Focus groups were recorded and transcribed
209	orthographically, reproducing all spoken words and sounds, using NVivo software (version
210	1.6.1, QSR International Pty Ltd., NVivo, released March 2020). After each focus group,
211	facilitators documented and discussed initial general impressions. Transcripts were de-identified
212	and edited for brevity, removing words/clauses not essential for understanding the overall
213	meaning of the data. Subsequently, transcribed focus group data were reviewed and
214	independently coded. Codes were then compared, reconciled, and used to extract sub-themes
215	based on induction and prior knowledge utilizing the 6 steps described by Braun and Clarke ²⁸
216	(i.e. getting familiar with the data, creating initial codes, identifying themes, reviewing and
217	refining themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report). We used triangulation
218	methods for mixed-methods integration to leverage explanatory sequential design of quantitative
219	data collection. ²⁹ The original goal was to recruit 25-30 dyads, but recruitment was considered
220	sufficient when a new FG did not further enrich the themes. Prior research has suggested that
221	two thirds of themes can be identified from the first FG and that more than 80% of themes can be
222	generated after 2-3 FGs. ³⁰

223 Results

19 NHB and NHW dyads were recruited, of which two NHB dyads were recruited through 224 225 community flyers. Four dyads opted to only fill questionnaires and 15 participated in four focus groups in each cohort. All participants completed questionnaires except one NHW child. Several 226 227 attempts to secure completed questionnaires from this participant were unsuccessful. The data 228 from the corresponding caregiver's questionnaires is still included in data analysis. 229 Demographics and questionnaire measures are summarized in Table 2. The cohorts had similar age and sex distribution. NHB and NHW cohorts had similar pain etiology, location of pain 230 management (hospital admission in 61% of NHB and 71% of NHW), pain and psychosocial 231 232 measures. NHB children identified more strongly with their ethnicity than NHW children 233 (P=.002). NHB had lower pain coping efficacy (P=.045), although pain coping behavior scales 234 were similar. 235 NHW caregivers had a higher proportion of college graduates (P=.005) and annual 236 income>\$29,000 (P=.150) compared to NHB caregivers. NHB caregivers had significantly

higher scores for several subscales on the Racial and Ethnic Microaggressions Scale, namely,

Assumptions of Inferiority, Microinvalidations and Similarity; and higher ACE scores (P=.02).

NHB caregivers used Protection (P=.03) and Minimization (P=.07) behaviors to help children

```
cope with pain more than NHW caregivers.
```

Below we present key themes based on thematic analyses of focus groups (FG). Supporting
quotes are provided in Table 3. Quotes are referenced as BC or WC for NHB and NHW
caregiver quotes, and Bc and Wc for NHB and NHW children quotes respectively. The

- 244 frequency with which NHB and NHW cohorts endorsed positive and negative sentiments coded
- to trust, satisfaction, access and barriers, are presented in Figure 1.

Theme 1: Healthcare provider understanding and validation of child's pain.

- 247 Both NHB and NHW cohorts expressed satisfaction with how their child's pain was managed.
- However, about 27% of NHB caregivers (4/15) expressed negative sentiments consistent with
- the thematic code "pain not managed well,' compared to one NHW caregiver (Figure 1).
- Additionally, about 27% of NHB caregivers (4/15) expressed *lack of validation* of their pain
- from the healthcare providers, but none in the NHW (Figure 1; BC1a, Bc1a). That said, children
- in both cohorts endorsed receiving empathetic care (Table 3; Wc1a, Bc1b).

253 Theme 2: Trust in healthcare providers

254 NHW caregivers expressed positive sentiments of trust in their providers (WC2). Three NHB

caregivers explicitly expressed lack of trust or hesitancy about trusting their providers (BC2a).

256 Some NHB caregivers felt more trust in providers following a positive experience in their child's

pain management (BC2b). Importantly, one NHB caregiver reported a higher level of trust and

relief in being better understood when the healthcare provider was also Black (BC2c) Another

259 positive comment from a NHB caregiver suggested being part of shared decision-making

260 increased trust. (BC2d)

261 Theme 3: Utility of pain assessment tools and Consistency in pain assessment

The majority of NHW children remembered being asked to report their pain on a scale, four NHB children reported never having their pain assessed using a scale. Overall, both groups considered the numeric pain scale to be useful and effective, except a couple of instances in

Black children (Bc3a, Bc3b). Both NHB and NHW children (Wc3) and caregivers felt that the combination of numbers and faces/images was effective for children. Children from both cohorts felt being prompted by providers with adjectives, such as "achy," "dull," or "stabbing," was useful when asked to describe their pain. Figure 1 (lower panel) depicts the children's sentiments.

270 Theme 4: Sociocultural factors affect pain expression/coping.

271 Themes of stoicism were identified in both cohorts. Both NHB and NHW children cited

272 "toughing out the pain," which was further endorsed by NHB and NHW caregivers (BC4a and

273 WC4a). Both caregiver cohorts also mentioned social support and faith helped them cope with

the difficult experience.

275 Theme 5: Impression about non-pharmacological pain management modalities

276 NHB and NHW children described the benefits of being able to distract themselves from pain.

277 They mostly cited playing video games and spending time with family or friends. There were

subtle differences between cohorts in the use of physical methods such as ice, elevation, and

279 massage. Interestingly, children in both groups described "sleeping off their pain." (BC5a and b).

280 Theme 6: Opinions about analgesics and hesitancy towards narcotics

NHW caregivers were more accepting of non-opioid medication use, while NHB caregivers preferred to avoid even over-the-counter medications (WC6a-b and BC6a-c). However, when asked about opioid analgesia, caregivers from both groups demonstrated hesitancy (9/15 NHB and 8/15 NHW) with a strong preference for reserving opioids for last resort scenarios as they associated opioids with addiction (WC6c and BC6b-f). While some expressed these concerns

based on news articles they had read, several noted experiences with family members andneighbors.

- 288 Theme 7: Barriers to care and access.
- 289 Several NHB caregivers noted difficulty balancing their child's care with existing
- responsibilities, namely inflexible work schedules or caring for additional children (BC7a,
- BC7b). Conversely, several NHW caregivers expressed greater ease in handling their child's care
- due to flexible work schedules (WC7a, WC7b). Two of 15 NHB caregivers identified
- transportation as a challenge during their child's pain care management. Furthermore, several
- 294 caregivers, both NHB and NHW, commented that negotiating with health insurance was
- 295 particularly frustrating (WC7c).

296 Theme 8: Sentiments of racial discrimination

297 In both NHB and NHW cohorts, the question of whether racial discrimination influenced pain 298 experience was typically answered quickly with "no" or met with silence. Further prompting elicited considerable feedback from NHB caregivers, particularly to the question "Do vou feel 299 that people of different races and incomes are treated differently in these situations?" They 300 shared sentiments that reflected anxiety over how the racial identity of their child was perceived 301 302 in healthcare settings (BC8a). One NHB caregiver stated unfairness in access to medical care in 303 the ED leading to a longer wait compared to White patients (BC8b), and another mother reported 304 her child was given less than adequate pain medication.

305

306 Discussion

This study utilized qualitative and quantitative research methodology for a family centric understanding of acute pain experiences among children from NHW and NHB racial backgrounds. The data from questionnaires corroborated themes that emerged from focus groups, confirming the differences in life experiences between NHW and NHB caregivers, the unique barriers faced by NHB cohort and some mutual themes challenging to both cohorts, in dealing with acute pediatric pain experiences.

The diathesis-stress hypothesis states that cumulative lifetime stress can contribute to worse pain 313 experiences.³¹ Black Americans have been shown to display more "weathering" and higher 314 315 levels of allostatic load compared to White populations, with worse pain-related outcomes in adolescents.³² In our study, NHB caregivers reported higher ACEs, racial discrimination, lower 316 317 education level, and higher socioeconomic constraints. They reported additional responsibilities and work restrictions that made it difficult to care for their child. Assumptions of inferiority, 318 microinvalidation and exoticization scores were higher in the NHB cohort compared to NHW. 319 320 These refer to perception that one is considered as 'less' or expressions of surprise at personal 321 achievements and bias in validating pain expressions. In fact, NHB children expressed lack of 322 validation of their pain and need to overemphasize their pain in order to receive treatment. These 323 and other experiences of discrimination further erode trust in the doctor/patient relationship. 324 They are aligned with previous reports that providers held implicit bias that Black Americans were more pain-tolerant, contributing to lack of cultural sensitivity and compassion³³. Thus, 325 326 providers may evaluate NHB patients more negatively than they do similar NHW patients, as they perceive them as more likely to participate in risky health behaviors and may be less willing 327 328 to prescribe them pain medications and narcotic medications.

329 In caring for pediatric patients, the clinical team often depends on caregivers to ascertain the presence and character of pain, and the impact of interventions.³⁴ These advocates may 330 subconsciously confer their personal perspective on the patient's experience. It is possible that 331 332 their lifetime experiences of the caregivers inadvertently lead NHB caregivers to develop more protective responses while caring for their child in pain.³⁵ This is shown by our findings of 333 higher protective behaviors in NHB caregivers. In addition, we found lower pain coping efficacy 334 in the NHB children. A higher tendency to engage in protective behaviors when reacting to their 335 child's pain (as in NHB caregivers) has previously been linked to maladaptive child outcomes, 336 including higher disability and somatic symptoms.³⁶ It is possible that different racial, ethnic 337 and/or cultural backgrounds have differing coping responses. These are novel findings with 338 potential implications for pediatric pain management strategies in racially diverse groups. Thus, 339 340 tailored interventions may be indicated for improved pain coping efficacy and one size may not fit all.³⁷ 341

Our study did not find psychosocial differences between NHB and NHW caregivers or children, 342 unlike studies that suggest higher degrees of catastrophizing in African American patients.³⁸ We 343 found stoicism and opioid aversion expressed by both cohorts. NHB caregivers echoed pride in 344 345 their children being resilient and strong-willed enough to endure their pain with little complaint. 346 NHB children also mentioned choosing to "sleep off pain." Children in both cohorts found 347 spending time with family, friends, and utilizing other forms of distraction effective in dealing 348 with pain. Our study also corroborated findings from previous studies that mention prayer as a significant race-specific method of pain coping.³⁸ Hesitation to use opioids in managing pediatric 349 350 pain was demonstrated in over 50% of NHW and NHB participants. The common avoidance of

opioid use fueled by opioid addiction fears suggest more education is needed so these fears donot prevent optimal pediatric pain management.

353 This study is unique in its patient-centered approach, with standardized FGs conducted by both a 354 trained moderator and a pain expert. Nevertheless, differences between study groups may limit 355 the conclusions. First, although in broad terms, pain experiences were similar between groups 356 (post-trauma or post-surgical pain), differences in injury mechanism and operative procedure 357 may have influenced perceived pain. For example, a higher proportion of the NHW cohort experienced major surgery related pain (such as pectus repair), compared to the NHB cohort. 358 359 While this would be expected to result in more severe pain in this cohort, they perceived the 360 experience as less stressful. This could be because these surgeries were conducted in a tertiary 361 pediatric center, and pain managed by a dedicated team using standardized multimodal pain management approaches. Secondly, a potential limitation is the possible influence of the 362 363 moderators' race and sex on the participants' feedback. FGs were run by race diverse and 364 congruent moderator pairs whenever possible. Perceived racial congruence with FG moderators 365 may have increased or decreased participants' comfort with sharing especially negative opinions. 366 Thirdly, although we obtained consistent feedback from children of all ages, children sometimes 367 misinterpreted the question (for example, misinterpreting a question about pain medication as 368 their experience with general anesthesia administration). Fourthly, while presence of caregivers 369 during pediatric FG was deemed necessary for the comfort of the participants, they sometimes 370 would prompt the responses despite instructions not to do so. Finally, families of Hispanic ethnicity and other races were not included as part of this nested study to enable focus on NHB 371 372 specific factors influencing pain experiences.

373 **Conclusions**

374	Equity in healthcare has long been a challenging goal to attain in different sectors of healthcare,
375	including pain management. Our mixed methods study confirms racial differences exist in
376	experiences of acute pediatric pain and emphasizes the need for family centered and systems-
377	based approaches to improve equity in pediatric pain care. Increasing representation of diverse
378	racial groups within the healthcare workforce would be an important step in increasing trust in
379	the system and introducing tailored strategies to enhance pediatric pain coping. In addition, racial
380	differences in underlying stress exposures should also be considered by healthcare providers and
381	policymakers while co-creating strategies to address racial bias in pain management.
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	

A .1 . . 1. 1

397 398	Acknowledgements
399 400 401 402 403	We would like to acknowledge Carlos Ortiz, Summer Undergraduate Research Fellow in Chidambaran Lab and Jennifer Allen, Division of Behavioral medicine and clinical psychology, Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH for their help with setting up data acquisition instruments through REDCap and subject recruitment (Ortiz).
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	
409	
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	

417 **<u>References</u>**

418 1. Kozlowski LJ, Kost-Byerly S, Colantuoni E, et al. Pain prevalence, intensity, assessment 419 and management in a hospitalized pediatric population. Pain management nursing : official 420 journal of the American Society of Pain Management Nurses. Mar 2014;15(1):22-35. doi:10.1016/j.pmn.2012.04.003 421 422 2. Mossey JM. Defining racial and ethnic disparities in pain management. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Jul 2011;469(7):1859-70. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-1770-9 423 Guedj R, Marini M, Kossowsky J, Berde CB, Kimia AA, Fleegler EW. Racial and Ethnic 424 3. 425 Disparities in Pain Management of Children With Limb Fractures or Suspected Appendicitis: A Retrospective Cross-Sectional Study. Brief Research Report. Frontiers in Pediatrics. 2021-426 August-03 2021;9(775)doi:10.3389/fped.2021.652854 427 428 Sadhasivam S, Chidambaran V, Ngamprasertwong P, et al. Race and unequal burden of 4. 429 perioperative pain and opioid related adverse effects in children. Pediatrics. May 2012;129(5):832-8. doi:10.1542/peds.2011-2607 430 431 Jette CG, Rosenbloom JM, Wang E, De Souza E, Anderson TA. Association Between 5. 432 Race and Ethnicity with Intraoperative Analgesic Administration and Initial Recovery Room Pain Scores in Pediatric Patients: a Single-Center Study of 21,229 Surgeries. Journal of racial 433 and ethnic health disparities. Jun 2021;8(3):547-558. doi:10.1007/s40615-020-00811-w 434 435 6. Green CR, Anderson KO, Baker TA, et al. The unequal burden of pain: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in pain. Pain Med. Sep 2003;4(3):277-94. doi:10.1046/j.1526-436 4637.2003.03034.x 437 AAP Diversity and Inclusion Statement. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(4):e20180193. 438 7. 439 doi:10.1542/peds.2018-0193 440 National Institutes of health. NIH-Wide Strategic Plan for Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, 8. 441 and Accessibility. 2023. Accessed 08/15/2023. https://www.nih.gov/sites/default/files/about-442 nih/nih-wide-strategic-plan-deia-fy23-27.pdf Groenewald CB, Murray CB, Palermo TM. Adverse childhood experiences and chronic 443 9. 444 pain among children and adolescents in the United States. Pain reports. Sep-Oct 2020;5(5):e839. 445 doi:10.1097/pr9.00000000000839 Finley GA, Kristjansdottir O, Forgeron PA. Cultural influences on the assessment of 446 10. 447 children's pain. Pain Res Manag. Jan-Feb 2009;14(1):33-7. doi:10.1155/2009/763031 Kristjansdottir O, McGrath PJ, Finley GA, et al. Cultural influences on parental responses 448 11. 449 to children's pain. Pain. Oct 2018;159(10):2035-2049. doi:10.1097/j.pain.00000000001289 450 Anderson KO, Green CR, Payne R. Racial and ethnic disparities in pain: causes and 12. consequences of unequal care. J Pain. Dec 2009;10(12):1187-204. 451 doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2009.10.002 452 453 Campbell CM, Edwards RR. Ethnic differences in pain and pain management. Pain 13. 454 Manag. May 2012;2(3):219-230. doi:10.2217/pmt.12.7 Roberts RE, Phinney JS, Masse LC, Chen YR, Roberts CR, Romero A. The Structure of 455 14. Ethnic Identity of Young Adolescents from Diverse Ethnocultural Groups. The Journal of Early 456 Adolescence. 1999/08/01 1999;19(3):301-322. doi:10.1177/0272431699019003001 457 Freynhagen R, Baron R, Gockel U, Tolle TR. painDETECT: a new screening 458 15. 459 questionnaire to identify neuropathic components in patients with back pain. Curr Med Res 460 Opin. Oct 2006;22(10):1911-20. doi:10.1185/030079906X132488

- 461 16. Walker LS, Greene JW. The functional disability inventory: measuring a neglected 462 dimension of child health status. J Pediatr Psychol. Feb 1991;16(1):39-58. Desai AD, Zhou C, Stanford S, Haaland W, Varni JW, Mangione-Smith RM. Validity 463 17. 464 and responsiveness of the pediatric quality of life inventory (PedsQL) 4.0 generic core scales in the pediatric inpatient setting. JAMA Pediatr. Dec 2014;168(12):1114-21. 465 doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2014.1600 466 Gershon RC, Rothrock N, Hanrahan R, Bass M, Cella D. The use of PROMIS and 467 18. assessment center to deliver patient-reported outcome measures in clinical research. J Appl 468 469 Meas. 2010;11(3):304-14. 470 19. Kashikar-Zuck S, Sil S, Lynch-Jordan AM, et al. Changes in pain coping, catastrophizing, and coping efficacy after cognitive-behavioral therapy in children and 471 adolescents with juvenile fibromyalgia. J Pain. May 2013;14(5):492-501. 472 473 doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2012.12.019 474 20. Pearl E. Childhood Trust Events Survey: Child and Caregiver Versions. Trauma 475 Treatment Training Center. 2022. Accessed 11/23/2022. https://www.cincinnatichildrens.org/professional/support-services/childhood-trust/events-survey 476 477 21. Greco LA, Baer RA, Smith GT. Assessing mindfulness in children and adolescents: 478 development and validation of the Child and Adolescent Mindfulness Measure (CAMM). Psychol Assess. Sep 2011;23(3):606-14. doi:10.1037/a0022819 479 Connor KM, Davidson JR. Development of a new resilience scale: the Connor-Davidson 480 22. Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). Depression and anxiety. 2003;18(2):76-82. doi:10.1002/da.10113 481 Crombez G, Bijttebier P, Eccleston C, et al. The child version of the pain catastrophizing 482 23. scale (PCS-C): a preliminary validation. Pain. Aug 2003;104(3):639-46. 483 24. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc 484 Behav. Dec 1983;24(4):385-96. 485 486 25. Torres-Harding SR, Andrade AL, Romero Diaz CE. The Racial Microaggressions Scale 487 (RMAS): a new scale to measure experiences of racial microaggressions in people of color. 488 Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol. Apr 2012;18(2):153-164. doi:10.1037/a0027658 489 26. Van Slyke DA, Walker LS. Mothers' responses to children's pain. Clin J Pain. May 2006;22(4):387-91. doi:10.1097/01.ajp.0000205257.80044.01 490 Cunningham NR, Lynch-Jordan A, Barnett K, et al. Child pain catastrophizing mediates 491 27. 492 the relation between parent responses to pain and disability in youth with functional abdominal 493 pain. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. Dec 2014;59(6):732-8. 494 doi:10.1097/MPG.000000000000529 495 28. Braun V, Clarke, V. Thematic analysis. In: H. Cooper PMC, D. L. Long, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher ed. APA handbook of research methods in psychology, Vol 2: Research 496 designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological. American Psychological 497 498 Association; 2012:57-71. 499 29. Creswell JW, Clark VLP. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Sage publications; 2017. 500 30. Guest G, Namey E, McKenna K. How Many Focus Groups Are Enough? Building an 501
- 502 Evidence Base for Nonprobability Sample Sizes. *Field Methods*. 2017;29(1):3-22.
- 503 doi:10.1177/1525822x16639015
- 504 31. Flor H. Diathesis-Stress Model of Chronic Pain. In: Schmidt RF, Willis WD, eds.
- 505 *Encyclopedia of Pain.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2007:599-601.

506 32. Nelson S, Bento S, Enlow MB. Biomarkers of Allostatic Load as Correlates of 507 Impairment in Youth with Chronic Pain: An Initial Investigation. Children (Basel, Switzerland). 508 Aug 18 2021;8(8)doi:10.3390/children8080709 509 33. Miller MM, Williams AE, Zapolski TCB, Rand KL, Hirsh AT. Assessment and 510 Treatment Recommendations for Pediatric Pain: The Influence of Patient Race, Patient Gender, 511 and Provider Pain-Related Attitudes. J Pain. Jan-Feb 2020;21(1-2):225-237. 512 doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2019.07.002 34. von Baeyer CL. Children's self-reports of pain intensity: scale selection, limitations and 513 interpretation. Pain Res Manag. Autumn 2006;11(3):157-62. doi:10.1155/2006/197616 514 515 Victoria NC, Murphy AZ. Exposure to Early Life Pain: Long Term Consequences and 35. 516 Contributing Mechanisms. Curr Opin Behav Sci. Feb 2016;7:61-68. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2015.11.015 517 518 Noel M, Alberts N, Langer SL, Levy RL, Walker LS, Palermo TM. The Sensitivity to 36. 519 Change and Responsiveness of the Adult Responses to Children's Symptoms in Children and 520 Adolescents With Chronic Pain. J Pediatr Psychol. Apr 2016;41(3):350-62. 521 doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsv095 522 37. Tan G, Jensen MP, Thornby J, Anderson KO. Ethnicity, control appraisal, coping, and adjustment to chronic pain among black and white Americans. Pain Med. Jan-Feb 2005;6(1):18-523 28. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4637.2005.05008.x 524 Meints SM, Miller MM, Hirsh AT. Differences in Pain Coping Between Black and White 525 38. Americans: A Meta-Analysis. J Pain. Jun 2016;17(6):642-53. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2015.12.017 526 Nadal KL. The Racial and Ethnic Microaggressions Scale (REMS): construction, 527 39. reliability, and validity. J Couns Psychol. Oct 2011;58(4):470-80. doi:10.1037/a0025193 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 20

541 Figure Legends

- 542 Figure 1. Representation of proportion of NHB and NHW caregivers and children contributing to
- thematic codes. The y-axis represents the fraction of participants endorsing the sentiment. The
- black filled bars in both upper and lower panel represent Black cohort and the white unfilled bars
- the White cohort. The upper panel depicts the positive (left side) and negative sentiments (right)
- sentiments endorsed by caregivers and the lower panel depicts the proportion of NHB and NHW
- 547 children who endorsed codes related to use of non-pharmacological methods and opioids for pain
- 548 management, pain assessment and management during focus groups. Non pharm: non-
- 549 pharmacological methods of pain management.
- 550

Table 1: Validated questionnaires used in the study					
Questionnaires	Questionnaires Description/Rationale				
CHILD QUESTI					
Multigroup Ethnic Identity Measure (MEIM)	This scale assesses ethnic identity search and affirmation, belonging, and commitment. The average of the first 12 items within the questionnaire is reported as an overall score. It has shown good reliability, typically with alphas above 0.80 across a wide range of ethnic groups and ages, including				
Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory 4.0 Generic Core Scales (PedsQL)	adolescents ¹⁴ . This is a validated measure of QL in children ¹⁷ . 23-item questionnaire that assesses physical, emotional, social, and school functioning. Items are reversed scored and linearly transformed to a 0-100 scale. Provides Psychosocial Health, Emotional, Social, and School Functioning, and Physical Health Summary sub scores.				
Functional disability Index (FDI)	15-item scale that assesses the extent to which children experience difficulties in completing specific tasks (for example, walking to the bathroom, eating regular meals, and being at school all day). ¹⁶				
PainDETECT (PD)	Numerical rating pain scores of average pain intensity and nature of pain. PD assesses pain nature, with high sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive accuracy in pain conditions ¹⁵				
PROMIS Pediatric short forms	Validated self-report questionnaires that examine a variety of aspects related to child/adolescent physical, mental, and social health - Anxiety (8 items), Family Relationships (8 questions), Depressive Symptoms (8 items), and Psychological Stress (8 items) pediatric self-report short forms (ages 8–17) ¹⁸				
Pain Coping Questionnaire (PCQ)	3-item subscale from a 39-item self-report questionnaire to assess pain coping strategies and pain coping efficacy in children. ¹⁹ The questionnaire has shown good reliability and validity.				
Childhood Trust Events Survey (CTES)	The Childhood Trust Events Survey is a self- or parent-report screening survey to assess a child's exposure to traumatic events. It includes all the items identified as Adverse Childhood Events (ACEs) in collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ²⁰				
Child and Adolescent Mindfulness Measure (CAMM)	10-item to assess 3 out of 4 facets of mindfulness: observing, acting with awareness and accepting without judgement. Scale is 0-40 with reverse scoring 0-4, 0 being never true, 4 being always true. Higher scores correspond to higher levels of mindfulness. ²¹				
Connor- Davidson Resilience Scale 10-item (CD- RISC-10)	CD-RISC-10 comprised of 10 items, each rated on a 5-point scale (0 to 4). ²² Participants are asked to respond based on how they have felt over the past month. Total scores range from 0 to 40 with higher scores reflecting greater resilience. In addition to quantifying resilience, it was also developed as a clinical measure to assess treatment response. It demonstrates sound				
PARENT QUES	psychometric properties, with good internal consistency and test–retest reliability, and convergent and divergent validity FIONNAIRES				

	PCS-Parent (PCS-P)	13-item self-report measure assessing the extent of worry, amplification, and helplessness about his/her current or anticipated experience. ²³ It assesses rumination, feeling of helplessness, and magnification. PCS-C has good internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.90$), and correlates highly with pain intensity ($r = 0.49$) and disability ($r = 0.50$).
	ACEs	It includes all the items identified as Adverse Childhood Events (ACEs) in collaboration with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ²⁰
	Adult Responses to Children's Symptoms (ARCS)	33-item questionnaire describes negative [Protective (18 items), Minimizing (6 items)] and positive [Encourage and monitor (9 items)] parental behaviors towards children aged 8-18 years of age. Subscales had good internal consistency. ^{26, 27}
	Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)	This 10-item questionnaire was used to determine how varying environmental factors may influence emotions and perceived stress. ²⁴
	Racial and Ethnic Microaggressio n Scale	Multidimensional validated tool to assess perceptions of racial microaggressions by people of color ²⁵ The REMS is a 45-item self-report inventory used to assess the frequency of racial microaggressions in daily interactions. ³⁹ The REMS consists of 6 subscales (i.e., Assumption of Inferiority; Second-Class Citizen and Assumption of Criminality; Microinvalidations; Exocticization and Assumptions of Similarity; Environmental Microaggressions; and Workplace & School Microaggressions). The REMS utilizes a dichotomous rating scale of 1 (I did not experience this event) to 2 (I experienced this event). Items are averaged to obtain total and subscale scores. Higher scores indicate greater perceived frequency of racial microaggressions. A sample item is "Someone assumed that I would not be intelligent because of my race" (i.e., an item on the Assumption of Inferiority subscale).
552		
553		
554		
555		
556		
557		
558		
559		
560		

561 Table 2: Comparison of child-caregiver characteristics in acute pain settings in Non-Hispanic

562 black (NHB) and White (NHW) children

			NHB (19 children	NHW (18 children	
		and 19 caregivers)	and 19 caregivers)	P-value	
		Child Demographics and]	Pain Characteristics	by Race	
Child Age (median, IQR)			12.1 (8.7, 13.7)	14.4 (13.6, 16.0)	0.01
	Child Se	x (% female)	33%	39%	0.73
Eth	nic identity s	earch (MEIM scale)	2.8 (2.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.6, 2.8)	0.18
	Depriva	tion Index#	0.4 (0.3, 0.5)	0.3 (0.2. 0.3)	0.007
Pain	Reason (Inju	ry, surgery, other %)	28%, 44%, 28%	33%, 61%, 6%	0.27
Pain tre		ion (clinic, ED, Hospital nission)	17%, 22%, 61%	6%, 24%, 71%	0.60
		ГЕСТ (PD)#	1 (0, 5)	0 (0, 6.3)	0.65
Fu		ability Index (FDI)#	0 (0, 7)	0 (0, 1.3)	0.23
		Life Inventory (PedsQL)	92.2 ± 11.7	93.2 ± 7.5	0.62
		nxiety T-score#	37.4 (33.5, 48.9)	41.3 (36.4, 47.2)	0.30
I		pression T-score#	43 (37, 48.1)	44 (37, 53.5)	0.45
		ogical stress T score#	35.2 (35.2, 48.4)	35.2 (35.2, 45.2)	0.88
		Relationships T-score#	51.4 (41.4, 58.4)	58.7 (48.7, 63.9)	0.05
		nce pain coping score	3.1 (0.8)	3.0 (0.8)	0.69
	Distraction p	pain coping score	3.3 (0.9)	3.4 (0.8)	0.74
Emotion	-focused avo	bidance pain coping score	1.8 (0.7)	1.8 (0.9)	0.87
Co	oping with Pa	ain (PCQ) Efficacy	8.7 (0.4)	9.8 (0.5)	0.045
Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC- 10)		25.4 (8.7)	27.6 (6.6)	0.20	
Child and Adolescent Mindfulness Measure (CAMM)		30.1 ± 7.5	30.1 ± 6.9	0.49	
Childhood Trust Events Survey (CTES)#		1 (0, 2)	1 (0, 2)	0.87	
		Caregiver (Characteristics		
	Caregiver A	Age (mean, SD)	35.0 (33.0, 42.0)	45.0 (43.0, 49.0)	0.002
	Caregiver	Sex (% female)	89%	94%	0.62
	Educatio	on (highest degree >high school)	47%	89%	0.005
а ·	Income (<pre>poverty line (\$29,000)</pre>		21%	5%	0.15
Socio- economi c/educat ion	During past 12 months, was there a time when anyone in the household		0	0	-
	Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)		16.5 ± 6.9	18.7 ± 3.1	0.90
	sponses to	Protect	1.9 ± 0.6	1.6 ± 0.5	0.03
	dren's	Minimize	1.1 ± 0.7	0.7 ± 0.7	0.07

Symptoms (ARC	S) Encourage/Monitor	3.2 ± 0.9	3.1 ± 0.5	0.66
Adverse Ch	nildhood Events (ACEs)#	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 0)	0.02
	Component 1: Assumptions of Inferiority#	0 (0, 6)	0 (0, 0)	0.009
	Component 2: Second-class Citizen/ Assumptions of Criminality#	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 0)	0.08
Racial and Ethnic	Component 3: Microinvalidations#	1 (0, 6)	0 (0, 1)	0.04
Microaggressio ns Scale (REMS)	Component 4: Exoticization/Assumptions of Similarity#	1 (0, 2)	0 (0, 0)	0.01
	Component 5: Environmental Microaggressions	1 (0, 6)	6 (2, 7)	0.07
	Component 6: Workplace and School Microaggressions	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 0)	0.12
Pain	Rumination	8.89 ± 4.3	8.58 ± 3.2	0.40
Catastrophizing	Magnification#	2 (0, 3)	2 (1, 4)	0.28
Scale	Helplessness#	4 (2, 7)	4 (1, 7)	0.43

⁵⁶⁴ #not normally distributed data – median (IQR) presented and compared using

565 Wilcoxon/Kruskal-Wallis test;

566 Categorical variables (N (%) presented and compared using Fisher's exact tests/Chi-square

All other continuous measures are normally distributed. Mean (SD) presented for all normally
 distributed continuous variables and compared using t-tests.

578 Table 3: White and Black children and caregiver quotes lending credence to the themes based on

579 coding and analyses.

Theme 1: Healthcare provider understanding and validation of child's pain				
Bc1a"So they just told me to ice my knee and I didn't feel like I was being taken seriously for the pain. So after going through physical therapy and such two years later, I went to get my surgery" BC1a "How many hoops you have to jump through to convince people that it's needed. You know, it's challenging."	Wc1a: "They were good at listening when you felt like you were in pain:			
Bc1b "I cried a little bit because I was a little scared, but they calmed me down by talking about my pets"				
Theme 2: Trust in healthcare providers				
BC2a: "It depends on how they talk to me, how they make me feel. I can judge every doctor for it or, you know, I don't put my trust in anybody that way."	WC2 "I would say it was high and it stayed high."			
BC2b: "I really have a very big fear of hospitals with them when it comes to myself for my children. Of course, those fears are still there when you go in. But <u>Children's Hospital</u> , really, they try to break any of those barriers that you have. They make you feel comfortable".				
BC2c: "I thought it was super helpful that the doctor looked like my son. And I think that helped alleviate some worries in a variety of ways, and you don't get that everywhere. So I was happy with that."				
BC2d: "I felt like I was a part of like I had a seat at the table. And like, if I had concerns, if I, if I had a concern and I raised that concern that it would be listened to."				
Theme 3: Utility of pain assessment tools and C				
Bc3a"Yeah well, I don't remember them using any pain scale they didn't ask me"	Wc3: 'I like the smiley faces, I feel like it could show how I was feeling, like even if			
Bc3b: "not really being able to describe with	I couldn't put my pain into words, they still kind of got a sense out of the emotions			

most useful."	shown scale"				
Theme 4: Cultural factors affecting pain expression/coping like stoicism.					
BC4: "They really are strong children. They just	WC4: "I did come from a long line of rub				
get through it the best they could without pain	some dirt on it, people and sort of my				
medicine"	husband. And perhaps that's the reason why				
	we are trying to not overdramatize."				
Theme 5: Impression about non-pharmacologic					
BC5a "Just sleep really. He slept it off."	puin munugement motunites				
Desa sust sleep really. The slept it on.					
BC5b "Yeah, sleep and distractions really help					
kids a lot. So you keep them with something that					
they love to do or play a game or watch TV, it					
kind of helps them through it a little bit, but that					
pain is just still going to be there. "					
	itanov towards nargatios				
Theme 6: Preferences about pain medicine, hes BC6a: "Medicine, pain medicine is my last	WC6a: "We did sit down and kind of talk				
resort. I guess I've got strong children."	about like, Hey, we're grateful for doctors,				
DC(h, "I think that the manual is that many	we're grateful for medications. "				
BC6b: "I think that the narcotics that were					
prescribed, they prescribe too many and I don't	WC6b: "ibuprofen is an amazing drug. Like,				
think you need five days worth of the heavy	it's so amazing. And I will say that it's used				
medication for a 13 year old, like, if anything is	in my house has become normalized. So it's				
too much."	not for every little thing, but my like my kids				
	know that, you know, if they have something				
BC6c: "I know that I personally. I'm like anti-	that's persisting"				
drugs, so I didn't want that for my kid"					
	WC6c: "I would try everything I could				
BC6d: "They were using fentanyl. I was	before giving them on an opioid or				
worried. I was worried to death because I'd only	something like that."				
heard bad reports about it. Probably growing up					
in the neighborhood that I grew up in, I say, seen					
a lot of drug use when I was a kid, and I always					
felt like it should be the last resort"					
BC6e: "My kids are adopted, and so there is					
some history of some addiction in their					
background, and so if I can avoid it as to avoid					
it."					
BC6f: "I want to make sure we don't fall into					
any traps associated with addiction. And that's					
not because there's something in our family. It's					
just because it's out there and it's OK."					
Theme 7: Barriers to care and access					

BC7a: "when you get to the hospital and you're	WC7a: "the ability to work remotely and have
in there for a couple of days or so, you're always in the back of your mind like, you know, I got other kids at home, so I felt like	a flexible schedule made everything so much easier for us."
that was kind of an issue"	WC7b: "They (other kids) can plan for themselves if I have to leave and my job is
BC7b: "that was a little bit challenging on top of having other kids. So I have six other kids. So this was like a lot. " "	pretty flexible where, you know, if I have to prioritize what I need to do with my family, I will do that and the job will wait until I come back to it. "
	WC7c: "I can say insurance for sure was a big barrier because we got turned down. I think they give you three chances. We got turned down the first two. The doctor, the surgeon even spoke with them. They still rejected it, saying it was cosmetic when it was not cosmetic at all. He definitely needed the surgery and we finally got approved the third time."
Theme 8: Sentiments of racial discrimination	
BC8a: "I can actually track this across my kid's skin color like I have, like the darkest of my children. I have two other children that are like as light as me or later than me. And so like the way that their care is given and the way that they can get this isn't the same, its different than the other two children who are darker than them.	
BC8b: "it's like white patients are coming in and you're just noticing that they were triaged faster"	

580

581 BC: Black caregiver; WC: White caregiver; Bc: Black child; Wc: White child. Numbers

represent theme number followed by letter indicating the number of comments within the themeby a participant from that cohort.





