1	
2	
3	
4	
5	The Impact of Alcohol Misuse in Trauma Patients: A Scoping Review Protocol
6	
7	
8 9	Authors: Chantae Garland ¹ ,Nhayan Abdulla ^{1,2} , Donghyun Lee ¹ , Rae Spiwak ¹ , Sarvesh Logsetty ¹ , Jordan Nantais ^{1,3,4} *
10	
11	
12 13	¹ Department of Surgery, Max Rady College of Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada
14	² Department of Surgery, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
15	³ Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St Michael's Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
16 17	^₄ Institute of Medical Science, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
18	
19	
20	
21 22	*Corresponding author: Jordan Nantais, Section of General Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Manitoba, GF436B, 820 Sherbrook Street, R3K 0V6, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.
23	Email: Jordan.nantais@mail.utoronto.ca

24 Abstract

- 25 Background
- 26 Alcohol use is a contributing factor in many cases of traumatic injury. There is conflicting evidence on
- the impact of alcohol use at the time of physical trauma on severity of injury and hospital course.
- 28 Similarly, the significance of alcohol use disorder on outcomes in hospitalized trauma patients is unclear.
- 29 This scoping review aims to provide a concise overview of the current literature surrounding peri-
- 30 trauma alcohol use and alcohol use disorder on injury severity, in-hospital complications, patient
- outcomes, and long-term health impact of alcohol use in trauma. We will also explore the associated
- 32 healthcare costs of this patient population.
- 33 Methods
- 34 A systematic search of the following databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library will be
- 35 completed to extract all studies that meet our inclusion criteria from January 2000 onwards. Case
- 36 reports will be excluded. Two reviewers will screen all citations, abstracts, and full text articles. A third
- 37 reviewer will act as tiebreaker at each stage of the screening process. A narrative synthesis without
- 38 meta-analysis will be conducted and assessed based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
- 39 Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines.

40 Discussion

- 41 This review will contribute to the literature by providing a concise overview of the current data on the
- 42 impact of alcohol on outcomes following trauma. We will explore the overall themes in the literature,
- 43 limitations, and future directions to focus forthcoming research in this patient population.
- 44 Scoping review registration
- 45 Open Science Framework (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/Z84WK)

2

46 Support

47 There were no funders or sponsors involved in the development of this protocol

48 Keywords

- 49 Alcohol use, substance misuse, trauma, traumatic injury, penetrating injury, blunt injury, motor vehicle
- 50 collision (MVC), harmful drinking, mental outcome, physical outcome.

51 Introduction

Unintentional injuries were the 8th leading cause of hospitalization in Canada in the 2018/19 fiscal year 52 53 and accounted for \$29.4 billion or \$80 million per day of healthcare costs as of 2021 [1]. Furthermore, 54 these injuries are the third leading cause of death for Canadians, with the annual mortality rate rising 55 from 27.4 per 100 000 population in 2001 to 47.2 per 100 000 in 2021 [1]. The impact of alcohol use in 56 traumatic injury is not unique to Canada, and it plays a significant role on a global scale. Rates of alcohol-57 associated presentation to the emergency department (ED), defined as self-reported alcohol use and/or 58 elevated blood alcohol concentration (BAC), have been reported to be as high as 20- 47% in the trauma 59 population [2, 3, 4]. Alcohol has been so widely accepted as a significant reversible contributing factor 60 to trauma that, in 2006, the American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma introduced screening 61 for problematic drinking as a requirement for designation as a level 1 or 2 trauma center and requires 62 that all level 1 trauma centers provide an intervention for problem drinking [5]. 63 Despite this apparent importance, the literature on the impact of alcohol on outcomes following trauma

64 is limited and often contradictory. Some studies demonstrate that alcohol intoxication has a protective

- effect on injury; reporting decreased Injury Severity Scores (ISS), decreased mortality, shorter hospital
- 66 length of stay (LOS), and fewer complications [5,6]. Conversely, other studies report increased ISS,
- 67 increased rates of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and ventilator days, and lower likelihood of discharge to

68	home [8,9]. The heterogeneity of literature on the topic is likely due to the difficulty of conducting high
69	quality research on this patient population. Most literature is based on the retrospective review of
70	trauma registries and is therefore subject to the limitations associated with this type of study design
71	including: heterogeneity in definitions such as alcohol misuse; reliability of comprehensive records;
72	differing study aims and outcomes; and confounding factors such as comorbidities.
73	A preliminary search of MEDLINE, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and JBI Evidence
74	Synthesis was conducted and no current or underway systematic reviews or scoping reviews on the
75	topic were identified.
76	Our study aims to provide a concise overview of the current literature surrounding alcohol exposure at
77	the time of traumatic injury and alcohol use disorder on injury severity, in-hospital complications and
78	mortality. We will also explore other outcomes including characterizing at-risk populations, the utility of
79	brief motivational interviewing during hospital admission, long-term effects of alcohol use in trauma,
80	and the financial burden on the healthcare system.

81 Methods

82 **Protocol and registration:**

- 83 This protocol has been registered with Open Science Framework
- 84 (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/Z84WK) and is being reported in accordance with the Preferred
- 85 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR)
- statement [10]. Any amendments to this protocol will be documented and published alongside the
- 87 results of the scoping review.

88 Eligibility criteria:

89 Inclusion criteria

90	Our patient population will include adult patients, defined as age 15 years or older, who were admitted
91	to a hospital for traumatic injuries occurring in the setting of acute alcohol intoxication or those who
92	have a history of alcohol use disorder. The age limitation was decided based on the minimum legal
93	drinking age of European countries included in the literature. Studies published since the year 2000 in
94	English language from any country will be included, in keeping with similar evaluations of literature
95	[11,12]. Experimental and quasi-experimental study designs including systematic reviews, randomized
96	controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control, cross-sectional studies, and
97	descriptive observational studies will be selected from the databases.

98 Exclusion criteria

99 Case reports or studies with full text articles that could not be accessed will be excluded. Patients with 100 traumatic brain injury as their only injury will be excluded because there already exists a large database 101 of literature including systematic reviews and meta-analyses on this specific patient population [13, 14]. 102 Literature with a study population consisting exclusively of the following cohorts will be excluded: 103 military personnel, sexual assault victims, psychological trauma, burn patients, substance use without 104 concomitant alcohol use, and self-harm. These narrow populations are not generalizable to the 105 population presenting to trauma hospitals globally and therefore inclusion for the purpose of this 106 scoping review may skew the results. Patients who were not admitted to the hospital, or where data 107 was collected from police reports or autopsy data, will be excluded as they typically lack data regarding 108 key clinical outcomes evaluated in our study. Similarly, we will exclude studies that do not report on at 109 least one of the outcome measures below.

110

5

111 Table 1. Scoping review inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria		
 Published in English language Age ≥ 15 years Publication year ≥ 2000 Admitted to hospital due to a traumatic injury Injury associated with acute alcohol intoxication or history of alcohol use disorder. 	 Case reports Studies where full text cannot be accessed TBI as only injury Patients not admitted to hospital Police reports only Autopsy data only Patient population that consists exclusively of: Military personnel Sexual assault victims Psychological trauma Burn patients Non-alcohol related substance use Self-harm 		

112

113 Outcome measures:

114 We will evaluate studies with at least one of the following clinical outcomes: mortality; Injury Severity 115 Score; surgery as a result of injury; in-hospital complications; resource use; long term functional impact; 116 and psychological or abstinence-based interventions. This selection is in keeping with outcomes 117 encountered in existing trauma literature [16, 17, 19]. In-hospital complications are defined as harmful 118 events or negative outcomes occurring during inpatient hospitalization that occur during the processes 119 of care and treatment rather than the natural progression of diseases as well as any complication that is 120 listed as such by the respective publication [16,18]. These can include but are not limited to: cardiac 121 arrest; arrythmia; sepsis; multiorgan failure; pneumonia; respiratory distress; pulmonary embolism; 122 seizures; delirium; and hepatic failure [16, 17]. Demographics, when available, will be evaluated (mean 123 age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, injury type and mechanism). Resource use will be defined 124 as any of the following: hospital length of stay (LOS); intensive care unit (ICU) LOS; healthcare costs; and

propensity to leave against medical advice [16]. Long term functional impact is defined as any of the following: trauma recidivism; discharge disposition; likelihood of return to work; financial burden; and psychological or substance use disorders after admission [19]. Preventative measures taken at the time of admission for prevention of psychological harm, risk reduction, and mitigation of substance abuse will also be discussed [20].

130 Search strategy:

131 A structured search of the following electronic databases (from January 2000 onwards) will be

132 undertaken including MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, EMBASE via OVID, SCOPUS, and CINAHL. The

133 following keywords will be used: (injuries or wounds or trauma or traumas or wound or contusion or

134 bruises or accident or MVC or penetrating or blunt or GSW) AND (alcohol* or binge drinking* or

135 substance abuse* or ETOH or BAC or blood alcohol level or intoxication or substance misuse* or AWS or

ethanol abuse) AND (acute care or level one trauma or trauma centre or trauma center or hospitaliz* or

137 emergency department or emergency ward or ER or emergency room or emergency unit or intensive

138 care unit or ICU or in-hospital).

139 Data selection and screening process:

Covidence will be used to manage records and data throughout the review [11]. Duplicates will be removed using this software. Following a pilot test of ten percent of the database, abstracts will be independently screened by two reviewers (DL, CG) for assessment against the inclusion criteria. In cases where decisions for inclusion/exclusion are discordant, the principal investigator will act as the tiebreaker (JN). Full-text copies of the studies will be retrieved and independently reviewed by two authors (DL, CG) to ensure inclusion/exclusion criteria are met. Excluded articles or articles requiring further consensus will be reviewed and discussed with the principal investigator (JN). Reasons for the

- exclusion of sources of evidence will be recorded in full in the final scoping review and presented in a
- 148 PRISMA-ScR flow diagram.

149 Data extraction, evaluation, and synthesis:

- 150 A data extraction table will be designed to record relevant information from each study including:
- author; study; year of publication; population; study design; objective; results; conclusions; limitations;
- and odds ratios for quantitative outcomes (Fig 1). This table will be piloted by two authors (DL, CG) using
- ten percent of the full text articles and reviewed with the research team before proceeding with the rest
- 154 of the data extraction. Any inconsistencies in data extraction or changes required to the charting table
- 155 between authors will be discussed and resolved prior to proceeding to ensure accuracy and
- 156 cohesiveness between data collectors. The remainder of the data extraction will be done and recorded
- 157 independently by one of the two authors conducting the initial reviews (DL, CG). Following data
- 158 extraction, the table will be reorganized, and articles will be grouped based on similar study designs and
- 159 outcomes. The completed table will be included as an appendix to our final scoping review.

160 Figure 1: Data extraction table

Due to the expected variability of the reporting of outcomes, a meta-analysis will not be performed. The data will instead be analyzed based on Synthesis Without Meta-analysis (SWiM) and Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research (ENTREQ) guidelines [21,22]. We will summarize findings for each outcome reported by at least 2-3 papers in a narrative format. Where appropriate a Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) score will be applied to the summary of outcomes [23]. Due to the nature of a scoping review and expected lack of high quality (prospective studies, randomized controlled trials) there will be no formal risk of bias

- assessment however limitations to included studies will be recorded on an outcome level within the
- 169 discussion and study level within the data extraction table.

170

171

172 **Discussion**

- 173 This review is designed to evaluate the current body of literature on alcohol involvement in outcomes
- after trauma. We expect it will also provide information about the impact of alcohol on at-risk
- demographics, healthcare utilization, discharge disposition, and the physical and psychological impact of
- trauma. Individual studies may be limited by small patient populations, single institutional data, risk of
- 177 bias, and primarily retrospective study designs making it difficult to come to strong evidence-based
- 178 conclusions. The intention of our scoping review is to observe the trend and limitations in the literature
- 179 for this patient population, identify gaps in the existing literature and inform future work on this
- 180 important and highly relevant patient population.

181 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank University of Manitoba librarian Carole Cook for assisting with our literature
search strategy as well as research assistant Brenda Comaskey for reviewing and editing this protocol
article.

185 **References**

186	1.	Statistics Canada. Quick facts on injury and poisoning. 2022. Available from:
187		https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/injury-prevention/facts-on-injury.html
188	2.	Hoonpongsimanont W, Ghanem G, Sahota P, Arif A, Barrios C, Saadat S, Lotfipour S. Association
189		of blood alcohol and alcohol use disorders with emergency department disposition of trauma
190		patients. Western Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2022 Mar;23(2):158.
191	3.	Soderstrom CA, Trifillis AL, Shankar BS, Clark WE, Cowley RA. Marijuana and alcohol use among
192		1023 trauma patients: a prospective study. Archives of Surgery. 1988 Jun 1;123(6):733-7.
193	4.	Rivara FP, Jurkovich GJ, Gurney JG, Seguin D, Fligner CL, Ries R, Raisys VA, Copass M. The
194		magnitude of acute and chronic alcohol abuse in trauma patients. Archives of Surgery. 1993 Aug
195		1;128(8):907-13.
196	5.	Committee on Trauma, American College of Surgeons. Resources for Optimal Care of the Injured
197		Patient (2022 Standards). 2022. Available from: <u>https://www.facs.org/quality-</u>
198		programs/trauma/quality/verification-review-and-consultation-program/standards/2022-
199		resources-repository/access/
200	6.	Liou DZ, Barmparas G, Zaw A, Bukur M, Salim A, Ley EJ. Alcohol intoxication may be associated
201		with reduced truncal injuries after blunt trauma. The American Journal of Surgery. 2015 Jul
202		1;210(1):87-92.
203	7.	Albrecht-Anoschenko J, Uhl E, Gilsbach JM, Kreitschmann-Andermahr I, Rohde V. Head injury
204		after a fall on stairs: poorer prognosis in inebriated patients?. Zentralblatt für Neurochirurgie.
205		2005 May;66(02):59-62.
206	8.	Hsieh CH, Su LT, Wang YC, Fu CY, Lo HC, Lin CH. Does alcohol intoxication protect patients from
207		severe injury and reduce hospital mortality? The association of alcohol consumption with the
208		severity of injury and survival in trauma patients. The American Surgeon. 2013 Dec;79(12):1289-
209		94.
210	9.	Salottolo K, McGuire E, Mains CW, van Doorn EC, Bar-Or D. Occurrence, predictors, and
211		prognosis of alcohol withdrawal syndrome and delirium tremens following traumatic injury.
212		Critical Care Medicine. 2017 May 1;45(5):867-74.
213	10.	Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MD, Horsley T,
214		Weeks L, Hempel S. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and
215		explanation. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467-73.
216	11.	Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne; 2019. Available
217		from: <u>https://www.covidence.org</u> .
218	12.	Courtenay M, Nancarrow S, Dawson D. Interprofessional teamwork in the trauma setting: a
219		scoping review. Human Resources for Health. 2013 Dec;11:1-0.
220	13.	Taylor LA, Kreutzer JS, Demm SR, Meade MA. Traumatic brain injury and substance abuse: A
221		review and analysis of the literature. Neuropsychological Rehabilitation. 2003 Jan 1;13(1-2):165-
222		88.
223	14.	De Guise E, Leblanc J, Dagher J, Lamoureux J, Jishi AA, Maleki M, Marcoux J, Feyz M. Early
224		outcome in patients with traumatic brain injury, pre-injury alcohol abuse and intoxication at
225		time of injury. Brain Injury. 2009 Jan 1;23(11):853-65.

226	15.	Mikhail JN, Nemeth LS, Mueller M, Pope C, NeSmith EG. The social determinants of trauma: a
227		trauma disparities scoping review and framework. Journal of Trauma Nursing JTN. 2018 Sep
228		1;25(5):266-81.
229	16.	Moore L, Stelfox HT, Boutin A, Turgeon AF. Trauma center performance indicators for nonfatal
230		outcomes: a scoping review of the literature. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2013
231		May 1;74(5):1331-43.
232	17.	Salottolo, K., McGuire, E., Mains, C.W., van Doorn, E.C. and Bar-Or, D. Occurrence, predictors,
233		and prognosis of alcohol withdrawal syndrome and delirium tremens following traumatic injury.
234		Critical Care Medicine. 2017;45(5):867-874.
235	18.	Peng MM, Kurtz S, Johannes RS. Adverse outcomes from hospital-acquired infection in
236		Pennsylvania cannot be attributed to increased risk on admission. American Journal of Medical
237		Quality. 2006 Nov;21(6_suppl):17S-28S.
238	19.	Van Ditshuizen JC, Van den Driessche CR, Sewalt CA, Van Lieshout EM, Verhofstad MH, Den
239		Hartog D. The association between level of trauma care and clinical outcome measures: a
240		systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2020 Oct
241		1;89(4):801-12.
242	20.	Kohler S, Hofmann A. Can motivational interviewing in emergency care reduce alcohol
243		consumption in young people? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Alcohol and Alcoholism.
244		2015 Mar 1;50(2):107-17.
245	21.	Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, Katikireddi SV, Brennan SE, Ellis S, Hartmann-Boyce J, Ryan
246		R, Shepperd S, Thomas J, Welch V. Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic
247		reviews: reporting guideline. BMJ. 2020 Jan 16;368.
248	22.	Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, Oliver S, Craig J. Enhancing transparency in reporting the
249		synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2012
250		Dec;12(1):1-8.
251	23.	Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ. GRADE:
252		an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ.
253		2008 Apr 24;336(7650):924-6.
254		

255 Figure Legend

256 Figure 1: Data extraction table.

L Moore, HT Stelfox, ATrauma centre performance2013Scoping review Human studies from high income countries from earliest date to July 2011To evaluate the level of research on nonfatal outcomes: A scoping review of the literatureTo evaluate the level of research on nonfatal14 non fatal outcomes identified from 40 included studies. These include adverse events, missed outcomes in injuries, reintubation, ICUAdverse events and resource use werePopulation limited to North America, Europe, & Australia.L Moore, HT Stelfox, AIndicators for nonfatal outcomes: A scoping review of the literatureIndicators for income countries from traumaTo evaluate the level of research on nonfatal outcomes in trauma14 non fatal outcomes identified from 40 included studies. These include adverse events, missed outcomes in injuries, reintubation, ICUAdverse events and resource use were evaluate trauma care, traumaPopulation limited to Europe, & Australia.K HLOS, readmissions, functional capacity/ ADLs.Function in daily activities quality of life was neverStudies. Missed publications.	Author	Study Name	Year	Population & Study	Objective	Results	Conclusions & Odds	Limitations
L Moore, HTTrauma centre performance2013Scoping reviewTo evaluate14 non fatal outcomesAdverse events andPopulation limited toStelfox, AperformanceHuman studies from high income countries fromthe level of research on nonfatalthe level of research on nonfatalthe level of research on nonfatalthe level of research on nonfatalthe level of research on nonfatalfrequently used toEurope, & Australia.outcomes: A scoping review of the literatureearliest date to July 2011 readmissions andnonfatal outcomes in traumaadverse events, missed injuries, reintubation, ICU traumaevaluate trauma care, function in daily activities were rarely used, and information in publications.Studies may have been missed in data collection. Missing information in publications.				Design			ratio	
used.	L Moore, HT Stelfox, A Boutin, et al.	Trauma centre performance indicators for nonfatal outcomes: A scoping review of the literature	2013	Scoping review Human studies from high income countries from earliest date to July 2011	To evaluate the level of research on nonfatal outcomes in trauma	14 non fatal outcomes identified from 40 included studies. These include adverse events, missed injuries, reintubation, ICU days, unplanned surgeries, HLOS, readmissions, functional capacity/ ADLs.	Adverse events and resource use were frequently used to evaluate trauma care, readmissions and function in daily activities were rarely used, and quality of life was never used.	Population limited to North America, Europe, & Australia. Studies may have been missed in data collection. Missing information in publications.

Figure 1: Data extraction table

Figure 1